

Respondent ID

0043

Full Name

Angela Greenhalgh

If you are responding on behalf of a business, charity or other organisation, please state who you are responding on behalf of.

SPATIAL VISION

The plan sets out a spatial vision for Tameside that hopes to support in the vision and priorities within the Council's Corporate Plan. The proposed vision for the plan is set out below.

Tameside in 2042 will be:

- **Homes: A place where people are proud to live, with a range of attractive and affordable homes that meet local needs.**
- **Jobs: A place with a strong local economy where businesses choose to remain, invest and grow, and where residents can learn and earn.**
- **Centres: A place with a range of strong, vibrant and diverse centres which serve their local communities and where people want to spend time.**
- **Travel: A well-connected place, where active travel is the first and natural choice, with high quality public transport connections, where people can travel safely and conveniently to destinations both within and beyond the borough.**
- **Places: A place where our cultural and built heritage are cherished, and where good design creates sustainable, safe, inclusive and accessible places.**
- **Environment: A greener place with a thriving natural environment and clean air, where communities and infrastructure are sustainable and climate resilient.**
- **People: A place where children are given the best start in life, are able to exceed expectations and where everyone is able to live a healthy, happy and active life within thriving supportive communities, supported by the services they need.**

To what extent do you agree with the Homes, Spaces, Places Vision for Tameside?

Disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Tameside need to look at developing brown belt or existing uninhabited dwellings rather than building on greenbelt. We need to protect the environment and ensure greenbelt, trees and living species that live in the natural habitats are protected and have longevity

If you think there should be alternative wording for the Vision, please detail your proposed wording below.

vision needs to focus on brownspace and void properties that could be renovated instead of destroying greenbelt

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

To what extent do you agree with the Homes, Spaces, Places strategic objectives?

Homes: To ensure that new housing provides a range of suitable and affordable homes to meet residents' needs, whilst making efficient use of land.

Disagree

Jobs: To enable all residents to share in the benefits of economic growth

Agree

Centres: To support the borough's centres to thrive, be vibrant and attractive and safe spaces where people choose to spend time.

Agree

Travel: To enable everyone to travel safely, conveniently and reduce congestion.

Agree

Places: To deliver development that makes a positive contribution to the character, heritage and identity of Tameside.

Agree

Environment: To ensure that new development responds positively to climate change and ecologically sensitive locations.

Agree

People: To reduce health inequalities, improve health outcomes and enable the provision of services and infrastructure to support new and existing communities.

Agree

Please give a reason for the choices you provided above.

Development of existing spaces will build on the green environmental charter and deliver on providing existing houses in established communities, housing budgets need to be spent on redevelopment of existing houses that are currently void because housing offices cannot afford to renovate existing properties which fall harder into disrepair and acts of vandalism

If you think there should be alternative strategic objectives to those suggested, please detail these below.

Development of existing spaces will build on the green environmental charter and deliver on providing existing houses in established communities, housing budgets need to be spent on redevelopment of existing houses that are currently void because housing offices cannot afford to renovate existing properties which fall harder into disrepair and acts of vandalism

SPATIAL FRAMEWORK

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP S1: Overall Spatial Strategy?

Disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

We need to invest in existing spaces and communities not put further demand on infrastructures that are not adequate for the existing demand

If you think there are any reasonable alternatives to Policy approach HSP S1, please detail these below.

look at brown belt development and void properties which could be renovated, if these are privately owned neglected properties then the council could revoke the private owners rights and take control of the building by way of compulsory purchase for a nominal low cost fee

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP S2: Neighbourhood Spatial Policies?

Disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

The infrastructure is already inadequate for the existing housing stock

If you think there are any reasonable alternatives to Policy approach HSP S2, please detail these below.

utilise the existing housing by redevelopment

If you have any further comments on the Spatial Framework, please provide them below.

CENTRES

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C1: Network, Hierarchy and Designation of Centres?

Disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Communities are already established but there are lots of void properties which could be repurposed

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C2: Primary Shopping Areas?

Disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Hyde market used to be thriving, its now nothing, large companies move in and kill off local traders, shop rents price local traders out and force online trade

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C3: Local Shopping Areas and Parades?

Disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Hyde market used to be thriving, its now nothing, large companies move in and kill off local traders, shop rents price local traders out and force online

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C4: Development Involving Retail and Leisure Uses?

Disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Hyde market used to be thriving, its now nothing, large companies move in and kill off local traders, shop rents price local traders out and force online

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C5: Markets?

Disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Hyde market used to be thriving, its now nothing, large companies move in and kill off local traders, shop rents price local traders out and force online

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C6: Healthier Food Environments

Neutral

Please give a reason for your answer.

Hyde is full of takeaways and unhealthy options, any changes would simply invite more of the same under the pretense of offering healthy options

If you have any further comments on the Centres chapter of the plan, please provide them below.

Hyde needs investment in the centre not on the outskirts, there are lots of void properties which could be utilised

ENVIRONMENT

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP E1: Renewable Energy Opportunities?

Disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

I do not see any investment or plans for genuine renewals in tameside, most of the housing stock is unable to accommodate renewable energy options like air source heat pumps, hybrid boilers or solar as these are not viable options once a property is surveyed due to the installation requirements

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP E2: Critical Drainage and Water Demand?

Disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

The infrastructure is not sustainable and requires investment before adding further demand to it

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP E3: Biodiversity?

Neutral

Please give a reason for your answer.

If you have any further comments on the Environment chapter of the plan, please provide them below.

HOMES

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP H1: Housing Type, Mix, Tenure and Affordability?

Disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

No matter what, the housing will be unaffordable to the most in need, social housing needs investment to upgrade and renovate existing properties or void properties

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP H2: Houses in Multiple Occupation (HMO)?

Disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

HMO's are not the answer and breed further issues on social depravity

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP H3: Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Show-People?

Neutral

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP H4: Back Land and Garden Development?

Neutral

Please give a reason for your answer.

If you have any further comments on the Homes chapter of the plan, please provide them below.

JOBS

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP J1: Existing Employment Areas?

Neutral

Please give a reason for your answer.

Do the necessary skills lie within the local community or would “outsiders” be required

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP J2: New Employment Development?

Neutral

Please give a reason for your answer.

I don't believe that local people would benefit from any new employment opportunities due to skill set requirements

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP J3: Assessing the Loss of Employment Land or Accommodation?

Disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

People in the local area have invested in the area and community to live the life they lead in the local community

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP J4: The Visitor Economy?

Disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Visitors bring very little to Hyde as its been destroyed by years of neglect and focus on Ashton under Lyne

If you have any further comments on the Jobs chapter of the plan, please provide them below.

PEOPLE

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE1: Development and Healthier Environments?

Disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Without the greenbelt then there will be less open spaces for a healthier environment and exercise

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE2: Publicly Accessible Green Spaces?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

You are proposing to build on greenbelt and take away publicly accessible greenbelt and the livestock that habitat it

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE3: Green Space Standards?

Disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

You are proposing to build on greenbelt and take away publicly accessible greenbelt and the livestock that habitat it

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE4: Strategic Recreation and Countryside Access?

Disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

You are proposing to build on greenbelt and take away publicly accessible countryside and greenbelt and the livestock that habitat it

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE5: Outdoor Sport and Recreational Facilities?

Disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

There are enough places already available and in some cases underused which means they could become uneconomical in the future meaning they would be under threat of closure

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE6: Peak District National Park?

Neutral

Please give a reason for your answer.

If you have any further comments on the People chapter of the plan, please provide them below.

TRAVEL

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T1: General Approach?

Disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

The transport links in Hyde re inadequate and underused due to high costs of private operators

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T2: Trunk Road Developments?

Disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

The mottram bypass will not achieve what it was set out to do l as its been cut back and deviates from a route that would have made a difference

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T3: Rail Infrastructure?

Disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Tameside cannot influence private companies to make pricing changes for commuters

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T4: Rapid Transit?

Neutral

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T5: Facilities for Buses?

Disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Ticket prices are too high and force people o make alternative arrangements or not travel

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T6: Walking, Wheeling and Cycling?

Disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Your proposals mean that there will be fewer routes in local areas

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T7: Freight Movement and Deliveries?

Neutral

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T8: Parking?

Disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Parking charges in Tameside are too high and discourage local spending in favour of “free supermarket” parking

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T9: EV Charging Infrastructure?

Disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

EV charging is not readily available to all, housing stocks do not enable EV charging at home

If you have any further comments on the Travel chapter of the plan, please provide them below.

PLACES

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL1: Archaeology and Scheduled Monuments?

Disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Historical buildings have been left to rot and fall into disrepair then sold off to developers

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL2: Listed Buildings - Alternative Uses, Additions, Demolition and Development Within Their Setting?

Disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Historical buildings have been left to rot and fall into disrepair then sold off to developers

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL3: Conservation Areas - Managing Development Within or Adjoining?

Disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

I do not see any respect for existing conservation areas

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL4: Historic Parks and Gardens - Managing Development?

Disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Existing parks are under funded and lack resources

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL5: Designated Heritage Assets - Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Generation?

Disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Most of the properties in Tameside are not fit to be able to accommodate renewable energy options

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL6: Designated Heritage Assets and Enabling Development?

Neutral

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL7: Non-Designated Heritage Assets?

Neutral

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL8: Landscapes, Townscape and Urban Form?

Neutral

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL9: Design and Amenity?

Neutral

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL10: Design of All Types of New Residential Development?

Disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Considerable investment is required in existing areas before new developments can be considered

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL11: Design of Residential Additions, Alterations and Extensions?

Disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

there is lots of scope develop existing housing

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL12: Design of Employment Sites?

Neutral

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL13: Design within Centres, Retail and Leisure Developments?

Disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

centres and retail are being priced out by high rents and taxes which means they become uneconomical and unsustainable

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL14: Design of Commercial Frontages?

Disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Hyde is an eyesore with the shutters and closed up shops

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL15: Outdoor Sports, Recreation and Play Space?

Neutral

Please give a reason for your answer.

More investment is needed into existing facilities

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL16: Design and Enhancement of the Waterside?

Neutral

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL17: Design of Public Realm and Urban Spaces?

Neutral

Please give a reason for your answer. If there are any amendments or alternative policy approaches you would like to propose, please detail these in your response.

If you have any further comments on the Places chapter of the plan, please provide them below.

POLICIES MAP AND INTEGRATED ASSESSMENT

A policies map has been published which shows the areas of land that relate to specific policies in this plan at this stage in the process. Future versions of Homes, Spaces, Places will have specific allocations and further designations of land linked to policies. An updated policies map will be produced at that time to identify these.

If you have any comments on the Policies Map, please provide these below.

There are enough homes in Hyde and Gee Cross that if developers invested in could be viable options

An Integrated Assessment Report has been produced to accompany this plan. The Integrated Assessment identifies the potential sustainability impacts of implementing the Homes, Spaces, Places Plan.

Do you consider that the Integrated Assessment has adequately assessed the potential environmental, social, and economic impacts of the proposed policy approaches and strategies within the Plan?

Are there any sections of the Integrated Assessment that require further explanation or clarification?

Tameside Labour councillors have not listened to local communities and voters who are against these plans