

**Respondent ID**

0065

---

**Full Name**

Catherine Robinson

**If you are responding on behalf of a business, charity or other organisation, please state who you are responding on behalf of.**

---

**SPATIAL VISION**

**The plan sets out a spatial vision for Tameside that hopes to support in the vision and priorities within the Council's Corporate Plan. The proposed vision for the plan is set out below.**

**Tameside in 2042 will be:**

- **Homes: A place where people are proud to live, with a range of attractive and affordable homes that meet local needs.**
- **Jobs: A place with a strong local economy where businesses choose to remain, invest and grow, and where residents can learn and earn.**
- **Centres: A place with a range of strong, vibrant and diverse centres which serve their local communities and where people want to spend time.**
- **Travel: A well-connected place, where active travel is the first and natural choice, with high quality public transport connections, where people can travel safely and conveniently to destinations both within and beyond the borough.**
- **Places: A place where our cultural and built heritage are cherished, and where good design creates sustainable, safe, inclusive and accessible places.**
- **Environment: A greener place with a thriving natural environment and clean air, where communities and infrastructure are sustainable and climate resilient.**
- **People: A place where children are given the best start in life, are able to exceed expectations and where everyone is able to live a healthy, happy and active life within thriving supportive communities, supported by the services they need.**

## **To what extent do you agree with the Homes, Spaces, Places Vision for Tameside?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Using this space to create these houses will cause

Air pollution (vehicle emissions)

Assume 1.5 vehicles per home, a typical suburban ratio:

440 homes × 1.5 = 660 new vehicles

Average UK car emissions:

CO<sub>2</sub>: ~1.9 tonnes per car/year

NOx: ~3.2 kg per car/year

PM2.5: ~80 g per car/year

Estimated annual totals:

CO<sub>2</sub>: ~1,254 tonnes

NOx: ~2.1 tonnes

PM2.5: ~53 kg

These emissions contribute to:

Worse local air quality, especially near schools and narrow roads

Higher risk of asthma, heart, and respiratory diseases

Construction phase emissions

The building of 440 homes over 1–3 years typically causes:

Dust and particulate matter (PM10/PM2.5) from soil movement and material handling

Diesel emissions from heavy machinery and delivery vehicles

Noise and vibration, affecting nearby homes, wildlife, or schools

Water pollution and surface runoff

Stormwater runoff, especially if roads and roofs replace permeable land

Risk of pollutants (oil, silt, metals) entering rivers and drains

Pressure on sewage and wastewater treatment infrastructure

Waste collection and recycling services

Construction waste (building materials, packaging, spoil

No proposed school, local schools are already overpopulated and teachers are struggling to teach the mass numbers they already have.

This is also going to take away nature for animals that have recided here, going to take away places for people to go for nice scenic walks that help with exercise and help with the overall health.

**If you think there should be alternative wording for the Vision, please detail your proposed wording below.**

---

## STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

**To what extent do you agree with the Homes, Spaces, Places strategic objectives?**

**Homes: To ensure that new housing provides a range of suitable and affordable homes to meet residents' needs, whilst making efficient use of land.**

Strongly disagree

**Jobs: To enable all residents to share in the benefits of economic growth**

Strongly disagree

**Centres: To support the borough's centres to thrive, be vibrant and attractive and safe spaces where people choose to spend time.**

Strongly disagree

**Travel: To enable everyone to travel safely, conveniently and reduce congestion.**

Strongly disagree

**Places: To deliver development that makes a positive contribution to the character, heritage and identity of Tameside.**

Strongly disagree

**Environment: To ensure that new development responds positively to climate change and ecologically sensitive locations.**

Strongly disagree

**People: To reduce health inequalities, improve health outcomes and enable the provision of services and infrastructure to support new and existing communities.**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for the choices you provided above.**

The same reasons as before. Using this green area to create these spaces is going to cause

Air pollution (vehicle emissions)

Assume 1.5 vehicles per home, a typical suburban ratio:

440 homes × 1.5 = 660 new vehicles

Average UK car emissions:

CO<sub>2</sub>: ~1.9 tonnes per car/year

NO<sub>x</sub>: ~3.2 kg per car/year

PM<sub>2.5</sub>: ~80 g per car/year

Estimated annual totals:

CO<sub>2</sub>: ~1,254 tonnes

NO<sub>x</sub>: ~2.1 tonnes

PM<sub>2.5</sub>: ~53 kg

These emissions contribute to:

Worse local air quality, especially near schools and narrow roads

Higher risk of asthma, heart, and respiratory diseases

Construction phase emissions

The building of 440 homes over 1–3 years typically causes:

Dust and particulate matter (PM<sub>10</sub>/PM<sub>2.5</sub>) from soil movement and material handling

Diesel emissions from heavy machinery and delivery vehicles

Noise and vibration, affecting nearby homes, wildlife, or schools

Water pollution and surface runoff

Stormwater runoff, especially if roads and roofs replace permeable land

Risk of pollutants (oil, silt, metals) entering rivers and drains

Pressure on sewage and wastewater treatment infrastructure

Waste collection and recycling services

Construction waste (building materials, packaging, spoil

No proposed school, local schools are already overpopulated and teachers are struggling to teach the mass numbers they already have.

**If you think there should be alternative strategic objectives to those suggested, please detail these below.**

---

## **SPATIAL FRAMEWORK**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP S1: Overall Spatial Strategy?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Using this space to create these spaces is going to cause so many problems for a small village. The traffic it is going to cause, the noises to the village it will have a negative impact on so many residents. These spaces will cause

Air pollution (vehicle emissions)

Assume 1.5 vehicles per home, a typical suburban ratio:

440 homes × 1.5 = 660 new vehicles

Average UK car emissions:

CO<sub>2</sub>: ~1.9 tonnes per car/year

NO<sub>x</sub>: ~3.2 kg per car/year

PM<sub>2.5</sub>: ~80 g per car/year

Estimated annual totals:

CO<sub>2</sub>: ~1,254 tonnes

NO<sub>x</sub>: ~2.1 tonnes

PM<sub>2.5</sub>: ~53 kg

These emissions contribute to:

Worse local air quality, especially near schools and narrow roads

Higher risk of asthma, heart, and respiratory diseases

Construction phase emissions

The building of 440 homes over 1–3 years typically causes:

Dust and particulate matter (PM<sub>10</sub>/PM<sub>2.5</sub>) from soil movement and material handling

Diesel emissions from heavy machinery and delivery vehicles

Noise and vibration, affecting nearby homes, wildlife, or schools

Water pollution and surface runoff

Stormwater runoff, especially if roads and roofs replace permeable land

Risk of pollutants (oil, silt, metals) entering rivers and drains

Pressure on sewage and wastewater treatment infrastructure

Waste collection and recycling services

Construction waste (building materials, packaging, spoil

No proposed school, local schools are already overpopulated and teachers are struggling to teach the mass numbers they already have.

It will mean that local people will miss out on so much green space, will reduce where people can exercise and walk to and will have an effect on physical health.

There is also no proposed medical centre, are gp surgery's are already overpopulated populated and by making space for so many more people who will need gp surgery's this will have a negative impact on an already struggling service and will also cause anxiety for people like myself with long standing health conditions about not being able to get an appointment due to the increase in patients due to the spaces you are proposing to create. Also this will effect people who want to live in these homes as they will have anxiety about getting into a gp surgery or schools for there children.

**If you think there are any reasonable alternatives to Policy approach HSP S1, please detail these below.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP S2: Neighbourhood Spatial Policies?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Same reasons as above.

**If you think there are any reasonable alternatives to Policy approach HSP S2, please detail these below.**

**If you have any further comments on the Spatial Framework, please provide them below.**

---

## CENTRES

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C1: Network, Hierarchy and Designation of Centres?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Same reasons as above

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C2: Primary Shopping Areas?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Same reasons as above

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C3: Local Shopping Areas and Parades?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Same reasons as above

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C4: Development Involving Retail and Leisure Uses?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Same reasons as above

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C5: Markets?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Same reasons as above

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C6: Healthier Food Environments**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Same reasons as above

**If you have any further comments on the Centres chapter of the plan, please provide them below.**

---

## ENVIRONMENT

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP E1: Renewable Energy Opportunities?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Same reasons as above

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP E2: Critical Drainage and Water Demand?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Same reason as above

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP E3: Biodiversity?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Same reasons as above

**If you have any further comments on the Environment chapter of the plan, please provide them below.**

---

## HOMES

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP H1: Housing Type, Mix, Tenure and Affordability?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Same reasons as above

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP H2: Houses in Multiple Occupation (HMO)?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Same reason as above

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP H3: Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Show-People?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Same reasons as above

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP H4: Back Land and Garden Development?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Same reasons as above

**If you have any further comments on the Homes chapter of the plan, please provide them below.**

---

## JOBS

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP J1: Existing Employment Areas?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Same reasons as above

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP J2: New Employment Development?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Same reasons as above

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP J3: Assessing the Loss of Employment Land or Accommodation?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Same reasons as above

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP J4: The Visitor Economy?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Same reasons as above

**If you have any further comments on the Jobs chapter of the plan, please provide them below.**

---

## PEOPLE

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE1: Development and Healthier Environments?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Same reasons as above

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE2: Publicly Accessible Green Spaces?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Same reasons as above

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE3: Green Space Standards?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Same reasons as above

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE4: Strategic Recreation and Countryside Access?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Same reasons as above

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE5: Outdoor Sport and Recreational Facilities?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Same reasons as above

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE6: Peak District National Park?**

Disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Same reasons as above

**If you have any further comments on the People chapter of the plan, please provide them below.**

---

## TRAVEL

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T1: General Approach?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Same reasons as above

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T2: Trunk Road Developments?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Same reasons as above

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T3: Rail Infrastructure?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Same reasons as above

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T4: Rapid Transit?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Same reasons as above

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T5: Facilities for Buses?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Same reasons as above

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T6: Walking, Wheeling and Cycling?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Same reasons as above

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T7: Freight Movement and Deliveries?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Same reasons as above

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T8: Parking?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Same reasons as above

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T9: EV Charging Infrastructure?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Same reasons as above

**If you have any further comments on the Travel chapter of the plan, please provide them below.**

---

## PLACES

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL1: Archaeology and Scheduled Monuments?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Same reasons as above

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL2: Listed Buildings - Alternative Uses, Additions, Demolition and Development Within Their Setting?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Same reasons as above

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL3: Conservation Areas - Managing Development Within or Adjoining?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Same reasons as above

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL4: Historic Parks and Gardens - Managing Development?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Same reasons as above

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL5: Designated Heritage Assets - Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Generation?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Same reasons as above

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL6: Designated Heritage Assets and Enabling Development?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Same reasons as above

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL7: Non-Designated Heritage Assets?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Same reasons as above

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL8: Landscapes, Townscape and Urban Form?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Same reasons as above

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL9: Design and Amenity?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Same reasons as above

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL10: Design of All Types of New Residential Development?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Same reasons as above

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL11: Design of Residential Additions, Alterations and Extensions?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Same reasons as above

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL12: Design of Employment Sites?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Same reasons as above

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL13: Design within Centres, Retail and Leisure Developments?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Same reasons as above

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL14: Design of Commercial Frontages?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Same reasons as above

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL15: Outdoor Sports, Recreation and Play Space?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Same reasons as above

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL16: Design and Enhancement of the Waterside?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Same reasons as above

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL17: Design of Public Realm and Urban Spaces?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer. If there are any amendments or alternative policy approaches you would like to propose, please detail these in your response.**

Same reasons as above

**If you have any further comments on the Places chapter of the plan, please provide them below.**

---

## **POLICIES MAP AND INTEGRATED ASSESSMENT**

**A policies map has been published which shows the areas of land that relate to specific policies in this plan at this stage in the process. Future versions of Homes, Spaces, Places will have specific allocations and further designations of land linked to policies. An updated policies map will be produced at that time to identify these.**

**If you have any comments on the Policies Map, please provide these below.**

**An Integrated Assessment Report has been produced to accompany this plan. The Integrated Assessment identifies the potential sustainability impacts of implementing the Homes, Spaces, Places Plan.**

**Do you consider that the Integrated Assessment has adequately assessed the potential environmental, social, and economic impacts of the proposed policy approaches and strategies within the Plan?**

**Are there any sections of the Integrated Assessment that require further explanation or clarification?**