

Respondent ID

0021

Full Name

David Redfern

If you are responding on behalf of a business, charity or other organisation, please state who you are responding on behalf of.

SPATIAL VISION

The plan sets out a spatial vision for Tameside that hopes to support in the vision and priorities within the Council's Corporate Plan. The proposed vision for the plan is set out below.

Tameside in 2042 will be:

- **Homes: A place where people are proud to live, with a range of attractive and affordable homes that meet local needs.**
- **Jobs: A place with a strong local economy where businesses choose to remain, invest and grow, and where residents can learn and earn.**
- **Centres: A place with a range of strong, vibrant and diverse centres which serve their local communities and where people want to spend time.**
- **Travel: A well-connected place, where active travel is the first and natural choice, with high quality public transport connections, where people can travel safely and conveniently to destinations both within and beyond the borough.**
- **Places: A place where our cultural and built heritage are cherished, and where good design creates sustainable, safe, inclusive and accessible places.**
- **Environment: A greener place with a thriving natural environment and clean air, where communities and infrastructure are sustainable and climate resilient.**
- **People: A place where children are given the best start in life, are able to exceed expectations and where everyone is able to live a healthy, happy and active life within thriving supportive communities, supported by the services they need.**

To what extent do you agree with the Homes, Spaces, Places Vision for Tameside?

Disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

One, I don't have faith in Tameside Council to achieve this vision. Two, there are no measurable targets included in this vision, so how can the public hold the council accountable for achieving this.

If you think there should be alternative wording for the Vision, please detail your proposed wording below.

Accountable measures should be included

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

To what extent do you agree with the Homes, Spaces, Places strategic objectives?

Homes: To ensure that new housing provides a range of suitable and affordable homes to meet residents' needs, whilst making efficient use of land.

Agree

Jobs: To enable all residents to share in the benefits of economic growth

Neutral

Centres: To support the borough's centres to thrive, be vibrant and attractive and safe spaces where people choose to spend time.

Strongly agree

Travel: To enable everyone to travel safely, conveniently and reduce congestion.

Disagree

Places: To deliver development that makes a positive contribution to the character, heritage and identity of Tameside.

Agree

Environment: To ensure that new development responds positively to climate change and ecologically sensitive locations.

Agree

People: To reduce health inequalities, improve health outcomes and enable the provision of services and infrastructure to support new and existing communities.

Strongly agree

Please give a reason for the choices you provided above.

Regarding travel, your vision includes yet more focus on Ashton and Stalybridge... has this not been the focus for years! Millions have been spent on these areas... what about the rest of borough!? One area constantly failed is Denton/Hyde and the A57 corridor. Transport is poor, there are no initiative developments in public transport. Where is the variety of transport!? The only option is a bus, and a very poor rail service in Hyde. The mottram bypass focuses on cars. Why is the metrolink never an option in this area. There is a clear lack of investment or due care for this region of Tameside!

If you think there should be alternative strategic objectives to those suggested, please detail these below.

Please see above

SPATIAL FRAMEWORK

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP S1: Overall Spatial Strategy?

Agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

More homes are needed

If you think there are any reasonable alternatives to Policy approach HSP S1, please detail these below.

More homes are planned for Hyde, with no better transport alternatives... just buses! Rail service is extremely poor and there will be more cars on the road. The A57 route is already passed breaking point.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP S2: Neighbourhood Spatial Policies?

Disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

There is no focus on reducing takeaways, pound shops, vape shops, betting shops etc etc. Tameside is saturated with these.

If you think there are any reasonable alternatives to Policy approach HSP S2, please detail these below.

If you have any further comments on the Spatial Framework, please provide them below.

CENTRES

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C1: Network, Hierarchy and Designation of Centres?

Agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C2: Primary Shopping Areas?

Agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C3: Local Shopping Areas and Parades?

Neutral

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C4: Development Involving Retail and Leisure Uses?

Agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C5: Markets?

Agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C6: Healthier Food Environments

Strongly agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

If you have any further comments on the Centres chapter of the plan, please provide them below.

ENVIRONMENT

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP E1: Renewable Energy Opportunities?

Strongly agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP E2: Critical Drainage and Water Demand?

Agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP E3: Biodiversity?

Disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

I don't think the policy goes far enough. Within the towns there is little 'nice' and safe green spaces. Far more needs to be done within the centre of the towns.

If you have any further comments on the Environment chapter of the plan, please provide them below.

HOMES

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP H1: Housing Type, Mix, Tenure and Affordability?

Agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

In principle I agree, but new homes need to be robust... new homes are not of a decent quality. There also needs to be appropriate and decent accommodation for older people and those in care homes... the accommodate we put our older and most vulnerable people in is a disgrace!

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP H2: Houses in Multiple Occupation (HMO)?

Agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP H3: Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Show-People?

Agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

We also need to ensure these are safe spaces, accessible to services to maintain peace and law

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP H4: Back Land and Garden Development?

Agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Parking is a major problem on our streets. Some streets are hard to drive down due to parking issues, this is only going to get worse so needs to be well considered

If you have any further comments on the Homes chapter of the plan, please provide them below.

JOBS

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP J1: Existing Employment Areas?

Agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP J2: New Employment Development?

Agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP J3: Assessing the Loss of Employment Land or Accommodation?

Agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP J4: The Visitor Economy?

Strongly agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

I'm not totally sure why anyone would visit Tameside as a place of tourism in terms of cultural attractions. We need to consider what we offer as a destination of choice. Transport serves Ashton well, but not at all in other regions!

If you have any further comments on the Jobs chapter of the plan, please provide them below.

PEOPLE

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE1: Development and Healthier Environments?

Strongly agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE2: Publicly Accessible Green Spaces?

Strongly agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE3: Green Space Standards?

Strongly agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE4: Strategic Recreation and Countryside Access?

Strongly agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE5: Outdoor Sport and Recreational Facilities?

Strongly agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE6: Peak District National Park?

Strongly agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

If you have any further comments on the People chapter of the plan, please provide them below.

There is a lot discussed on canal paths... which is not the most accessible to people, especially given poor weather, darker nights etc. what facilities can be made to be used all year round!

TRAVEL

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T1: General Approach?

Agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

But this has to be across the entire borough, not just Ashton and Stalybridge

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T2: Trunk Road Developments?

Strongly agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

This is an important development, but one that focuses on road travel. What is being done for the A57 corridor other than vehicle travel. Far more is needed in this area, why is the metrolink never considered for Denton and Hyde!?

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T3: Rail Infrastructure?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

As always, a huge focus on Ashton and Stalybridge... don't you think they've had enough investment at the expense of other regions that have had very little.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T4: Rapid Transit?

Agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T5: Facilities for Buses?

Agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T6: Walking, Wheeling and Cycling?

Strongly agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Decent pavements would be a start to help walking in the borough

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T7: Freight Movement and Deliveries?

Neutral

Please give a reason for your answer.

The A57 corridor is a prime example of excessive freight movement, all using the A57 M57 corridor. Very little alternative transport is planned for people to get around other than the bus. Chronic traffic issues already exist on these routes. The Mottram bypass will not answer all the issues in Denton and Hyde... far more needs to be considered for these areas.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T8: Parking?

Neutral

Please give a reason for your answer.

Some roads are impossible to navigate due to parking issues, should lower standards be appropriate!? More park and travel areas need to be considered, and should be free to use!

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T9: EV Charging Infrastructure?

Strongly agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Strongly required!

If you have any further comments on the Travel chapter of the plan, please provide them below.

PLACES

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL1: Archaeology and Scheduled Monuments?

Neutral

Please give a reason for your answer.

It is good to protect these monuments, but what are they used for!? They have no functional purpose for the population. Is a lot of money wasted protected on these monuments when they are not functionally used by the people.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL2: Listed Buildings - Alternative Uses, Additions, Demolition and Development Within Their Setting?

Disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Excessive protection can prevent redevelopment, look at Tameside theatres as an example. If we want these buildings to be saved, excessive red tape needs to be removed. Listed status is great, but often condemns these buildings to further rot and decay. They become a major eyesore, sat there for decades with no development whatsoever.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL3: Conservation Areas - Managing Development Within or Adjoining?

Agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Again, this is good, but only if this does not stop development and doesn't cause more areas/buildings failing into disrepair to stand there for years and years.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL4: Historic Parks and Gardens - Managing Development?

Strongly agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL5: Designated Heritage Assets - Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Generation?

Agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

In essence, good... but will red tape cause more issues as outlined already above

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL6: Designated Heritage Assets and Enabling Development?

Strongly agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL7: Non-Designated Heritage Assets?

Agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL8: Landscapes, Townscape and Urban Form?

Agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL9: Design and Amenity?

Strongly agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL10: Design of All Types of New Residential Development?

Strongly agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL11: Design of Residential Additions, Alterations and Extensions?

Strongly agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Does it matter where the parking is... so long as cars are off the road and roads can be used safely

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL12: Design of Employment Sites?

Strongly agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL13: Design within Centres, Retail and Leisure Developments?

Strongly agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL14: Design of Commercial Frontages?

Agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

So long as red tape does not prevent development with ridiculous restrictions

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL15: Outdoor Sports, Recreation and Play Space?

Agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Outdoor sports, recreation is in major need in Tameside. These areas should be highly promoted

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL16: Design and Enhancement of the Waterside?

Strongly agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL17: Design of Public Realm and Urban Spaces?

Strongly agree

Please give a reason for your answer. If there are any amendments or alternative policy approaches you would like to propose, please detail these in your response.

If you have any further comments on the Places chapter of the plan, please provide them below.

POLICIES MAP AND INTEGRATED ASSESSMENT

A policies map has been published which shows the areas of land that relate to specific policies in this plan at this stage in the process. Future versions of

Homes, Spaces, Places will have specific allocations and further designations of land linked to policies. An updated policies map will be produced at that time to identify these.

If you have any comments on the Policies Map, please provide these below.

An Integrated Assessment Report has been produced to accompany this plan. The Integrated Assessment identifies the potential sustainability impacts of implementing the Homes, Spaces, Places Plan.

Do you consider that the Integrated Assessment has adequately assessed the potential environmental, social, and economic impacts of the proposed policy approaches and strategies within the Plan?

Are there any sections of the Integrated Assessment that require further explanation or clarification?