

Respondent ID

0009

Full Name

Ginene walker

If you are responding on behalf of a business, charity or other organisation, please state who you are responding on behalf of.

Myself

SPATIAL VISION

The plan sets out a spatial vision for Tameside that hopes to support in the vision and priorities within the Council's Corporate Plan. The proposed vision for the plan is set out below.

Tameside in 2042 will be:

- **Homes: A place where people are proud to live, with a range of attractive and affordable homes that meet local needs.**
- **Jobs: A place with a strong local economy where businesses choose to remain, invest and grow, and where residents can learn and earn.**
- **Centres: A place with a range of strong, vibrant and diverse centres which serve their local communities and where people want to spend time.**
- **Travel: A well-connected place, where active travel is the first and natural choice, with high quality public transport connections, where people can travel safely and conveniently to destinations both within and beyond the borough.**
- **Places: A place where our cultural and built heritage are cherished, and where good design creates sustainable, safe, inclusive and accessible places.**
- **Environment: A greener place with a thriving natural environment and clean air, where communities and infrastructure are sustainable and climate resilient.**
- **People: A place where children are given the best start in life, are able to exceed expectations and where everyone is able to live a healthy, happy and active life within thriving supportive communities, supported by the services they need.**

To what extent do you agree with the Homes, Spaces, Places Vision for Tameside?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

The land you are looking to develop is directly behind my property. I have recently purchased this property and I would not if I knew you were looking to develop the only open space that is now left once the link road is complete. Mottram is a small village and is now massively over developed. The traffic is obscene in the area. Residents of mottram are entitled to clean air and the traffic fumes, pollution needs to be taken into account. Since the link road started all you can smell is solvent in the air. Adding another development on this land proposed is unacceptable for the residents who live on Hyde Road and already put up with enough!

If you think there should be alternative wording for the Vision, please detail your proposed wording below.

Build someone else that is not over developed

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

To what extent do you agree with the Homes, Spaces, Places strategic objectives?

Homes: To ensure that new housing provides a range of suitable and affordable homes to meet residents' needs, whilst making efficient use of land.

Strongly disagree

Jobs: To enable all residents to share in the benefits of economic growth

Strongly disagree

Centres: To support the borough's centres to thrive, be vibrant and attractive and safe spaces where people choose to spend time.

Strongly disagree

Travel: To enable everyone to travel safely, conveniently and reduce congestion.

Strongly disagree

Places: To deliver development that makes a positive contribution to the character, heritage and identity of Tameside.

Strongly disagree

Environment: To ensure that new development responds positively to climate change and ecologically sensitive locations.

Strongly disagree

People: To reduce health inequalities, improve health outcomes and enable the provision of services and infrastructure to support new and existing communities.

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for the choices you provided above.

Mottram and the land at question is not the right area for any proposed development

If you think there should be alternative strategic objectives to those suggested, please detail these below.

Relocation of the proposed development

SPATIAL FRAMEWORK

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP S1: Overall Spatial Strategy?

Do not know

Please give a reason for your answer.

N/a

If you think there are any reasonable alternatives to Policy approach HSP S1, please detail these below.

N/a

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP S2: Neighbourhood Spatial Policies?

Do not know

Please give a reason for your answer.

N/a

If you think there are any reasonable alternatives to Policy approach HSP S2, please detail these below.

N/a

If you have any further comments on the Spatial Framework, please provide them below.

N/a

CENTRES

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C1: Network, Hierarchy and Designation of Centres?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C2: Primary Shopping Areas?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C3: Local Shopping Areas and Parades?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C4: Development Involving Retail and Leisure Uses?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C5: Markets?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C6: Healthier Food Environments

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

If you have any further comments on the Centres chapter of the plan, please provide them below.

Already provided

ENVIRONMENT

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP E1: Renewable Energy Opportunities?

Neutral

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP E2: Critical Drainage and Water Demand?

Neutral

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP E3: Biodiversity?

Neutral

Please give a reason for your answer.

If you have any further comments on the Environment chapter of the plan, please provide them below.

HOMES

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP H1: Housing Type, Mix, Tenure and Affordability?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP H2: Houses in Multiple Occupation (HMO)?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP H3: Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Show-People?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP H4: Back Land and Garden Development?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

If you have any further comments on the Homes chapter of the plan, please provide them below.

JOBS

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP J1: Existing Employment Areas?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP J2: New Employment Development?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP J3: Assessing the Loss of Employment Land or Accommodation?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP J4: The Visitor Economy?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

If you have any further comments on the Jobs chapter of the plan, please provide them below.

PEOPLE

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE1: Development and Healthier Environments?

Do not know

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE2: Publicly Accessible Green Spaces?

Neutral

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE3: Green Space Standards?

Neutral

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE4: Strategic Recreation and Countryside Access?

Neutral

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE5: Outdoor Sport and Recreational Facilities?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE6: Peak District National Park?

Neutral

Please give a reason for your answer.

If you have any further comments on the People chapter of the plan, please provide them below.

Already provided

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T1: General Approach?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T2: Trunk Road Developments?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T3: Rail Infrastructure?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T4: Rapid Transit?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T5: Facilities for Buses?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T6: Walking, Wheeling and Cycling?

Neutral

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T7: Freight Movement and Deliveries?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T8: Parking?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T9: EV Charging Infrastructure?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

If you have any further comments on the Travel chapter of the plan, please provide them below.

PLACES

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL1: Archaeology and Scheduled Monuments?

Neutral

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL2: Listed Buildings - Alternative Uses, Additions, Demolition and Development Within Their Setting?

Neutral

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL3: Conservation Areas - Managing Development Within or Adjoining?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL4: Historic Parks and Gardens - Managing Development?

Neutral

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL5: Designated Heritage Assets - Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Generation?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL6: Designated Heritage Assets and Enabling Development?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL7: Non-Designated Heritage Assets?

Neutral

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL8: Landscapes, Townscape and Urban Form?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL9: Design and Amenity?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL10: Design of All Types of New Residential Development?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL11: Design of Residential Additions, Alterations and Extensions?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL12: Design of Employment Sites?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL13: Design within Centres, Retail and Leisure Developments?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL14: Design of Commercial Frontages?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL15: Outdoor Sports, Recreation and Play Space?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL16: Design and Enhancement of the Waterside?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL17: Design of Public Realm and Urban Spaces?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer. If there are any amendments or alternative policy approaches you would like to propose, please detail these in your response.

If you have any further comments on the Places chapter of the plan, please provide them below.

Already provided

POLICIES MAP AND INTEGRATED ASSESSMENT

A policies map has been published which shows the areas of land that relate to specific policies in this plan at this stage in the process. Future versions of Homes, Spaces, Places will have specific allocations and further designations

of land linked to policies. An updated policies map will be produced at that time to identify these.

If you have any comments on the Policies Map, please provide these below.

Already provided my feedback

An Integrated Assessment Report has been produced to accompany this plan. The Integrated Assessment identifies the potential sustainability impacts of implementing the Homes, Spaces, Places Plan.

Do you consider that the Integrated Assessment has adequately assessed the potential environmental, social, and economic impacts of the proposed policy approaches and strategies within the Plan?

Are there any sections of the Integrated Assessment that require further explanation or clarification?