

Respondent ID

0046

Full Name

John Jones

If you are responding on behalf of a business, charity or other organisation, please state who you are responding on behalf of.

SPATIAL VISION

The plan sets out a spatial vision for Tameside that hopes to support in the vision and priorities within the Council's Corporate Plan. The proposed vision for the plan is set out below.

Tameside in 2042 will be:

- **Homes: A place where people are proud to live, with a range of attractive and affordable homes that meet local needs.**
- **Jobs: A place with a strong local economy where businesses choose to remain, invest and grow, and where residents can learn and earn.**
- **Centres: A place with a range of strong, vibrant and diverse centres which serve their local communities and where people want to spend time.**
- **Travel: A well-connected place, where active travel is the first and natural choice, with high quality public transport connections, where people can travel safely and conveniently to destinations both within and beyond the borough.**
- **Places: A place where our cultural and built heritage are cherished, and where good design creates sustainable, safe, inclusive and accessible places.**
- **Environment: A greener place with a thriving natural environment and clean air, where communities and infrastructure are sustainable and climate resilient.**
- **People: A place where children are given the best start in life, are able to exceed expectations and where everyone is able to live a healthy, happy and active life within thriving supportive communities, supported by the services they need.**

To what extent do you agree with the Homes, Spaces, Places Vision for Tameside?

Disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Not sure which document or part of document actually states the vision. Is it the "HSP Vision" (section 3.7 & 3.8)?

Under environment it says "A greener place".....How can that be when you're already building on land previously designated as greenbelt?

If you think there should be alternative wording for the Vision, please detail your proposed wording below.

It needs to emphasize priority must be given to building on brownfield sites, valuing existing buildings and investing in upgrading poor quality housing, buildings and infrastructure.

Town centres, particularly Hyde, are just being left to decay.

Greenbelt must be protected wherever possible.

Wherever there is development, sufficient support services, buildings and infrastructure must be required. I.e.schools, medical centres, libraries, green spaces, roads and transport links.

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

To what extent do you agree with the Homes, Spaces, Places strategic objectives?

Homes: To ensure that new housing provides a range of suitable and affordable homes to meet residents' needs, whilst making efficient use of land.

Neutral

Jobs: To enable all residents to share in the benefits of economic growth

Neutral

Centres: To support the borough's centres to thrive, be vibrant and attractive and safe spaces where people choose to spend time.

Agree

Travel: To enable everyone to travel safely, conveniently and reduce congestion.

Agree

Places: To deliver development that makes a positive contribution to the character, heritage and identity of Tameside.

Agree

Environment: To ensure that new development responds positively to climate change and ecologically sensitive locations.

Neutral

People: To reduce health inequalities, improve health outcomes and enable the provision of services and infrastructure to support new and existing communities.

Neutral

Please give a reason for the choices you provided above.

Environment does not go far enough. It should include protecting green spaces, wildlife. With bird and insect populations in decline and loss of habitat for mammals all areas are ecologically sensitive.

People does not aspire to improve people's health and wellbeing. What about developing and restoring damaged communities, encouraging pride in the environment, reducing litter, providing safe places to enjoy.

If you think there should be alternative strategic objectives to those suggested, please detail these below.

See above

SPATIAL FRAMEWORK

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP S1: Overall Spatial Strategy?

Neutral

Please give a reason for your answer.

Not clear where this is in the document.

Should it be section 5.9?

Not convinced that these statements are genuinely meant. Experience suggests there will be inadequate use of brownfield and existing buildings, as well as letting heritage assets fall into disrepair.

If you think there are any reasonable alternatives to Policy approach HSP S1, please detail these below.

See above

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP S2: Neighbourhood Spatial Policies?

Disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Insufficient detail. No explanation or rationale for the "growth priorities".

If you think there are any reasonable alternatives to Policy approach HSP S2, please detail these below.

If you have any further comments on the Spatial Framework, please provide them below.

Generally uneasy that what is promised will not be delivered or that it will be used as an excuse for future failings. It seems that the opinions of those most affected are often disregarded.

CENTRES

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C1: Network, Hierarchy and Designation of Centres?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Considering Hyde, it is being left to run down and is increasingly neglected. Hattersley is described as a small centre, but if Godley Green goes ahead there will be insufficient support services. There will be loss of green space.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C2: Primary Shopping Areas?

Disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Hyde and Denton were once thriving with a good range of local businesses and markets. What is there in this document to show how these will be restored or replaced with better alternatives?

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C3: Local Shopping Areas and Parades?

Disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

The reason areas are being run down are lack of parking, accessible transport and general neglect.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C4: Development Involving Retail and Leisure Uses?

Do not know

Please give a reason for your answer.

The floor areas etc are not meaningful without supporting information. How about putting in terms that a normal member of the public can understand?

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C5: Markets?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Seems to be lies. Council seem to be destroying any possibility for market trader to do well in Hyde - removal of stalls, increased parking charges. No mention of Hyde in this section. Appalling.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C6: Healthier Food Environments

Neutral

Please give a reason for your answer.

If you have any further comments on the Centres chapter of the plan, please provide them below.

ENVIRONMENT

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP E1: Renewable Energy Opportunities?

Do not know

Please give a reason for your answer.

Insufficient information

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP E2: Critical Drainage and Water Demand?

Do not know

Please give a reason for your answer.

Insufficient information. Also would be interested to know how this will be delivered for Godley Green, if it goes ahead.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP E3: Biodiversity?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

This is worse than the bare minimum. Only committing to "protect and enhance existing sites designated for nature conservation" is completely inadequate. All areas, urban, residential, rural, green space, industrial etc should be considered part of a policy for biodiversity.

If you have any further comments on the Environment chapter of the plan, please provide them below.

It does not address the unashamed reclassification of greenbelt to facilitate the approval of Godley Green.

HOMES

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP H1: Housing Type, Mix, Tenure and Affordability?

Agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

No mention of improving existing, but poor and run down housing stock.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP H2: Houses in Multiple Occupation (HMO)?

Do not know

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP H3: Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Show-People?

Do not know

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP H4: Back Land and Garden Development?

Agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

If you have any further comments on the Homes chapter of the plan, please provide them below.

JOB

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP J1: Existing Employment Areas?

Neutral

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP J2: New Employment Development?

Disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Just passing mention of innovation. What about new technologies, adapting to the online world, enabling industry to capitalize on new tech and communication?

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP J3: Assessing the Loss of Employment Land or Accommodation?

Neutral

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP J4: The Visitor Economy?

Neutral

Please give a reason for your answer.

If you have any further comments on the Jobs chapter of the plan, please provide them below.

PEOPLE

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE1: Development and Healthier Environments?

Agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

How?

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE2: Publicly Accessible Green Spaces?

Disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Find it hard to believe this as the land for Godley Green greenbelt status was revoked. Why should anyone believe this?

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE3: Green Space Standards?

Disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Find it hard to believe this as the land for Godley Green greenbelt status was revoked. Why should anyone believe this?

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE4: Strategic Recreation and Countryside Access?

Disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Find it hard to believe this as the land for Godley Green greenbelt status was revoked. Why should anyone believe this?

What about maintaining and improving deteriorating existing cycle routes, road markings etc?

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE5: Outdoor Sport and Recreational Facilities?

Neutral

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE6: Peak District National Park?

Neutral

Please give a reason for your answer.

Find it hard to believe this as the land for Godley Green greenbelt status was revoked. Why should anyone believe this?

If you have any further comments on the People chapter of the plan, please provide them below.

TRAVEL

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T1: General Approach?

Agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Hard to believe.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T2: Trunk Road Developments?

Disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Is that the only trunk road development?

The planned development will on shift the problem. It will not improve traffic that much.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T3: Rail Infrastructure?

Neutral

Please give a reason for your answer.

Will this help?

What about existing stations, station car parks and transport links to get to station?

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T4: Rapid Transit?

Do not know

Please give a reason for your answer.

Have not defined what you mean by rapid transit. Trams?

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T5: Facilities for Buses?

Agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T6: Walking, Wheeling and Cycling?

Agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T7: Freight Movement and Deliveries?

Neutral

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T8: Parking?

Disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

No mention of town centre parking charges and impact on local businesses. Or providing alternative parking, such as park and ride.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T9: EV Charging Infrastructure?

Neutral

Please give a reason for your answer.

If you have any further comments on the Travel chapter of the plan, please provide them below.

PLACES

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL1: Archaeology and Scheduled Monuments?

Agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Including Hyde Library?

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL2: Listed Buildings - Alternative Uses, Additions, Demolition and Development Within Their Setting?

Agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Are you really going to do all this?

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL3: Conservation Areas - Managing Development Within or Adjoining?

Agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL4: Historic Parks and Gardens - Managing Development?

Agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL5: Designated Heritage Assets - Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Generation?

Agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL6: Designated Heritage Assets and Enabling Development?

Disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Hyde Library did not have to be left to decay! This policy doesn't prevent that in future.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL7: Non-Designated Heritage Assets?

Agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL8: Landscapes, Townscape and Urban Form?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

How does this work with Godley Green. Landscape will not be preserved, respected and certainly not enhanced!

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL9: Design and Amenity?

Agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL10: Design of All Types of New Residential Development?

Agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL11: Design of Residential Additions, Alterations and Extensions?

Neutral

Please give a reason for your answer.

Not sure how bins can be kept away from front of dwellings.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL12: Design of Employment Sites?

Agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL13: Design within Centres, Retail and Leisure Developments?

Agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL14: Design of Commercial Frontages?

Agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL15: Outdoor Sports, Recreation and Play Space?

Agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL16: Design and Enhancement of the Waterside?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

No mention of wildlife and protection of habitats. Very poor!

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL17: Design of Public Realm and Urban Spaces?

Agree

Please give a reason for your answer. If there are any amendments or alternative policy approaches you would like to propose, please detail these in your response.

If you have any further comments on the Places chapter of the plan, please provide them below.

Fine words in many places. Will it be delivered?

POLICIES MAP AND INTEGRATED ASSESSMENT

A policies map has been published which shows the areas of land that relate to specific policies in this plan at this stage in the process. Future versions of Homes, Spaces, Places will have specific allocations and further designations of land linked to policies. An updated policies map will be produced at that time to identify these.

If you have any comments on the Policies Map, please provide these below.

An Integrated Assessment Report has been produced to accompany this plan. The Integrated Assessment identifies the potential sustainability impacts of implementing the Homes, Spaces, Places Plan.

Do you consider that the Integrated Assessment has adequately assessed the potential environmental, social, and economic impacts of the proposed policy approaches and strategies within the Plan?

Are there any sections of the Integrated Assessment that require further explanation or clarification?