

**Respondent ID**

0007

---

**Full Name**

Kim Scragg

**If you are responding on behalf of a business, charity or other organisation, please state who you are responding on behalf of.**

N/A

---

**SPATIAL VISION**

**The plan sets out a spatial vision for Tameside that hopes to support in the vision and priorities within the Council's Corporate Plan. The proposed vision for the plan is set out below.**

**Tameside in 2042 will be:**

- **Homes: A place where people are proud to live, with a range of attractive and affordable homes that meet local needs.**
- **Jobs: A place with a strong local economy where businesses choose to remain, invest and grow, and where residents can learn and earn.**
- **Centres: A place with a range of strong, vibrant and diverse centres which serve their local communities and where people want to spend time.**
- **Travel: A well-connected place, where active travel is the first and natural choice, with high quality public transport connections, where people can travel safely and conveniently to destinations both within and beyond the borough.**
- **Places: A place where our cultural and built heritage are cherished, and where good design creates sustainable, safe, inclusive and accessible places.**
- **Environment: A greener place with a thriving natural environment and clean air, where communities and infrastructure are sustainable and climate resilient.**
- **People: A place where children are given the best start in life, are able to exceed expectations and where everyone is able to live a healthy, happy and active life within thriving supportive communities, supported by the services they need.**

**To what extent do you agree with the Homes, Spaces, Places Vision for Tameside?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Too much building on greenbelt land there are plenty of sites that are Brownfield. Brownfield is more within towns which are ideal for transport links . Houses should be social housing and affordable housing as a priority not tokenistic

**If you think there should be alternative wording for the Vision, please detail your proposed wording below.**

Tameside protects the greenbelt for future generations, to prevent flooding and to support the environment.

---

**STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES**

**To what extent do you agree with the Homes, Spaces, Places strategic objectives?**

**Homes: To ensure that new housing provides a range of suitable and affordable homes to meet residents' needs, whilst making efficient use of land.**

Disagree

**Jobs: To enable all residents to share in the benefits of economic growth**

Neutral

**Centres: To support the borough's centres to thrive, be vibrant and attractive and safe spaces where people choose to spend time.**

Strongly agree

**Travel: To enable everyone to travel safely, conveniently and reduce congestion.**

Neutral

**Places: To deliver development that makes a positive contribution to the character, heritage and identity of Tameside.**

Agree

**Environment: To ensure that new development responds positively to climate change and ecologically sensitive locations.**

Strongly agree

**People: To reduce health inequalities, improve health outcomes and enable the provision of services and infrastructure to support new and existing communities.**

Neutral

**Please give a reason for the choices you provided above.**

Housing should meet current need which is social and affordable housing that has easy access to transport . Greenbelt should be left to give all free access to healthy activities

**If you think there should be alternative strategic objectives to those suggested, please detail these below.**

Preservation of Greenbelt land

---

## SPATIAL FRAMEWORK

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP S1: Overall Spatial Strategy?**

Neutral

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Does not make sense contradictory

**If you think there are any reasonable alternatives to Policy approach HSP S1, please detail these below.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP S2: Neighbourhood Spatial Policies?**

Neutral

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Restricting and unable to come to fruition

**If you think there are any reasonable alternatives to Policy approach HSP S2, please detail these below.**

**If you have any further comments on the Spatial Framework, please provide them below.**

---

## CENTRES

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C1: Network, Hierarchy and Designation of Centres?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Same old , same old . Out of step with most people's vision .

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C2: Primary Shopping Areas?**

Disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

All centres should have equal status , Ashton has continually failed to be popular and is full of drug taking people making it not a place of choice, whereas Stalybridge is a pleasant place with some unique shops and bars

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C3: Local Shopping Areas and Parades?**

Disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Too visionary and not practical

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C4: Development Involving Retail and Leisure Uses?**

Neutral

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Not enough detail on investment

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C5: Markets?**

Disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Already destroyed our markets , they will never return

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C6: Healthier Food Environments**

Disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Too much money given to council from fast food establishments in rents etc

**If you have any further comments on the Centres chapter of the plan, please provide them below.**

---

## **ENVIRONMENT**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP E1: Renewable Energy Opportunities?**

Disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Need solar on buildings not in fields . Too much reliance on electricity

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP E2: Critical Drainage and Water Demand?**

Neutral

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP E3: Biodiversity?**

Disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

You haven't a clue as clearly do not understand the benefits of our boroughs' greenbelt

**If you have any further comments on the Environment chapter of the plan, please provide them below.**

---

## **HOMES**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP H1: Housing Type, Mix, Tenure and Affordability?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Just build what we need for our families and that is social and affordable housing. We don't need these executive houses

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP H2: Houses in Multiple Occupation (HMO)?**

Neutral

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Wouldn't want to live near them and expectations are that everyone is vetted who live there for safeguarding concerns

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP H3: Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Show-People?**

Neutral

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP H4: Back Land and Garden Development?**

Disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**If you have any further comments on the Homes chapter of the plan, please provide them below.**

Absolute disgrace giving up our greenbelt, future generations will suffer

---

## **JOBS**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP J1: Existing Employment Areas?**

Disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP J2: New Employment Development?**

Neutral

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Tameside is a void where businesses are hammered with taxes , no incentives

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP J3: Assessing the Loss of Employment Land or Accommodation?**

Neutral

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP J4: The Visitor Economy?**

Do not know

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Doesn't make sense the vision

**If you have any further comments on the Jobs chapter of the plan, please provide them below.**

---

**PEOPLE**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE1: Development and Healthier Environments?**

Neutral

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

You haven't a clue what you are doing , exams building on greenbelt , chopping down trees and concreting walks in the countryside. All free for everyone

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE2: Publicly Accessible Green Spaces?**

Disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Totally lies when most building is being down on prime green space

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE3: Green Space Standards?**

Neutral

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE4: Strategic Recreation and Countryside Access?**

Disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

You haven't a clue what you are doing , contradictory

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE5: Outdoor Sport and Recreational Facilities?**

Disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Closed too many places , baths , the Rec in Hattersley

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE6: Peak District National Park?**

Agree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**If you have any further comments on the People chapter of the plan, please provide them below.**

---

## TRAVEL

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T1: General Approach?**

Disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Public transport unreliable and cannot access from rural location

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T2: Trunk Road Developments?**

Disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Again destroying wildlife and housing in the wake of this

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T3: Rail Infrastructure?**

Neutral

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

I'll believe it when I see it

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T4: Rapid Transit?**

Neutral

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Not proven

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T5: Facilities for Buses?**

Disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Buses running on diesel trying to tell us they are electric . Massive diesel generators , your having a laugh now

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T6: Walking, Wheeling and Cycling?**

Disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Try cycling with 30 sheep on a bike . Too many cycling lanes causing congestion that are not used. Cyclists on footpaths but no one enforcing this so vandalising routes

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T7: Freight Movement and Deliveries?**

Do not know

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T8: Parking?**

Disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Too few spaces or not enough park and ride

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T9: EV Charging Infrastructure?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Electric cars are fire risks and damage the environment. Where are these batteries going to end up ?

**If you have any further comments on the Travel chapter of the plan, please provide them below.**

---

**PLACES**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL1: Archaeology and Scheduled Monuments?**

Neutral

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Some are waste of money , spent on adult social care instead

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL2: Listed Buildings - Alternative Uses, Additions, Demolition and Development Within Their Setting?**

Neutral

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Waste of money

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL3: Conservation Areas - Managing Development Within or Adjoining?**

Neutral

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Seems you do what you want

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL4: Historic Parks and Gardens - Managing Development?**

Neutral

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Already on a back foot as investment has been lacking for years

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL5: Designated Heritage Assets - Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Generation?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

You haven't a clue on this

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL6: Designated Heritage Assets and Enabling Development?**

Do not know

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL7: Non-Designated Heritage Assets?**

Do not know

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL8: Landscapes, Townscape and Urban Form?**

Disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Ruining what was once a beautiful borough

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL9: Design and Amenity?**

Do not know

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL10: Design of All Types of New Residential Development?**

Disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Houses are thrown up , habitat displaced and beautiful sites concreted over .  
Disgrace

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL11: Design of Residential Additions, Alterations and Extensions?**

Disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Currently free for all build stables , tomorrow it's a house

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL12: Design of Employment Sites?**

Do not know

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL13: Design within Centres, Retail and Leisure Developments?**

Neutral

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Not interested

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL14: Design of Commercial Frontages?**

Neutral

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL15: Outdoor Sports, Recreation and Play Space?**

Disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

A bit late given closures of baths etc

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL16: Design and Enhancement of the Waterside?**

Neutral

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL17: Design of Public Realm and Urban Spaces?**

Neutral

**Please give a reason for your answer. If there are any amendments or alternative policy approaches you would like to propose, please detail these in your response.**

**If you have any further comments on the Places chapter of the plan, please provide them below.**

---

## **POLICIES MAP AND INTEGRATED ASSESSMENT**

**A policies map has been published which shows the areas of land that relate to specific policies in this plan at this stage in the process. Future versions of Homes, Spaces, Places will have specific allocations and further designations of land linked to policies. An updated policies map will be produced at that time to identify these.**

**If you have any comments on the Policies Map, please provide these below.**

Need to see updated versions

**An Integrated Assessment Report has been produced to accompany this plan. The Integrated Assessment identifies the potential sustainability impacts of implementing the Homes, Spaces, Places Plan.**

**Do you consider that the Integrated Assessment has adequately assessed the potential environmental, social, and economic impacts of the proposed policy approaches and strategies within the Plan?**

**Are there any sections of the Integrated Assessment that require further explanation or clarification?**

Tameside has not listened to over 4000 people on homes so why now ?