

**Respondent ID**

0040

---

**Full Name**

Melanie Bray

**If you are responding on behalf of a business, charity or other organisation, please state who you are responding on behalf of.**

-

---

**SPATIAL VISION**

**The plan sets out a spatial vision for Tameside that hopes to support in the vision and priorities within the Council's Corporate Plan. The proposed vision for the plan is set out below.**

**Tameside in 2042 will be:**

- **Homes: A place where people are proud to live, with a range of attractive and affordable homes that meet local needs.**
- **Jobs: A place with a strong local economy where businesses choose to remain, invest and grow, and where residents can learn and earn.**
- **Centres: A place with a range of strong, vibrant and diverse centres which serve their local communities and where people want to spend time.**
- **Travel: A well-connected place, where active travel is the first and natural choice, with high quality public transport connections, where people can travel safely and conveniently to destinations both within and beyond the borough.**
- **Places: A place where our cultural and built heritage are cherished, and where good design creates sustainable, safe, inclusive and accessible places.**
- **Environment: A greener place with a thriving natural environment and clean air, where communities and infrastructure are sustainable and climate resilient.**
- **People: A place where children are given the best start in life, are able to exceed expectations and where everyone is able to live a healthy, happy and active life within thriving supportive communities, supported by the services they need.**

**To what extent do you agree with the Homes, Spaces, Places Vision for Tameside?**

Strongly agree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

I fully agree with the Vision but the planned housing development goes against everything in the vision

**If you think there should be alternative wording for the Vision, please detail your proposed wording below.**

No, the planning needs to conform to the vision, building executive homes on Greenbelt land does nothing for the vision

---

## **STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES**

**To what extent do you agree with the Homes, Spaces, Places strategic objectives?**

**Homes: To ensure that new housing provides a range of suitable and affordable homes to meet residents' needs, whilst making efficient use of land.**

Agree

**Jobs: To enable all residents to share in the benefits of economic growth**

Agree

**Centres: To support the borough's centres to thrive, be vibrant and attractive and safe spaces where people choose to spend time.**

Agree

**Travel: To enable everyone to travel safely, conveniently and reduce congestion.**

Agree

**Places: To deliver development that makes a positive contribution to the character, heritage and identity of Tameside.**

Agree

**Environment: To ensure that new development responds positively to climate change and ecologically sensitive locations.**

Agree

**People: To reduce health inequalities, improve health outcomes and enable the provision of services and infrastructure to support new and existing communities.**

Agree

**Please give a reason for the choices you provided above.**

I agree with the objectives but plans for Hyde and Godley Green go against the objectives

**If you think there should be alternative strategic objectives to those suggested, please detail these below.**

You should work to the objectives which means not building on Greenbelt land and developing the town centre in Hyde

---

## **SPATIAL FRAMEWORK**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP S1: Overall Spatial Strategy?**

Disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Spatial strategy needs reviewing.

**If you think there are any reasonable alternatives to Policy approach HSP S1, please detail these below.**

See Stockport, they didn't agree with the SP and did their own thing and have developed their town centre with suitable housing

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP S2: Neighbourhood Spatial Policies?**

Disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

See Stockport, they didn't agree with the SP and did their own thing and have developed their town centre with suitable housing

**If you think there are any reasonable alternatives to Policy approach HSP S2, please detail these below.**

See Stockport, they didn't agree with the SP and did their own thing and have developed their town centre with suitable housing

**If you have any further comments on the Spatial Framework, please provide them below.**

It needs reviewing. We need affordable homes for local people in the town centre, not empty shops. See Stockport, they didn't agree with the SP and did their own thing and have developed their town centre with suitable housing

---

## **CENTRES**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C1: Network, Hierarchy and Designation of Centres?**

Neutral

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Need to keep own Greenbelt and green spaces

Need to develop public transport and public services

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C2: Primary Shopping Areas?**

Neutral

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Too many empty shops in Hyde, redevelop the town centre with affordable properties for renters and first time buyers

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C3: Local Shopping Areas and Parades?**

Neutral

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Redevelop Hyde town centre

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C4: Development Involving Retail and Leisure Uses?**

Disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Redevelop Hyde

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C5: Markets?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Hyde market has been completely ruined, used to be thriving space

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C6: Healthier Food Environments**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Hyde is full of takeaways

Used to have fresh fruit and veg shops and on the market

**If you have any further comments on the Centres chapter of the plan, please provide them below.**

Hyde town centre is a disgrace, all the money gets spent on Ashton

---

**ENVIRONMENT**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP E1: Renewable Energy Opportunities?**

Do not know

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

I don't see any effort by the council to improve people's access to renewable energy or any initiatives to use renewables by the council

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP E2: Critical Drainage and Water Demand?**

Do not know

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP E3: Biodiversity?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Plans to build on Greenbelt in Hyde will kill off the biodiversity . Contradictory

**If you have any further comments on the Environment chapter of the plan, please provide them below.**

Disgusting plans to build on the Greenbelt, council only concerned with getting Council tax revenue. Could build in the town centres, the countryside is Tamesides biggest asset and you want to destroy it. Short term gains and will never get that

back. Disgraceful, no one in Hyde wants this but you don't listen to us that live here, we want to protect our green spaces for the future.

---

## HOMES

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP H1: Housing Type, Mix, Tenure and Affordability?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

These will not be affordable

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP H2: Houses in Multiple Occupation (HMO)?**

Do not know

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP H3: Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Show-People?**

Do not know

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP H4: Back Land and Garden Development?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**If you have any further comments on the Homes chapter of the plan, please provide them below.**

---

## JOBS

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP J1: Existing Employment Areas?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Not creating jobs

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP J2: New Employment Development?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Not creating jobs

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP J3: Assessing the Loss of Employment Land or Accommodation?**

Do not know

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP J4: The Visitor Economy?**

Do not know

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**If you have any further comments on the Jobs chapter of the plan, please provide them below.**

---

## PEOPLE

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE1: Development and Healthier Environments?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

How can building on Greenbelt and loss of biodiversity be healthy ?

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE2: Publicly Accessible Green Spaces?**

Strongly disagree

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

We already have enough access to green spaces. We have great countryside in Hyde, building all over it won't make better access, as it will be gone

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE3: Green Space Standards?**

Neutral

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Don't build on Greenbelt land

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE4: Strategic Recreation and Countryside Access?**

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

We have access to the countryside in Hyde but we will lose that if you build all over it

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE5: Outdoor Sport and Recreational Facilities?**

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Building an extra rec won't make up for building all over the Greenbelt land

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE6: Peak District National Park?**

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**If you have any further comments on the People chapter of the plan, please provide them below.**

---

## TRAVEL

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T1: General Approach?**

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T2: Trunk Road Developments?**

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T3: Rail Infrastructure?**

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Trains finish too early and don't start early enough on Sunday mornings

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T4: Rapid Transit?**

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T5: Facilities for Buses?**

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T6: Walking, Wheeling and Cycling?**

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T7: Freight Movement and Deliveries?**

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T8: Parking?**

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T9: EV Charging Infrastructure?**

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**If you have any further comments on the Travel chapter of the plan, please provide them below.**

---

## **PLACES**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL1: Archaeology and Scheduled Monuments?**

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL2: Listed Buildings - Alternative Uses, Additions, Demolition and Development Within Their Setting?**

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL3: Conservation Areas - Managing Development Within or Adjoining?**

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL4: Historic Parks and Gardens - Managing Development?**

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL5: Designated Heritage Assets - Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Generation?**

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL6: Designated Heritage Assets and Enabling Development?**

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL7: Non-Designated Heritage Assets?**

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL8: Landscapes, Townscape and Urban Form?**

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL9: Design and Amenity?**

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL10: Design of All Types of New Residential Development?**

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL11: Design of Residential Additions, Alterations and Extensions?**

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL12: Design of Employment Sites?**

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL13: Design within Centres, Retail and Leisure Developments?**

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL14: Design of Commercial Frontages?**

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL15: Outdoor Sports, Recreation and Play Space?**

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL16: Design and Enhancement of the Waterside?**

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL17: Design of Public Realm and Urban Spaces?**

**Please give a reason for your answer. If there are any amendments or alternative policy approaches you would like to propose, please detail these in your response.**

**If you have any further comments on the Places chapter of the plan, please provide them below.**

---

## **POLICIES MAP AND INTEGRATED ASSESSMENT**

**A policies map has been published which shows the areas of land that relate to specific policies in this plan at this stage in the process. Future versions of Homes, Spaces, Places will have specific allocations and further designations of land linked to policies. An updated policies map will be produced at that time to identify these.**

**If you have any comments on the Policies Map, please provide these below.**

**An Integrated Assessment Report has been produced to accompany this plan. The Integrated Assessment identifies the potential sustainability impacts of implementing the Homes, Spaces, Places Plan.**

**Do you consider that the Integrated Assessment has adequately assessed the potential environmental, social, and economic impacts of the proposed policy approaches and strategies within the Plan?**

**Are there any sections of the Integrated Assessment that require further explanation or clarification?**