

Respondent ID

0023

Full Name

Michael Edwards

If you are responding on behalf of a business, charity or other organisation, please state who you are responding on behalf of.

SPATIAL VISION

The plan sets out a spatial vision for Tameside that hopes to support in the vision and priorities within the Council's Corporate Plan. The proposed vision for the plan is set out below.

Tameside in 2042 will be:

- **Homes: A place where people are proud to live, with a range of attractive and affordable homes that meet local needs.**
- **Jobs: A place with a strong local economy where businesses choose to remain, invest and grow, and where residents can learn and earn.**
- **Centres: A place with a range of strong, vibrant and diverse centres which serve their local communities and where people want to spend time.**
- **Travel: A well-connected place, where active travel is the first and natural choice, with high quality public transport connections, where people can travel safely and conveniently to destinations both within and beyond the borough.**
- **Places: A place where our cultural and built heritage are cherished, and where good design creates sustainable, safe, inclusive and accessible places.**
- **Environment: A greener place with a thriving natural environment and clean air, where communities and infrastructure are sustainable and climate resilient.**
- **People: A place where children are given the best start in life, are able to exceed expectations and where everyone is able to live a healthy, happy and active life within thriving supportive communities, supported by the services they need.**

To what extent do you agree with the Homes, Spaces, Places Vision for Tameside?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

You are taking away valuable greenspace that people need for mental health, healthy minds and peace. Every last available plot of grass in hattersley and mottram has been built on forcing people to go to these areas for peace and tranquility.

Over 2500 homes are going to be built (not all from this proposal but some on godley green to) which means roughly 6000-8000 people are going to live in them. Doctors, dentists and schools are already over prescribed with no space for extra patients. There is very very little for these extra children and families to do activities wise which will further cause burden on the police force who are already stretched.

Another burden which is our local tameside hospital which is currently already massively over run with patients and A&E patients.

Roads which are just about navigable during peak times (like starting school, or work or finishing times) are going to become grid locked as the roads and infrastructure was and is not built to have this many homes and people living here. And speaking if infrastructure we the reservoir which has not been upgraded or maintained properly for years will struggle to cope with the demand for the extra houses.

Wildlife and green space which makes this area of hyde, hattersley and mottram desirable place to live will cease to exist anymore and will forever ruin communities.

If you think there should be alternative wording for the Vision, please detail your proposed wording below.

Yes build on brownfield sites first! There is plenty around. And empty houses sat there not being regenerated for years causing this problem. In hattersley alone over 40 houses were knocked down facing tesco and no more were built on there because of pylons so onward homes was allowed to sell valuable land. But lets add if people cannot live there or houses cannot be built because of pylons how is it possible that people cannot live work under them for 8-12 hours a day

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

To what extent do you agree with the Homes, Spaces, Places strategic objectives?

Homes: To ensure that new housing provides a range of suitable and affordable homes to meet residents' needs, whilst making efficient use of land.

Strongly disagree

Jobs: To enable all residents to share in the benefits of economic growth

Strongly disagree

Centres: To support the borough's centres to thrive, be vibrant and attractive and safe spaces where people choose to spend time.

Strongly disagree

Travel: To enable everyone to travel safely, conveniently and reduce congestion.

Strongly disagree

Places: To deliver development that makes a positive contribution to the character, heritage and identity of Tameside.

Strongly disagree

Environment: To ensure that new development responds positively to climate change and ecologically sensitive locations.

Strongly disagree

People: To reduce health inequalities, improve health outcomes and enable the provision of services and infrastructure to support new and existing communities.

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for the choices you provided above.

I disagree for everything

If you think there should be alternative strategic objectives to those suggested, please detail these below.

Everything you asked me is to go on your own favour. You cannot possibly reduce congestion when building over 2500 homes and introducing roughly 5000-7000 more cars into tameside. And cannot possibly reduce health inequalities or make new developments respond positively to climate change when your taking away green space.

SPATIAL FRAMEWORK

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP S1: Overall Spatial Strategy?

Strongly agree

Please give a reason for your answer.

As already previously stated there is no infrastructure in Tameside, Hyde, or Mottram or Hattersley than can cope with the sheer amount of houses, cars, school, doctors, dentists, hospital places needed. You live in a dream world rubbing your hands together for council tax

If you think there are any reasonable alternatives to Policy approach HSP S1, please detail these below.

Brownfield sites and already empty properties its clear and obvious

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP S2: Neighbourhood Spatial Policies?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

If you think there are any reasonable alternatives to Policy approach HSP S2, please detail these below.

Build on brownfield sites first. And suitable locations with infrastructure already in place

If you have any further comments on the Spatial Framework, please provide them below.

You couldn't have made this forms any harder for the average person to fill out. Talking about HSP this and that. Like the average person knows what they are. All designed to tick boxes and make yourselves look like you have done everything legally necessary to decimate the area

CENTRES

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C1: Network, Hierarchy and Designation of Centres?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Why do i have to keep answering the same questions ive already addressed in my initial statement

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C2: Primary Shopping Areas?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Why do i have to keep answering the same questions ive already addressed in my initial statement

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C3: Local Shopping Areas and Parades?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Why do i have to keep answering the same questions ive already addressed in my initial statement

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C4: Development Involving Retail and Leisure Uses?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Why do i have to keep answering the same questions ive already addressed in my initial statement

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C5: Markets?

Please give a reason for your answer.

Why do i have to keep answering the same questions ive already addressed in my initial statement

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C6: Healthier Food Environments

Please give a reason for your answer.

Why do i have to keep answering the same questions ive already addressed in my initial statement

If you have any further comments on the Centres chapter of the plan, please provide them below.

Why do i have to keep answering the same questions ive already addressed in my initial statement

ENVIRONMENT

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP E1: Renewable Energy Opportunities?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Why do i have to keep answering the same questions ive already addressed in my initial statement

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP E2: Critical Drainage and Water Demand?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Why do i have to keep answering the same questions ive already addressed in my initial statement

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP E3: Biodiversity?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Why do i have to keep answering the same questions ive already addressed in my initial statement

If you have any further comments on the Environment chapter of the plan, please provide them below.

Why do i have to keep answering the same questions ive already addressed in my initial statement

HOMES

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP H1: Housing Type, Mix, Tenure and Affordability?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Why do i have to keep answering the same questions ive already addressed in my initial statement

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP H2: Houses in Multiple Occupation (HMO)?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Why do i have to keep answering the same questions ive already addressed in my initial statement

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP H3: Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Show-People?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Why do i have to keep answering the same questions ive already addressed in my initial statement

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP H4: Back Land and Garden Development?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Why do i have to keep answering the same questions ive already addressed in my initial statement

If you have any further comments on the Homes chapter of the plan, please provide them below.

Why do i have to keep answering the same questions ive already addressed in my initial statement

JOBS

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP J1: Existing Employment Areas?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

More minimum wage jobs for more poverty stricken people cheers

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP J2: New Employment Development?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

More minimum wage jobs for everyone thanks a bunch (sarcasm)

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP J3: Assessing the Loss of Employment Land or Accommodation?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP J4: The Visitor Economy?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

If you have any further comments on the Jobs chapter of the plan, please provide them below.

PEOPLE

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE1: Development and Healthier Environments?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

How is this healthier for anyone, taking away wildlife and walking spaces just to create a concrete jungle called Tameside

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE2: Publicly Accessible Green Spaces?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

What accessible green spaces your building on them all

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE3: Green Space Standards?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE4: Strategic Recreation and Countryside Access?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE5: Outdoor Sport and Recreational Facilities?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE6: Peak District National Park?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

If you have any further comments on the People chapter of the plan, please provide them below.

TRAVEL

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T1: General Approach?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Travel is going to get worse with 6000+ extra vehicles

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T2: Trunk Road Developments?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Travel is going to get worse with 6000+ extra vehicles

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T3: Rail Infrastructure?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Travel is going to get worse with 6000+ extra vehicles

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T4: Rapid Transit?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Travel is going to get worse with 6000+ extra vehicles

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T5: Facilities for Buses?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Travel is going to get worse with 6000+ extra vehicles

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T6: Walking, Wheeling and Cycling?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Travel is going to get worse with 6000+ extra vehicles

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T7: Freight Movement and Deliveries?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Travel is going to get worse with 6000+ extra vehicles

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T8: Parking?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Travel is going to get worse with 6000+ extra vehicles

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T9: EV Charging Infrastructure?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

Travel is going to get worse with 6000+ extra vehicles

If you have any further comments on the Travel chapter of the plan, please provide them below.

Travel is going to get worse with 6000+ extra vehicles

PLACES

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL1: Archaeology and Scheduled Monuments?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL2: Listed Buildings - Alternative Uses, Additions, Demolition and Development Within Their Setting?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL3: Conservation Areas - Managing Development Within or Adjoining?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL4: Historic Parks and Gardens - Managing Development?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL5: Designated Heritage Assets - Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Generation?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL6: Designated Heritage Assets and Enabling Development?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL7: Non-Designated Heritage Assets?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL8: Landscapes, Townscape and Urban Form?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL9: Design and Amenity?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL10: Design of All Types of New Residential Development?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL11: Design of Residential Additions, Alterations and Extensions?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL12: Design of Employment Sites?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL13: Design within Centres, Retail and Leisure Developments?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL14: Design of Commercial Frontages?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL15: Outdoor Sports, Recreation and Play Space?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL16: Design and Enhancement of the Waterside?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL17: Design of Public Realm and Urban Spaces?

Strongly disagree

Please give a reason for your answer. If there are any amendments or alternative policy approaches you would like to propose, please detail these in your response.

If you have any further comments on the Places chapter of the plan, please provide them below.

POLICIES MAP AND INTEGRATED ASSESSMENT

A policies map has been published which shows the areas of land that relate to specific policies in this plan at this stage in the process. Future versions of Homes, Spaces, Places will have specific allocations and further designations of land linked to policies. An updated policies map will be produced at that time to identify these.

If you have any comments on the Policies Map, please provide these below.

I think its ridiculous and a massive joke, everyone at TMBC who orchestrated this joke does not live anywhere near this or has to deal with any of this and have all successfully campaigned to not have these homes built near where you live because you know the chaos it will cause.

An Integrated Assessment Report has been produced to accompany this plan. The Integrated Assessment identifies the potential sustainability impacts of implementing the Homes, Spaces, Places Plan.

Do you consider that the Integrated Assessment has adequately assessed the potential environmental, social, and economic impacts of the proposed policy approaches and strategies within the Plan?

Are there any sections of the Integrated Assessment that require further explanation or clarification?

The whole conclusion of how you believe that building over 2500+ homes adding 6000+ cars to the roads the building traffic, road works and destruction of green belt land and farms. Can be beneficial for anyone or the environment or congestion is beyond me.