

**Respondent ID**

0034

---

**Full Name**

Rachael Thomas

**If you are responding on behalf of a business, charity or other organisation, please state who you are responding on behalf of.**

---

**SPATIAL VISION**

**The plan sets out a spatial vision for Tameside that hopes to support in the vision and priorities within the Council's Corporate Plan. The proposed vision for the plan is set out below.**

**Tameside in 2042 will be:**

- **Homes: A place where people are proud to live, with a range of attractive and affordable homes that meet local needs.**
- **Jobs: A place with a strong local economy where businesses choose to remain, invest and grow, and where residents can learn and earn.**
- **Centres: A place with a range of strong, vibrant and diverse centres which serve their local communities and where people want to spend time.**
- **Travel: A well-connected place, where active travel is the first and natural choice, with high quality public transport connections, where people can travel safely and conveniently to destinations both within and beyond the borough.**
- **Places: A place where our cultural and built heritage are cherished, and where good design creates sustainable, safe, inclusive and accessible places.**
- **Environment: A greener place with a thriving natural environment and clean air, where communities and infrastructure are sustainable and climate resilient.**
- **People: A place where children are given the best start in life, are able to exceed expectations and where everyone is able to live a healthy, happy and active life within thriving supportive communities, supported by the services they need.**

**To what extent do you agree with the Homes, Spaces, Places Vision for Tameside?**

Do not know

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

It's difficult to reconcile this vision with the reality of the near term plans that Tameside outline, which in my immediate area include a disproportionate number of new homes utilising greenbelt space (which I am not convinced appropriate procedures have been followed to reclaim as non greenbelt), without any plans to improve infrastructure to support thousands more cars and families, no school or health considerations, no investment in better town centres that attract spending by the population and generate investment in the local economy. All of this and utilising and developing brownfield, and meeting needs this way is not considered. Developers appear to be given minimal restrictions to do what is more instantly profitable for them and the council as opposed to longer term more sustainable investment.

**If you think there should be alternative wording for the Vision, please detail your proposed wording below.**

See above. The vision is not the issue.

---

**STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES**

**To what extent do you agree with the Homes, Spaces, Places strategic objectives?**

**Homes: To ensure that new housing provides a range of suitable and affordable homes to meet residents' needs, whilst making efficient use of land.**

Do not know

**Jobs: To enable all residents to share in the benefits of economic growth**

Agree

**Centres: To support the borough's centres to thrive, be vibrant and attractive and safe spaces where people choose to spend time.**

Agree

**Travel: To enable everyone to travel safely, conveniently and reduce congestion.**

Agree

**Places: To deliver development that makes a positive contribution to the character, heritage and identity of Tameside.**

Agree

**Environment: To ensure that new development responds positively to climate change and ecologically sensitive locations.**

Agree

**People: To reduce health inequalities, improve health outcomes and enable the provision of services and infrastructure to support new and existing communities.**

Agree

**Please give a reason for the choices you provided above.**

There is no issue with these being important areas. See response to question 1 - the issue is that there is no tangible actions seen by me or other local residents that believe this will become a reality when current actions are to overpopulate the local area in south Hyde by destroying land that was greenbelt without sufficient consideration of the points noted previously.

**If you think there should be alternative strategic objectives to those suggested, please detail these below.**

The strategic objectives are not the issue. How these will be met is.

Proper consideration of brownfield space is needed. Better consideration for the town centres including effective use of business rates to attract businesses that will create more variety and a thriving local town that people actually want to visit will need to be considering the actions to meet these strategic objectives in a meaningful way.

---

## **SPATIAL FRAMEWORK**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP S1: Overall Spatial Strategy?**

Do not know

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

See previous responses. It is difficult to confirm agreement with the objectives when the current plans appear to go completely against how these will effectively be met.

**If you think there are any reasonable alternatives to Policy approach HSP S1, please detail these below.**

See previous responses. Examples include It's difficult to reconcile this vision with the reality of the near term plans that Tameside outline, which in my immediate area include a disproportionate number of new homes utilising greenbelt space (which I

am not convinced appropriate procedures have been followed to reclaim as non greenbelt), without any plans to improve infrastructure to support thousands more cars and families, no school or health considerations, no investment in better town centres that attract spending by the population and generate investment in the local economy. All of this and utilising and developing brownfield, and meeting needs this way is not considered. Developers appear to be given minimal restrictions to do what is more instantly profitable for them and the council as opposed to longer term more sustainable investment.

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP S2: Neighbourhood Spatial Policies?**

Do not know

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

As above.

**If you think there are any reasonable alternatives to Policy approach HSP S2, please detail these below.**

As above.

**If you have any further comments on the Spatial Framework, please provide them below.**

As above.

---

**CENTRES**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C1: Network, Hierarchy and Designation of Centres?**

Do not know

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

As per previous responses. It's difficult to reconcile this vision with the reality of the near term plans that Tameside outline, which in my immediate area include a disproportionate number of new homes utilising greenbelt space (which I am not convinced appropriate procedures have been followed to reclaim as non greenbelt), without any plans to improve infrastructure to support thousands more cars and families, no school or health considerations, no investment in better town centres that attract spending by the population and generate investment in the local economy. All of this and utilising and developing brownfield, and meeting needs this way is not considered. Developers appear to be given minimal restrictions to do what is more instantly profitable for them and the council as opposed to longer term more sustainable investment.

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C2: Primary Shopping Areas?**

Do not know

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

As per previous responses. It's difficult to reconcile this vision with the reality of the near term plans that Tameside outline, which in my immediate area include a disproportionate number of new homes utilising greenbelt space (which I am not convinced appropriate procedures have been followed to reclaim as non greenbelt), without any plans to improve infrastructure to support thousands more cars and families, no school or health considerations, no investment in better town centres that attract spending by the population and generate investment in the local economy. All of this and utilising and developing brownfield, and meeting needs this way is not considered. Developers appear to be given minimal restrictions to do what is more instantly profitable for them and the council as opposed to longer term more sustainable investment.

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C3: Local Shopping Areas and Parades?**

Do not know

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

As per previous responses. It's difficult to reconcile this vision with the reality of the near term plans that Tameside outline, which in my immediate area include a disproportionate number of new homes utilising greenbelt space (which I am not convinced appropriate procedures have been followed to reclaim as non greenbelt), without any plans to improve infrastructure to support thousands more cars and families, no school or health considerations, no investment in better town centres that attract spending by the population and generate investment in the local economy. All of this and utilising and developing brownfield, and meeting needs this way is not considered. Developers appear to be given minimal restrictions to do what is more instantly profitable for them and the council as opposed to longer term more sustainable investment.

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C4: Development Involving Retail and Leisure Uses?**

Do not know

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

As per previous responses. It's difficult to reconcile this vision with the reality of the near term plans that Tameside outline, which in my immediate area include a disproportionate number of new homes utilising greenbelt space (which I am not convinced appropriate procedures have been followed to reclaim as non greenbelt),

without any plans to improve infrastructure to support thousands more cars and families, no school or health considerations, no investment in better town centres that attract spending by the population and generate investment in the local economy. All of this and utilising and developing brownfield, and meeting needs this way is not considered. Developers appear to be given minimal restrictions to do what is more instantly profitable for them and the council as opposed to longer term more sustainable investment.

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C5: Markets?**

Do not know

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

As per previous responses. It's difficult to reconcile this vision with the reality of the near term plans that Tameside outline, which in my immediate area include a disproportionate number of new homes utilising greenbelt space (which I am not convinced appropriate procedures have been followed to reclaim as non greenbelt), without any plans to improve infrastructure to support thousands more cars and families, no school or health considerations, no investment in better town centres that attract spending by the population and generate investment in the local economy. All of this and utilising and developing brownfield, and meeting needs this way is not considered. Developers appear to be given minimal restrictions to do what is more instantly profitable for them and the council as opposed to longer term more sustainable investment.

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C6: Healthier Food Environments**

Do not know

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

As per previous responses. It's difficult to reconcile this vision with the reality of the near term plans that Tameside outline, which in my immediate area include a disproportionate number of new homes utilising greenbelt space (which I am not convinced appropriate procedures have been followed to reclaim as non greenbelt), without any plans to improve infrastructure to support thousands more cars and families, no school or health considerations, no investment in better town centres that attract spending by the population and generate investment in the local economy. All of this and utilising and developing brownfield, and meeting needs this way is not considered. Developers appear to be given minimal restrictions to do what is more instantly profitable for them and the council as opposed to longer term more sustainable investment.

**If you have any further comments on the Centres chapter of the plan, please provide them below.**

---

## ENVIRONMENT

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP E1: Renewable Energy Opportunities?**

Do not know

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP E2: Critical Drainage and Water Demand?**

Do not know

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Current drainage is shocking in the local area. Again. Unclear how it will be possible to meet drainage and demand requirements with so many additional houses whilst removing flood plains and providing no additional infrastructure and appears to be no pressure for developers to consider beyond the build point or have any responsibility for the future problems they are likely to cause.

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP E3: Biodiversity?**

Do not know

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Cannot understand how this policy is met through destroying existing biodiversity

**If you have any further comments on the Environment chapter of the plan, please provide them below.**

---

## HOMES

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP H1: Housing Type, Mix, Tenure and Affordability?**

Do not know

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

Developers rarely seem to be held to account for building affordable housing that fits the local needs. What it would be good to see is any relevant recent or upcoming plan that actually supports this policy tangibly. Demonstrate actual evidence that these "policies" are even considered.

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP H2: Houses in Multiple Occupation (HMO)?**

Do not know

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP H3: Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Show-People?**

Do not know

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP H4: Back Land and Garden Development?**

Do not know

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**If you have any further comments on the Homes chapter of the plan, please provide them below.**

---

## **JOBS**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP J1: Existing Employment Areas?**

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP J2: New Employment Development?**

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP J3: Assessing the Loss of Employment Land or Accommodation?**

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP J4: The Visitor Economy?**

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

If you have any further comments on the Jobs chapter of the plan, please provide them below.

---

## PEOPLE

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE1: Development and Healthier Environments?

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE2: Publicly Accessible Green Spaces?

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE3: Green Space Standards?

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE4: Strategic Recreation and Countryside Access?

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE5: Outdoor Sport and Recreational Facilities?

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE6: Peak District National Park?

Please give a reason for your answer.

If you have any further comments on the People chapter of the plan, please provide them below.

---

## TRAVEL

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T1: General Approach?

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T2: Trunk Road Developments?**

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T3: Rail Infrastructure?**

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T4: Rapid Transit?**

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T5: Facilities for Buses?**

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T6: Walking, Wheeling and Cycling?**

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T7: Freight Movement and Deliveries?**

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T8: Parking?**

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T9: EV Charging Infrastructure?**

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**If you have any further comments on the Travel chapter of the plan, please provide them below.**

---

## **PLACES**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL1: Archaeology and Scheduled Monuments?**

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL2: Listed Buildings - Alternative Uses, Additions, Demolition and Development Within Their Setting?**

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL3: Conservation Areas - Managing Development Within or Adjoining?**

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL4: Historic Parks and Gardens - Managing Development?**

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL5: Designated Heritage Assets - Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Generation?**

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL6: Designated Heritage Assets and Enabling Development?**

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL7: Non-Designated Heritage Assets?**

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL8: Landscapes, Townscape and Urban Form?**

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL9: Design and Amenity?**

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL10: Design of All Types of New Residential Development?**

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL11: Design of Residential Additions, Alterations and Extensions?**

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL12: Design of Employment Sites?**

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL13: Design within Centres, Retail and Leisure Developments?**

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL14: Design of Commercial Frontages?**

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL15: Outdoor Sports, Recreation and Play Space?**

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL16: Design and Enhancement of the Waterside?**

**Please give a reason for your answer.**

**To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL17: Design of Public Realm and Urban Spaces?**

**Please give a reason for your answer. If there are any amendments or alternative policy approaches you would like to propose, please detail these in your response.**

**If you have any further comments on the Places chapter of the plan, please provide them below.**

---

## **POLICIES MAP AND INTEGRATED ASSESSMENT**

**A policies map has been published which shows the areas of land that relate to specific policies in this plan at this stage in the process. Future versions of Homes, Spaces, Places will have specific allocations and further designations of land linked to policies. An updated policies map will be produced at that time to identify these.**

**If you have any comments on the Policies Map, please provide these below.**

Outdated. Based on figures that suit the council at a particular time not taking into account current growth and statistics. Disproportionate development planned for south of Hyde not just as it relates to Tameside but in relation to development rates for the entire country.

**An Integrated Assessment Report has been produced to accompany this plan. The Integrated Assessment identifies the potential sustainability impacts of implementing the Homes, Spaces, Places Plan.**

**Do you consider that the Integrated Assessment has adequately assessed the potential environmental, social, and economic impacts of the proposed policy approaches and strategies within the Plan?**

**Are there any sections of the Integrated Assessment that require further explanation or clarification?**

No. See all previous points already made. As a separate note this questionnaire feels designed to discourage anyone from being able to actually submit something that would be considered by any reader. Far too long and repetitive and discourages any real responses from anyone, like myself, not familiar with planning rules, the many policies referenced etc.