			HSP S2D Allocation 1: Clarence Street							
Ref	**Objective**	**Assessement Criteria**	**Score**	**Explanation from Proformas and Planning Assessment**	**Mitigation**	**Has the 2025 mitigation been addressed?**	**Commentary on changes made since 2025**	**Score**	**Summary of new scoring**	**Residual Impacts**
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for market housing?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for affordable housing?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of pitches/plots to meet Tameside's Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Show People's assessed needs?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.

2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land for Tameside?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	++	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 12% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station, 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 225m from a bus stop, 206m from the Beeway Network, and 18m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the diversification of Tameside's local economy?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site represents a key employment site.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the enhancement of the vitality and viability of Tameside's town centres?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is within 800m of each centre.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing supporting Tameside's town centres, if appropriate.	N	Allocation has not changed.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing supporting Tameside's town centres, if appropriate.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 12% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station, 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 225m from a bus stop, 206m from the Beeway Network, and 18m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 12% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station, 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 225m from a bus stop, 206m from the Beeway Network, and 18m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.

3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as utilities are not referenced, and there are no overhead lines in the area, however, these would need to be enhanced to support the scale of development.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the transition to active and sustainable modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 12% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station, 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 225m from a bus stop, 206m from the Beeway Network, and 18m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the delivery of a safe transport network which minimises the impact on the local environment and public health?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 12% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station, 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 225m from a bus stop, 206m from the Beeway Network, and 18m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support both the existing network and creation of new cycling and walking routes?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above and it is 206m from the Beeway Network, and 18m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for employment. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.

4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for employment. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference supporting relations between people, however, the allocation is focused on employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities/infrastructure for all?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as whilst it is considered a key employment site, there is no reference to equal opportunity.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on ‘protected characteristics’, as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it assumed to be too high level to affect protected characteristics.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will meet needs equally.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Create a sense of belonging, community cohesion and wellbeing for all members of the Tameside community?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference creating a sense of belonging, however, the allocation is focused on employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.

6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier, active lifestyles, proactive and preventative care and support improvements in determinants of health?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above and it is 206m from the Beeway Network, and 18m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within Tameside and with the rest of England?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Increase the quantity and quality of active environments, open spaces, green and blue infrastructure?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green and blue infrastructure, public open space, leisure and recreational facilities?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote community safety and design which discourages crime?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is no likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities and community well-being assets, regardless of socio-economic status?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is located 820m from a GP and 730m from a dentist.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	Allocation has not changed.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children and working age adults?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.

7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education and skill levels of the population of working age the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 12% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station, 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 225m from a bus stop, 206m from the Beeway Network, and 18m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 12% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station, 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 225m from a bus stop, 206m from the Beeway Network, and 18m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 12% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station, 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 225m from a bus stop, 206m from the Beeway Network, and 18m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Tameside, specifically within designated sites, Greater Manchester Combined Authority Air Quality Management Area, and other biodiversity assets?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.

10	Improve air quality	Minimise emissions and particulates from harmful pollutants when delivering new developments?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Locates development not within existing areas of poor air quality?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located within an AQMA.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Protect, conserve and enhance new and existing European, nationally and locally designated wildlife and geological sites?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within close proximity to an SSI, SBI and LNRS.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	Allocation has not changed.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Encourage enhancement and restoration of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of enhancement or restoration.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green and blue infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green and blue infrastructure?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site is in close proximity to a TPO group.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	Allocation has not changed.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green and blue infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and safeguard local wider ecological networks including local wildlife-rich habitats?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within close proximity to an SSI, SBI and LNRS.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	Allocation has not changed.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.

12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems within Tameside are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of renewable and low carbon energy technology?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Encourage the restoration and enhancement of peatlands?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of nature-based solutions to climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not propose nature-based solutions, however, these are likely to be covered by thematic policies.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict development in areas of high flood risk or a greater risk of flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing and residual flood risk from all sources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.

13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Deliver sustainable drainage systems and promote flood defences that reduces Tameside's vulnerability to flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Promote the use of nature-based solutions to manage flood risks?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Ensure that new water/waste water management infrastructure is delivered to support new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.

14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Minimise the risk of pollution arising from new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage water efficiency and promote the use of water recycling systems?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage energy demand reductions and energy efficiency in new development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage development that incorporates energy generation to achieve carbon emission reductions?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted within Tameside?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote the use of renewable and low carbon energy schemes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site is in close proximity to a TPO group.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.

16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	-	The allocation has negative effects as there is a listed building within 200m of the site.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.	N	Allocation has not changed.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness including the setting of the Peak District National Park?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the Peak District National Park.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to high quality design.	This is covered in the thematic policies.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	This is covered in the thematic policies.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Protect or enhance the significance of designated and non-designated heritage assets?	-	The allocation has negative effects as there is a listed building within 200m of the site.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.	N	Allocation has not changed.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is a cleared employment site.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	++	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not agricultural land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect soils of high environmental value in both a general and local context?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as there is no reference to high value soils.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.

17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is a cleared employment site.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	++	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is a cleared employment site.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	++	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the protection of peat?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Safeguard existing supply of natural resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.

18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Encourage recycling and composting of waste and avoid landfill?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.

			HSP S2D Allocation 2: Berkeley Business Park							
Ref	**Objective**	**Assessement Criteria**	**Score**	**Explanation from Proformas and Planning Assessment**	**Mitigation**	**Has the 2025 mitigation been addressed?**	**Commentary on changes made since 2025**	**Score**	**Summary of new scoring**	**Residual Impacts**
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for market housing?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for affordable housing?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of pitches/plots to meet Tameside's Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Show People's assessed needs?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land for Tameside?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 65m from a bus stop, 61m from the Beeway Network, and 282m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the diversification of Tameside's local economy?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site represents an opportunity to develop new employment units.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the enhancement of the vitality and viability of Tameside's town centres?	++	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is within 800m of each centre.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing supporting Tameside's town centres, if appropriate.	N	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing supporting Tameside's town centres, if appropriate.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 65m from a bus stop, 61m from the Beeway Network, and 282m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 65m from a bus stop, 61m from the Beeway Network, and 282m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as utilities are not referenced, and there are no overhead lines in the area, however, these would need to be enhanced to support the scale of development.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development	N	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development

3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the transition to active and sustainable modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 65m from a bus stop, 61m from the Beeway Network, and 282m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the delivery of a safe transport network which minimises the impact on the local environment and public health?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 65m from a bus stop, 61m from the Beeway Network, and 282m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support both the existing network and creation of new cycling and walking routes?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above and it is 61m from the Beeway Network, and 282m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	++	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is within the IMD decile based on LSOA and will provide employment opportunities.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.	N	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	++	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is within the IMD decile based on LSOA and will provide employment opportunities.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.	N	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference supporting relations between people, however, the allocation is focused on employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities/infrastructure for all?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as whilst it is considered a key employment site, there is no reference to equal opportunity.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.	N	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it assumed to be too high level to affect protected characteristics.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will meet needs equally.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Create a sense of belonging, community cohesion and wellbeing for all members of the Tameside community?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference creating a sense of belonging, however, the allocation is focused on employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier, active lifestyles, proactive and preventative care and support improvements in determinants of health?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above and it is 61m from the Beeway Network, and 282m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within Tameside and with the rest of England?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Increase the quantity and quality of active environments, open spaces, green and blue infrastructure?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.

6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green and blue infrastructure, public open space, leisure and recreational facilities?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote community safety and design which discourages crime?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is no likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities and community well-being assets, regardless of socio-economic status?	+	The allocation has negative effects as the site is located 660m from a GP and 318m from a dentist.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children and working age adults?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education and skill levels of the population of working age the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.

9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 65m from a bus stop, 61m from the Beeway Network, and 282m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 65m from a bus stop, 61m from the Beeway Network, and 282m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 65m from a bus stop, 61m from the Beeway Network, and 282m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Tameside, specifically within designated sites, Greater Manchester Combined Authority Air Quality Management Area, and other biodiversity assets?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Minimise emissions and particulates from harmful pollutants when delivering new developments?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Locates development not within existing areas of poor air quality?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located within an AQMA.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Protect, conserve and enhance new and existing European, nationally and locally designated wildlife and geological sites?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located within a designated wildlife or geological site.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.

11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Encourage enhancement and restoration of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of enhancement or restoration.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green and blue infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green and blue infrastructure?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green and blue infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and safeguard local wider ecological networks including local wildlife-rich habitats?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located within a designated wildlife or geological site.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems within Tameside are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of renewable and low carbon energy technology?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Encourage the restoration and enhancement of peatlands?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of nature-based solutions to climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not propose nature-based solutions, however, these are likely to be covered by thematic policies.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict development in areas of high flood risk or a greater risk of flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing and residual flood risk from all sources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Deliver sustainable drainage systems and promote flood defences that reduces Tameside's vulnerability to flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Promote the use of nature-based solutions to manage flood risks?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Ensure that new water/waste water management infrastructure is delivered to support new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Minimise the risk of pollution arising from new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage water efficiency and promote the use of water recycling systems?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage energy demand reductions and energy efficiency in new development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport

15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage development that incorporates energy generation to achieve carbon emission reductions?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted within Tameside?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote the use of renewable and low carbon energy schemes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site does not have any landscape designations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site does not have any heritage designations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness including the setting of the Peak District National Park?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the Peak District National Park.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to high quality design.	This is covered in the thematic policies.	N	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	This is covered in the thematic policies.

16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Protect or enhance the significance of designated and non-designated heritage assets?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site does not have any heritage designations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is located on open grassland.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)	N	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not agricultural land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect soils of high environmental value in both a general and local context?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as there is no reference to high value soils.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is located on open grassland.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)	N	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is located on open grassland.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)	N	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the protection of peat?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Safeguard existing supply of natural resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Encourage recycling and composting of waste and avoid landfill?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been expanded to include the land to the southeast of the allocation, this was erroneously excluded from the previously identified allocation area. This additional area excludes the road and parking areas to the south eastern side of the site.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been expanded, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.

			HSP S2D Allocation 3: Conduit Street							
Ref	**Objective**	**Assessement Criteria**	**Score**	**Explanation from Proformas and Planning Assessment**	**Mitigation**	**Has the 2025 mitigation been addressed?**	**Commentary on changes made since 2025**	**Score**	**Summary of new scoring**	**Residual Impacts**
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for market housing?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for affordable housing?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of pitches/plots to meet Tameside's Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Show People's assessed needs?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.

2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land for Tameside?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	++	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 76m from a bus stop, 30m from the Beeway Network, and 52m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the diversification of Tameside's local economy?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site represents an opportunity to deliver a range of medium to small sizes unts.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the enhancement of the vitality and viability of Tameside's town centres?	++	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is within 800m of each centre.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing supporting Tameside's town centres, if appropriate.	N	Allocation has not changed.	++	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing supporting Tameside's town centres, if appropriate.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 76m from a bus stop, 30m from the Beeway Network, and 52m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 76m from a bus stop, 30m from the Beeway Network, and 52m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.

3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as utilities are not referenced, and there are no overhead lines in the area, however, these would need to be enhanced to support the scale of development.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the transition to active and sustainable modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 76m from a bus stop, 30m from the Beeway Network, and 52m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the delivery of a safe transport network which minimises the impact on the local environment and public health?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 76m from a bus stop, 30m from the Beeway Network, and 52m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support both the existing network and creation of new cycling and walking routes?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above and it is 30m from the Beeway Network, and 52m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for employment. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.

4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for employment. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference supporting relations between people, however, the allocation is focused on employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities/infrastructure for all?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as whilst it is considered a key employment site, there is no reference to equal opportunity.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on ‘protected characteristics’, as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it assumed to be too high level to affect protected characteristics.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will meet needs equally.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Create a sense of belonging, community cohesion and wellbeing for all members of the Tameside community?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference creating a sense of belonging, however, the allocation is focused on employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.

6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier, active lifestyles, proactive and preventative care and support improvements in determinants of health?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above and it is 30m from the Beeway Network, and 52m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within Tameside and with the rest of England?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Increase the quantity and quality of active environments, open spaces, green and blue infrastructure?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green and blue infrastructure, public open space, leisure and recreational facilities?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote community safety and design which discourages crime?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is no likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities and community well-being assets, regardless of socio-economic status?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is located 430m from a GP and from a dentist.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	Allocation has not changed.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children and working age adults?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.

7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education and skill levels of the population of working age the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 76m from a bus stop, 30m from the Beeway Network, and 52m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 76m from a bus stop, 30m from the Beeway Network, and 52m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 76m from a bus stop, 30m from the Beeway Network, and 52m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Tameside, specifically within designated sites, Greater Manchester Combined Authority Air Quality Management Area, and other biodiversity assets?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 2% site is located within an AQMA.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	Allocation has not changed.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.

10	Improve air quality	Minimise emissions and particulates from harmful pollutants when delivering new developments?	?	The site has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that the development will improve air quality.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Locates development not within existing areas of poor air quality?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 2% site is located within an AQMA.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	Allocation has not changed.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Protect, conserve and enhance new and existing European, nationally and locally designated wildlife and geological sites?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within close proximity to an SSSI and 1% of the site is located within an SBI and LNRS.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	Allocation has not changed.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Encourage enhancement and restoration of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of enhancement or restoration.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green and blue infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green and blue infrastructure?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green and blue infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and safeguard local wider ecological networks including local wildlife-rich habitats?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within close proximity to an SSI and 1% of the site is located within an SBI and LNRS.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	Allocation has not changed.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.

12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems within Tameside are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 16% of the site is within Flood Zone 3 and 34% of the site is within Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	Allocation has not changed.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of renewable and low carbon energy technology?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Encourage the restoration and enhancement of peatlands?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of nature-based solutions to climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not propose nature-based solutions, however, these are likely to be covered by thematic policies.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict development in areas of high flood risk or a greater risk of flooding?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 16% of the site is within Flood Zone 3 and 34% of the site is within Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	Allocation has not changed.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing and residual flood risk from all sources?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 16% of the site is within Flood Zone 3 and 34% of the site is within Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	Allocation has not changed.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 16% of the site is within Flood Zone 3 and 34% of the site is within Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	Allocation has not changed.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk

13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 16% of the site is within Flood Zone 3 and 34% of the site is within Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	Allocation has not changed.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Deliver sustainable drainage systems and promote flood defences that reduces Tameside's vulnerability to flooding?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 16% of the site is within Flood Zone 3 and 34% of the site is within Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	Allocation has not changed.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Promote the use of nature-based solutions to manage flood risks?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 16% of the site is within Flood Zone 3 and 34% of the site is within Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	Allocation has not changed.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Ensure that new water/waste water management infrastructure is delivered to support new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.

14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Minimise the risk of pollution arising from new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage water efficiency and promote the use of water recycling systems?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage energy demand reductions and energy efficiency in new development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage development that incorporates energy generation to achieve carbon emission reductions?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted within Tameside?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote the use of renewable and low carbon energy schemes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site does not have any landscape designations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.

16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	--	The allocation has ngeative effects as there are 5 listed buildings and Ashton Town Centre Conseration Area within 200m of the site.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness including the setting of the Peak District National Park?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the Peak District National Park.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to high quality design.	This is covered in the thematic policies.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	This is covered in the thematic policies.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Protect or enhance the significance of designated and non-designated heritage assets?	--	The allocation has ngeative effects as there are 5 listed buildings and Ashton Town Centre Conseration Area within 200m of the site.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is a cleared employment site.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	++	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not agricultural land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect soils of high environmental value in both a general and local context?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as there is no reference to high value soils.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.

17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is a cleared employment site.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	++	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is a cleared employment site.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	++	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the protection of peat?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Safeguard existing supply of natural resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.

18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Encourage recycling and composting of waste and avoid landfill?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.

			HSP S2D Allocation 4: Ashton Moss East							
Ref	**Objective**	**Assessement Criteria**	**Score**	**Explanation from Proformas and Planning Assessment**	**Mitigation**	**Has the 2025 mitigation been addressed?**	**Commentary on changes made since 2025**	**Score**	**Summary of new scoring**	**Residual Impacts**
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for market housing?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for affordable housing?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of pitches/plots to meet Tameside's Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Show People's assessed needs?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.

2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land for Tameside?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	++	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 21% of the site is covered by GMLA index score of 5 and above, and 70% is within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop. Whilst 0% of the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway station, it is 66m from a bus stop, 131m from the Beeway Network, and 807m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the diversification of Tameside's local economy?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site represents an opportunity to deliver a range of medium to small sizes unts.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the enhancement of the vitality and viability of Tameside's town centres?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 10% of the site is within 800m of each centre.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing supporting Tameside's town centres, if appropriate.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing supporting Tameside's town centres, if appropriate.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 21% of the site is covered by GMLA index score of 5 and above, and 70% is within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop. Whilst 0% of the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway station, it is 66m from a bus stop, 131m from the Beeway Network, and 807m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 21% of the site is covered by GMLA index score of 5 and above, and 70% is within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop. Whilst 0% of the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway station, it is 66m from a bus stop, 131m from the Beeway Network, and 807m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.

3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as utilities are not referenced, and there are no overhead lines in the area, however, these would need to be enhanced to support the scale of development.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the transition to active and sustainable modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 21% of the site is covered by GMLA index score of 5 and above, and 70% is within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop. Whilst 0% of the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway station, it is 66m from a bus stop, 131m from the Beeway Network, and 807m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the delivery of a safe transport network which minimises the impact on the local environment and public health?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 21% of the site is covered by GMLA index score of 5 and above, and 70% is within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop. Whilst 0% of the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway station, it is 66m from a bus stop, 131m from the Beeway Network, and 807m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support both the existing network and creation of new cycling and walking routes?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 21% of the site is covered by GMLA index score of 5 and above and 131m from the Beeway Network, and 807m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for employment. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.

4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for employment. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference supporting relations between people, however, the allocation is focused on employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities/infrastructure for all?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as whilst it is considered a key employment site, there is no reference to equal opportunity.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on ‘protected characteristics’, as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it assumed to be too high level to affect protected characteristics.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will meet needs equally.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Create a sense of belonging, community cohesion and wellbeing for all members of the Tameside community?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference creating a sense of belonging, however, the allocation is focused on employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.

6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier, active lifestyles, proactive and preventative care and support improvements in determinants of health?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 21% of the site is covered by GMLA index score of 5 and above and 131m from the Beeway Network, and 807m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within Tameside and with the rest of England?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Increase the quantity and quality of active environments, open spaces, green and blue infrastructure?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green and blue infrastructure, public open space, leisure and recreational facilities?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote community safety and design which discourages crime?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is no likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities and community well-being assets, regardless of socio-economic status?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is located 930m from a GP and857m from a dentist.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	Allocation has not changed.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children and working age adults?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.

7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education and skill levels of the population of working age the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 21% of the site is covered by GMLA index score of 5 and above, and 70% is within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop. Whilst 0% of the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway station, it is 66m from a bus stop, 131m from the Beeway Network, and 807m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 21% of the site is covered by GMLA index score of 5 and above, and 70% is within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop. Whilst 0% of the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway station, it is 66m from a bus stop, 131m from the Beeway Network, and 807m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 21% of the site is covered by GMLA index score of 5 and above, and 70% is within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop. Whilst 0% of the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway station, it is 66m from a bus stop, 131m from the Beeway Network, and 807m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Tameside, specifically within designated sites, Greater Manchester Combined Authority Air Quality Management Area, and other biodiversity assets?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 8% site is located within an AQMA.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	Allocation has not changed.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.

10	Improve air quality	Minimise emissions and particulates from harmful pollutants when delivering new developments?	?	The site has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that the development will improve air quality.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Locates development not within existing areas of poor air quality?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 8% site is located within an AQMA.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	Allocation has not changed.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Protect, conserve and enhance new and existing European, nationally and locally designated wildlife and geological sites?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 100% of the site is in an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	Allocation has not changed.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Encourage enhancement and restoration of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 99% of the site is located in a mineral safeguarding area for Brick Clay.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	Allocation has not changed.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green and blue infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green and blue infrastructure?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green and blue infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and safeguard local wider ecological networks including local wildlife-rich habitats?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 100% of the site is in an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	Allocation has not changed.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.

12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems within Tameside are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of renewable and low carbon energy technology?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Encourage the restoration and enhancement of peatlands?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of nature-based solutions to climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not propose nature-based solutions, however, these are likely to be covered by thematic policies.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict development in areas of high flood risk or a greater risk of flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing and residual flood risk from all sources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.

13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Deliver sustainable drainage systems and promote flood defences that reduces Tameside's vulnerability to flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Promote the use of nature-based solutions to manage flood risks?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Ensure that new water/waste water management infrastructure is delivered to support new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.

14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Minimise the risk of pollution arising from new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage water efficiency and promote the use of water recycling systems?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage energy demand reductions and energy efficiency in new development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage development that incorporates energy generation to achieve carbon emission reductions?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted within Tameside?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote the use of renewable and low carbon energy schemes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site does not have any landscape designations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.

16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site does not have any heritage designations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness including the setting of the Peak District National Park?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the Peak District National Park.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to high quality design.	This is covered in the thematic policies.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	This is covered in the thematic policies.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Protect or enhance the significance of designated and non-designated heritage assets?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site does not have any heritage designations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site on open grassland in an area classified as deep peaty soil.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or high value land.	N	Allocation has not changed.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or high value land.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 100% of the site is in an area classified as deep peaty soil and 76% of the site is covered by Agricultural Land Value Grade 1, 2 or 3.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or high value land.	N	Allocation has not changed.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or high value land.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect soils of high environmental value in both a general and local context?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 100% of the site is in an area classified as deep peaty soil and 76% of the site is covered by Agricultural Land Value Grade 1, 2 or 3.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or high value land.	N	Allocation has not changed.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or high value land.

17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site on open grassland in an area classified as deep peaty soil.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or high value land.	N	Allocation has not changed.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or high value land.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site on open grassland in an area classified as deep peaty soil.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or high value land.	N	Allocation has not changed.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or high value land.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the protection of peat?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 100% of the site is in an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or high value land.	N	Allocation has not changed.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or high value land.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Safeguard existing supply of natural resources?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 99% of the site is located in a mineral safeguarding area for Brick Clay.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	Allocation has not changed.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.

18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Encourage recycling and composting of waste and avoid landfill?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.

			HSP S2D Allocation 5: Palace Road							
Ref	**Objective**	**Assessement Criteria**	**Score**	**Explanation from Proformas and Planning Assessment**	**Mitigation**	**Has the 2025 mitigation been addressed?**	**Commentary on changes made since 2025**	**Score**	**Summary of new scoring**	**Residual Impacts**
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for market housing?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is allocated for housing, with a total estimated capacity of 20 dwellings.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for affordable housing?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is reference to housing mix but no reference to affordable housing.	Ensure the development provides sufficient affordable housing in line with local needs.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure the development provides sufficient affordable housing in line with local needs.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to housing mix or meeting local needs.	Ensure the development provides sufficient housing mix in line with local needs.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure the development provides sufficient housing mix in line with local needs.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	+/-	The allocation has positive/negative effects as 0% of the site is within 800m of each centre but the nearest primary school is 298m away.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities connecting to centres could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities connecting to centres could be considered.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the energy efficiency or resilience of the development.	Ensure that the new development considers energy efficiency / resilience of housing stock.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers energy efficiency / resilience of housing stock.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of pitches/plots to meet Tameside's Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Show People's assessed needs?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to pitches/plots.	Ensure that the new development considers the affects on pitches/plots quantity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the affects on pitches/plots quantity.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land for Tameside?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the diversification of Tameside's local economy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the enhancement of the vitality and viability of Tameside's town centres?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	+	The allocation has positive effects as whilst 0% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station and 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 100% of the site is covered by the MAL index score of 5 and above, it is 144m from a bus stop, and 242m from the Beeway Network and Busy Beeway Network.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	+	The allocation has positive effects as whilst 0% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station and 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 100% of the site is covered by the MAL index score of 5 and above, it is 144m from a bus stop, and 242m from the Beeway Network and Busy Beeway Network.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as utilities are not referenced, and there are no overhead lines in the area, however, these would need to be enhanced to support the scale of development.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the transition to active and sustainable modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as whilst 0% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station and 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 100% of the site is covered by the MAL index score of 5 and above, it is 144m from a bus stop, and 242m from the Beeway Network and Busy Beeway Network.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.

3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the delivery of a safe transport network which minimises the impact on the local environment and public health?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel - the increased uptake of which can minimise the impact on the local environment and public health.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support both the existing network and creation of new cycling and walking routes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no referencec to walking and cycling routes, although its proximity to the existing street network, including Busy Beeways, could support the existing network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for employment. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for employment. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference supporting relations between people, however, the allocation is focused on housing.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities/infrastructure for all?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to equal opportunity.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it assumed to be too high level to affect protected characteristics.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will meet needs equally.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Create a sense of belonging, community cohesion and wellbeing for all members of the Tameside community?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will support community cohesion or good relations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier, active lifestyles, proactive and preventative care and support improvements in determinants of health?	+	The allocation has positive effects as whilst 0% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station and 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 100% of the site is covered by the MAL index score of 5 and above, it is 144m from a bus stop, and 242m from the Beeway Network and Busy Beeway Network.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within Tameside and with the rest of England?	--	The allocation has negative effects as most of the site is identified as being located in an area of coal mining high risk, which could have an impact on health.	Ensure to mitigate any negative impacts through a Coal Mining Risk Assessment.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure to mitigate any negative impacts through a Coal Mining Risk Assessment.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Increase the quantity and quality of active environments, open spaces, green and blue infrastructure?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 29% of the site is open space, however, it is not an area of need.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of open space.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of open space.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green and blue infrastructure, public open space, leisure and recreational facilities?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 96% of the site is open space, however, it is not an area of need.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of open space.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of open space.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote community safety and design which discourages crime?	-/?	The allocation has uncertain / negative effects as there is no reference to community safety and design, although its proximity to the transport network could create both social and transport safety issues.	Ensure that the new development recognises any additional needs for safety considerations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	-/?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development recognises any additional needs for safety considerations.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities and community well-being assets, regardless of socio-economic status?	-	The allocation has positive/negative effects as it is located 505m from a GP and 1257m from a dentist.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages

7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children and working age adults?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is located within 298m of a primary school and 971m from a secondary school.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to access to and provision of community social infrastructure.	Ensure any new development suitably considers the local capacity of and access to community social infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new development suitably considers the local capacity of and access to community social infrastructure.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is located within 298m of a primary school and 971m from a secondary school.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education and skill levels of the population of working age the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to improving education and skill levels of the working age population.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	+	The allocation has positive effects as whilst 0% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station and 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 100% of the site is covered by the MAL index score of 5 and above, it is 144m from a bus stop, and 242m from the Beeway Network and Busy Beeway Network.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as whilst 0% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station and 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 100% of the site is covered by the MAL index score of 5 and above, it is 144m from a bus stop, and 242m from the Beeway Network and Busy Beeway Network.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Tameside, specifically within designated sites, Greater Manchester Combined Authority Air Quality Management Area, and other biodiversity assets?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.

10	Improve air quality	Minimise emissions and particulates from harmful pollutants when delivering new developments?	--	The allocation has negative effects as most of the site is identified as being located in an area of coal mining high risk, which could have an impact on health.	Ensure to mitigate any negative impacts through a Coal Mining Risk Assessment.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure to mitigate any negative impacts through a Coal Mining Risk Assessment.
10	Improve air quality	Locates development not within existing areas of poor air quality?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located in an AQMA.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Protect, conserve and enhance new and existing European, nationally and locally designated wildlife and geological sites?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 29% of the site is open space, however, it is not an area of need.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Encourage enhancement and restoration of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of enhancement or restoration.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green and blue infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green and blue infrastructure?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 29% of the site is open space, however, it is not an area of need.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green and blue infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 29% of the site is open space, however, it is not an area of need.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and safeguard local wider ecological networks including local wildlife-rich habitats?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of enhancement or restoration.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems within Tameside are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of renewable and low carbon energy technology?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Encourage the restoration and enhancement of peatlands?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of nature-based solutions to climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not propose nature-based solutions, however, these are likely to be covered by thematic policies.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict development in areas of high flood risk or a greater risk of flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing and residual flood risk from all sources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Deliver sustainable drainage systems and promote flood defences that reduces Tameside's vulnerability to flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Promote the use of nature-based solutions to manage flood risks?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Ensure that new water/waste water management infrastructure is delivered to support new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Minimise the risk of pollution arising from new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage water efficiency and promote the use of water recycling systems?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage energy demand reductions and energy efficiency in new development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport

15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage development that incorporates energy generation to achieve carbon emission reductions?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted within Tameside?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote the use of renewable and low carbon energy schemes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 29% of the site is open space, however, it is not an area of need.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site does not have any heritage designations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness including the setting of the Peak District National Park?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the Peak District National Park.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to high quality design.	This is covered in the thematic policies.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	This is covered in the thematic policies.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Protect or enhance the significance of designated and non-designated heritage assets?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site does not have any heritage designations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 29% of the site is open space, however, it is not an area of need.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not agricultural land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect soils of high environmental value in both a general and local context?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as there is no reference to high value soils.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 29% of the site is open space, however, it is not an area of need.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 29% of the site is open space, however, it is not an area of need.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the protection of peat?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Safeguard existing supply of natural resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods

18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Encourage recycling and composting of waste and avoid landfill?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to the eastern extent of the site to align with Land Registry information and existing boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.

			HSP S2D Allocation 6: Oxford Street East							
Ref	**Objective**	**Assessement Criteria**	**Score**	**Explanation from Proformas and Planning Assessment**	**Mitigation**	**Has the 2025 mitigation been addressed?**	**Commentary on changes made since 2025**	**Score**	**Summary of new scoring**	**Residual Impacts**
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for market housing?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None required.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None required.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for affordable housing?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None required.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None required.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None required.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None required.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None required.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None required.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None required.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None required.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of pitches/plots to meet Tameside's Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Show People's assessed needs?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None required.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None required.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land for Tameside?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located with an 800m buffer of a railway station and a bus stop is 141m away, and the Beeway Network is 2m away.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the diversification of Tameside's local economy?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site represents an opportunity to deliver high quality canal side employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the enhancement of the vitality and viability of Tameside's town centres?	++	The allocation has positive effects as 95% of the site is within 800m of each centre.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing supporting Tameside's town centres, if appropriate.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing supporting Tameside's town centres, if appropriate.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located with an 800m buffer of a railway station and a bus stop is 141m away, and the Beeway Network is 2m away.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located with an 800m buffer of a railway station and a bus stop is 141m away, and the Beeway Network is 2m away.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as utilities are not referenced, and there are no overhead lines in the area, however, these would need to be enhanced to support the scale of development.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development

3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the transition to active and sustainable modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located with an 800m buffer of a railway station and a bus stop is 141m away, and the Beeway Network is 2m away.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the delivery of a safe transport network which minimises the impact on the local environment and public health?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located with an 800m buffer of a railway station and a bus stop is 141m away, and the Beeway Network is 2m away.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support both the existing network and creation of new cycling and walking routes?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located 2m from the Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for employment. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for employment. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference supporting relations between people, however, the allocation is focused on employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities/infrastructure for all?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as whilst it is considered a key employment site, there is no reference to equal opportunity.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it assumed to be too high level to affect protected characteristics.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will meet needs equally.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Create a sense of belonging, community cohesion and wellbeing for all members of the Tameside community?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference creating a sense of belonging, however, the allocation is focused on employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier, active lifestyles, proactive and preventative care and support improvements in determinants of health?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located 2m from the Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within Tameside and with the rest of England?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Increase the quantity and quality of active environments, open spaces, green and blue infrastructure?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.

6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green and blue infrastructure, public open space, leisure and recreational facilities?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote community safety and design which discourages crime?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is no likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities and community well-being assets, regardless of socio-economic status?	+	The allocation has negative effects as the site is located 170m from a GP and 746m from a dentist.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children and working age adults?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education and skill levels of the population of working age the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.

9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located with an 800m buffer of a railway station and a bus stop is 141m away, and the Beeway Network is 2m away.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located with an 800m buffer of a railway station and a bus stop is 141m away, and the Beeway Network is 2m away.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located with an 800m buffer of a railway station and a bus stop is 141m away, and the Beeway Network is 2m away.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Tameside, specifically within designated sites, Greater Manchester Combined Authority Air Quality Management Area, and other biodiversity assets?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Minimise emissions and particulates from harmful pollutants when delivering new developments?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Locates development not within existing areas of poor air quality?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located within an AQMA.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Protect, conserve and enhance new and existing European, nationally and locally designated wildlife and geological sites?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within close proximity to an SBI and LNRS.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.

11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Encourage enhancement and restoration of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green and blue infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green and blue infrastructure?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green and blue infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and safeguard local wider ecological networks including local wildlife-rich habitats?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within close proximity to an SBI and LNRS.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems within Tameside are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of renewable and low carbon energy technology?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Encourage the restoration and enhancement of peatlands?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of nature-based solutions to climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not propose nature-based solutions, however, these are likely to be covered by thematic policies.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict development in areas of high flood risk or a greater risk of flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing and residual flood risk from all sources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Deliver sustainable drainage systems and promote flood defences that reduces Tameside's vulnerability to flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Promote the use of nature-based solutions to manage flood risks?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Ensure that new water/waste water management infrastructure is delivered to support new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Minimise the risk of pollution arising from new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage water efficiency and promote the use of water recycling systems?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage energy demand reductions and energy efficiency in new development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport

15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage development that incorporates energy generation to achieve carbon emission reductions?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted within Tameside?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote the use of renewable and low carbon energy schemes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site does not have any landscape designations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	--	The allocation has negative effects as there is a listed building on the site.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness including the setting of the Peak District National Park?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the Peak District National Park.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to high quality design.	This is covered in the thematic policies.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	This is covered in the thematic policies.

16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Protect or enhance the significance of designated and non-designated heritage assets?	--	The allocation has negative effects as there is a listed building on the site.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is located on vacant land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not agricultural land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect soils of high environmental value in both a general and local context?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as there is no reference to high value soils.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is located on vacant land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is located on vacant land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the protection of peat?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Safeguard existing supply of natural resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Encourage recycling and composting of waste and avoid landfill?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north to exclude a section of the adopted highway, and the boundary to the rear of Gibson Terrace has been redrawn to follow the Land Registry parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.

			HSP S2E Allocation 1: Ashton Canal							
Ref	**Objective**	**Assessement Criteria**	**Score**	**Explanation from Proformas and Planning Assessment**	**Mitigation**	**Has the 2025 mitigation been addressed?**	**Commentary on changes made since 2025**	**Score**	**Summary of new scoring**	**Residual Impacts**
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for market housing?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is allocated for housing, with a total estimated capacity of 65 dwellings.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for affordable housing?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is reference to housing mix but no reference to affordable housing, despite the references to the site helping address local deprivation, as 100% of the site is within the IMD decile.	The allocation could be enhanced by explicity referencing that the mix of housing will help meet affordable needs.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by explicity referencing that the mix of housing will help meet affordable needs.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	++	The allocation has positive effects as there is reference to housing mix in this location helping address local deprivation, as 100% of the site is within the IMD decile.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing that the mix of housing will help meet affordable needs.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing that the mix of housing will help meet affordable needs.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is well-connected to transport links, employment land and a primary school and 0% is within an Open Space Assessment Area of Greater Need, however, it is not within 800m of a centre.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities connecting to centres could be considered.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities connecting to centres could be considered.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the energy efficiency or resilience.	Ensure that the new development considers energy efficiency / resilience of housing stock.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers energy efficiency / resilience of housing stock.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of pitches/plots to meet Tameside's Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Show People's assessed needs?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to pitches/plots.	Ensure that the new development considers the affects on pitches/plots quantity.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the affects on pitches/plots quantity.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land for Tameside?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None required.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None required.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None required.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None required.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None required.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None required.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the diversification of Tameside's local economy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None required.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None required.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the enhancement of the vitality and viability of Tameside's town centres?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None required.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None required.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 62% of the site is within 400m of a Metrolink stop and is close to bus stops and the Busy Beeway Network which all represent more efficient modes of transport that can support and enable development, however, only 7% of the site is within 800m of a rail station with 2tph and the proximity to the motorway network could encourage car trips.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.

3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects with regards to improving transport connectivity as there is reference to the site already being in a highly accessible location.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as utilities are not referenced, and there are no overhead lines in the area, however, these would need to be enhanced to support the scale of development.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development	N	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the transition to active and sustainable modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 62% of the site is within 400m of a Metrolink stop and is close to bus stops and the Busy Beeway Network which represent sustainable or active modes of transport, however, only 7% of the site is within 800m of a rail station with 2tph and the proximity to the motorway network could encourage car trips.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the delivery of a safe transport network which minimises the impact on the local environment and public health?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is accessible for public transport and active travel - the increased uptake of which can minimise the impact on the local environment and public health - however, only 7% of the site is within 800m of a rail station with 2tph and the proximity to the motorway network could encourage car trips.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support both the existing network and creation of new cycling and walking routes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to improving active transport routes, however the site is near the Busy Beeway Network and the PRoW network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	+	The allocation has positive effects as there is reference to housing mix in this location helping address local deprivation, as 100% of the site is within the IMD decile.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing that the mix of housing will help meet affordable needs.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing that the mix of housing will help meet affordable needs.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	+	The allocation has positive effects as there is reference to housing mix in this location helping address local deprivation, as 100% of the site is within the IMD decile.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing that the mix of housing will help meet affordable needs.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing that the mix of housing will help meet affordable needs.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference supporting relations between people, however, the allocation is focused on housing.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities/infrastructure for all?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to equal opportunity.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing that the mix of housing will help meet affordable needs.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing that the mix of housing will help meet affordable needs.

5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it assumed to be too high level to affect protected characteristics.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will meet needs equally.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Create a sense of belonging, community cohesion and wellbeing for all members of the Tameside community?	+	The allocation has positive effects as there is reference to housing mix in this location helping address local deprivation, as 100% of the site is within the IMD decile, which can aid community cohesion and wellbeing.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier, active lifestyles, proactive and preventative care and support improvements in determinants of health?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is accessible for public transport and active travel - the increased uptake of which can support improvements in determinants of health - however, only 7% of the site is within 800m of a rail station with 2tph and the proximity to the motorway network could encourage car trips.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within Tameside and with the rest of England?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Increase the quantity and quality of active environments, open spaces, green and blue infrastructure?	--	The allocation has negative effects as its development would mean loss of its existing use as greenspace and woodland.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing green space and woodland.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing green space and woodland.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green and blue infrastructure, public open space, leisure and recreational facilities?	-	The allocation has negative effects as its development would mean loss of its existing use as greenspace and woodland which can function as green infrastructure, although the site itself would still be near to other green and blue infrastructure, public open space and leisure and recreational facilities.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing green space and woodland.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing green space and woodland.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote community safety and design which discourages crime?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities and community well-being assets, regardless of socio-economic status?	-	The allocation has negative effects as it is located over 900m from a GP and over 1.2km from a dentist.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children and working age adults?	+/-	The allocation has positive/negative effects as the site is located within 400m of a primary school but over 1.1km from a secondary school.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to access to and provision of community social infrastructure.	Ensure any new development suitably considers the local capacity of and access to community social infrastructure.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new development suitably considers the local capacity of and access to community social infrastructure.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to improving education levels and accessibility to educational facilities is mixed.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education and skill levels of the population of working age the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to improving education and skill levels of the working age population.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	+/-	The allocation has positive/negative effects as the proximity to public transport and active travel will promote efficient modes of transport, however, the lack of proximal facilities and services will not reduce the need to travel.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is accessible for public transport - the increased uptake of which can minimise the impact on the local environment and public health - however, only 7% of the site is within 800m of a rail station with 2tph and the proximity to the motorway network could encourage car trips.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 62% of the site is within 400m of a Metrolink stop, within is close to bus stops and the Busy Beeway Network which represent sustainable or active modes of transport, however, only 7% of the site is within 800m of a rail station with 2tph and the proximity to the motorway network could encourage car trips, whilst there are no centres within 800m.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Tameside, specifically within designated sites, Greater Manchester Combined Authority Air Quality Management Area, and other biodiversity assets?	-	The site is close to the J24 of the M60, which could have negative impacts on air quality by encouraging vehicle trips.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Minimise emissions and particulates from harmful pollutants when delivering new developments?	-	The site is close to the J24 of the M60, which could have negative impacts on air quality by encouraging vehicle trips.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Locates development not within existing areas of poor air quality?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 38% of the site is located within an existing AQMA.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Protect, conserve and enhance new and existing European, nationally and locally designated wildlife and geological sites?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 1% of the site contains a woodland / group TPO, it is near an area of particular importance or that could become of particular importance for biodiversity, and it is near Ashton Canal SBI.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the TPO.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the TPO.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Encourage enhancement and restoration of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	-	The allocation has negative effects as it is near an area of particular importance or that could become of particular importance for biodiversity.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green and blue infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green and blue infrastructure?	--	The allocation has negative effects as its development would mean loss of its existing multifunctional use as greenspace and woodland.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing green space and woodland.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing green space and woodland.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green and blue infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	-	The allocation has negative effects as its development would mean loss of its existing use as greenspace and woodland, although the site itself is near to other green and blue infrastructure, public open space and leisure and recreational facilities.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing green space and woodland.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing green space and woodland.

11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and safeguard local wider ecological networks including local wildlife-rich habitats?	--	The allocation has negative effects as its development would mean loss of its existing use as greenspace and woodland, which possibly supports wildlife-rich habitats, and it is near an area of particular importance or that could become of particular importance for biodiversity.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing green space and woodland.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing green space and woodland.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems within Tameside are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of renewable and low carbon energy technology?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Encourage the restoration and enhancement of peatlands?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of nature-based solutions to climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not propose nature-based solutions, however, these are likely to be covered by thematic policies.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict development in areas of high flood risk or a greater risk of flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing and residual flood risk from all sources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Deliver sustainable drainage systems and promote flood defences that reduces Tameside's vulnerability to flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Promote the use of nature-based solutions to manage flood risks?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as all development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses, however the site is located in close proximity to Ashton Canal watercourse.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as all development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses, however the site is located in close proximity to Ashton Canal watercourse.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as all development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses, however the site is located in close proximity to Ashton Canal watercourse.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Ensure that new water/waste water management infrastructure is delivered to support new development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as all development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses, however the site is located in close proximity to Ashton Canal watercourse.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Minimise the risk of pollution arising from new development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as all development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses, however the site is located in close proximity to Ashton Canal watercourse.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage water efficiency and promote the use of water recycling systems?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as all development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses, however the site is located in close proximity to Ashton Canal watercourse.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage energy demand reductions and energy efficiency in new development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage development that incorporates energy generation to achieve carbon emission reductions?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted within Tameside?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote the use of renewable and low carbon energy schemes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site does not have any landscape designations, although the loss of its existing green space and woodland use might affect landscape quality.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing green space and woodland.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing green space and woodland.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within 200m of a listed building.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness including the setting of the Peak District National Park?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the Peak District National Park.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to high quality design.	This is covered in the thematic policies.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	This is covered in the thematic policies.

16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Protect or enhance the significance of designated and non-designated heritage assets?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within 200m of a listed building.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	-	The allocation has negative effects as its development would mean loss of its existing use as greenspace and woodland.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)	N	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not agricultural land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect soils of high environmental value in both a general and local context?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as there is no reference to high value soils.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	-	The allocation has negative effects as its development would mean loss of its existing use as greenspace and woodland.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)	N	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	-	The allocation has negative effects as its development would mean loss of its existing use as greenspace and woodland.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)	N	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the protection of peat?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Safeguard existing supply of natural resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.

18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Encourage recycling and composting of waste and avoid landfill?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove residential gardens to the west in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.

			HSP S2E Allocation 2: Audenshaw Tram Stop							
Ref	**Objective**	**Assessement Criteria**	**Score**	**Explanation from Proformas and Planning Assessment**	**Mitigation**	**Has the 2025 mitigation been addressed?**	**Commentary on changes made since 2025**	**Score**	**Summary of new scoring**	**Residual Impacts**
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for market housing?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is allocated for housing, with a total estimated capacity of 36 dwellings.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for affordable housing?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is reference to housing mix but no reference to affordable housing.	Ensure the development provides sufficient affordable housing in line with local needs.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure the development provides sufficient affordable housing in line with local needs.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	+	The allocation has positive effects as it references delivering a mix of housing.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the housing mix will be in line with local needs.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the housing mix will be in line with local needs.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is well-connected to transport links, employment land and a primary school whilst 0% is within an Open Space Assessment Area of Greater Need, however, only 5% of the site is within 800m of a centre.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities connecting to centres could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities connecting to centres could be considered.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the energy efficiency or resilience of the development.	Ensure that the new development considers energy efficiency / resilience of housing stock.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers energy efficiency / resilience of housing stock.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of pitches/plots to meet Tameside's Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Show People's assessed needs?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to pitches/plots.	Ensure that the new development considers the affects on pitches/plots quantity.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the affects on pitches/plots quantity.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land for Tameside?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the diversification of Tameside's local economy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the enhancement of the vitality and viability of Tameside's town centres?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.

3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	++	The allocation has positive effects as all of the site is immediately adjacent to a Metrolink stop, bus stops and the Busy Beeway Network which all represent more efficient modes of transport that can support and enable development.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	++	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects with regards to improving transport connectivity as there is reference to the site already being in a highly accessible location.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	-	The allocation has negative effects as it is within a gas pipeline MAH consultation area, which could restrict development.	Ensure sufficient mitigation is developed to prevent the presence of the consultation area restricting development.	N	Allocation has not changed.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure sufficient mitigation is developed to prevent the presence of the consultation area restricting development.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the transition to active and sustainable modes of transport?	++	The allocation has positive effects as all of the site is immediately adjacent to a Metrolink stop, bus stops and the Busy Beeway Network which all represent more efficient modes of transport that can support and enable development.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	++	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the delivery of a safe transport network which minimises the impact on the local environment and public health?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel - the increased uptake of which can minimise the impact on the local environment and public health.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	++	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support both the existing network and creation of new cycling and walking routes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no referencec to walking and cycling routes, although its proximity to the existing street network, including Busy Beeways, could support the existing network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for housing. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for housing. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people

5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference supporting relations between people, however, the allocation is focused on housing.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities/infrastructure for all?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to equal opportunity.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing that the mix of housing will help meet affordable needs.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing that the mix of housing will help meet affordable needs.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it assumed to be too high level to affect protected characteristics.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will meet needs equally.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Create a sense of belonging, community cohesion and wellbeing for all members of the Tameside community?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will support community cohesion or good relations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier, active lifestyles, proactive and preventative care and support improvements in determinants of health?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel - the increased uptake of which can minimise the impact on the local environment and public health.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within Tameside and with the rest of England?	?	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Increase the quantity and quality of active environments, open spaces, green and blue infrastructure?	-	The allocation has negative effects as its development would mean loss of its existing use as (informal) greenspace.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing green space.	N	Allocation has not changed.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing green space.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green and blue infrastructure, public open space, leisure and recreational facilities?	-/+	The allocation has negative/positive effects as its development would mean loss of its existing use as (informal) greenspace, although the site itself would be near to green and blue infrastructure, public open space and recreational facilities.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing green space.	N	Allocation has not changed.	-/+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing green space.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote community safety and design which discourages crime?	-/?	The allocation has uncertain / negative effects as there is no reference to community safety and design, although its proximity to the transport network could create both social and transport safety issues.	Ensure that the new development recognises any additional needs for safety considerations.	N	Allocation has not changed.	-/?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development recognises any additional needs for safety considerations.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities and community well-being assets, regardless of socio-economic status?	-	The allocation has negative effects as it is located over 700m from a GP and over 950m from a dentist.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	Allocation has not changed.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children and working age adults?	+/-	The allocation has positive/negative effects as the site is located within 250m of a primary school but over 1.3km from a secondary school.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	Allocation has not changed.	+/-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages

7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to access to and provision of community social infrastructure.	Ensure any new development suitably considers the local capacity of and access to community social infrastructure.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new development suitably considers the local capacity of and access to community social infrastructure.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	+/-	The allocation has positive/negative effects as the site is located within 400m of a primary school but over 1.1km from a secondary school.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	Allocation has not changed.	+/-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education and skill levels of the population of working age the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to improving education and skill levels of the working age population.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	+/-	The allocation has positive/negative effects as the proximity to public transport and active travel will promote efficient modes of transport, however, the lack of proximal facilities and services will not reduce the need to travel.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+/-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	++	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, although only 5% of the site is within 800m of a town centre.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Tameside, specifically within designated sites, Greater Manchester Combined Authority Air Quality Management Area, and other biodiversity assets?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Minimise emissions and particulates from harmful pollutants when delivering new developments?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Locates development not within existing areas of poor air quality?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 88% of the site is located within an existing AQMA.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	Allocation has not changed.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.

11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Protect, conserve and enhance new and existing European, nationally and locally designated wildlife and geological sites?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located within a designated wildlife or geological site.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Encourage enhancement and restoration of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located within a designated wildlife or geological site.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green and blue infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green and blue infrastructure?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as whilst the site is currently (informal) greenspace, its' existing multifunctional aspect is likely limited.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing green space.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing green space.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green and blue infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	?/+	The allocation has uncertain / postiive effects as whilst the site is currently (informal) greenspace, its' existing multifunctional aspect is likely limited and the site is near to other green and blue infrastructure, public open space and leisure and recreational facilities.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing green space.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?/+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing green space.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and safeguard local wider ecological networks including local wildlife-rich habitats?	-	The allocation has negative effects as its development would mean loss of its existing (limited and informal) greenspace function, which may cause harm to local wildlife-rich habitats.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing green space.	N	Allocation has not changed.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing green space.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems within Tameside are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of renewable and low carbon energy technology?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Encourage the restoration and enhancement of peatlands?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of nature-based solutions to climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not propose nature-based solutions, however, these are likely to be covered by thematic policies.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict development in areas of high flood risk or a greater risk of flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing and residual flood risk from all sources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.

13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Deliver sustainable drainage systems and promote flood defences that reduces Tameside's vulnerability to flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Promote the use of nature-based solutions to manage flood risks?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Ensure that new water/waste water management infrastructure is delivered to support new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Minimise the risk of pollution arising from new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage water efficiency and promote the use of water recycling systems?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage energy demand reductions and energy efficiency in new development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage development that incorporates energy generation to achieve carbon emission reductions?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted within Tameside?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport

15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote the use of renewable and low carbon energy schemes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site does not have any landscape designations, although the loss of its existing green space and woodland use might affect landscape quality.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing green space and woodland.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing green space and woodland.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within 200m of a listed building.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage.	N	Allocation has not changed.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness including the setting of the Peak District National Park?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the Peak District National Park.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to high quality design.	This is covered in the thematic policies.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	This is covered in the thematic policies.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Protect or enhance the significance of designated and non-designated heritage assets?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within 200m of a listed building.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage.	N	Allocation has not changed.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	-	The allocation has negative effects as its development would mean loss of its existing use as greenspace.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)	N	Allocation has not changed.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not agricultural land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect soils of high environmental value in both a general and local context?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as there is no reference to high value soils.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	-	The allocation has negative effects as its development would mean loss of its existing use as greenspace.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)	N	Allocation has not changed.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)

17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	-	The allocation has negative effects as its development would mean loss of its existing use as greenspace.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)	N	Allocation has not changed.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the protection of peat?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Safeguard existing supply of natural resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Encourage recycling and composting of waste and avoid landfill?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.

			HSP S2F Allocation 1: Holland Street West							
Ref	**Objective**	**Assessement Criteria**	**Score**	**Explanation from Proformas and Planning Assessment**	**Mitigation**	**Has the 2025 mitigation been addressed?**	**Commentary on changes made since 2025**	**Score**	**Summary of new scoring**	**Residual Impacts**
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for market housing?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for affordable housing?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of pitches/plots to meet Tameside's Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Show People's assessed needs?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.

2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land for Tameside?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	++	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	-	Whilst the site is close to the J24 of the M60, it has negative effects as 0% of the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway station with at least two trains per hour or a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the diversification of Tameside's local economy?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site presents a highly attractive location for occupiers.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the enhancement of the vitality and viability of Tameside's town centres?	++	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located with 800m of each centre.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	++	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	-	Whilst the site is close to the J24 of the M60, it has negative effects as 0% of the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway station with at least two trains per hour or a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	?	Whilst the site is close to the J24 of the M60, it has negative effects as 0% of the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway station with at least two trains per hour or a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.

3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as utilities are not referenced, and there are no overhead lines in the area, however, these would need to be enhanced to support the scale of development.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the transition to active and sustainable modes of transport?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway station with at least two trains per hour or a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the delivery of a safe transport network which minimises the impact on the local environment and public health?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway station with at least two trains per hour or a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop. The site is close to the J24 of the M60, which could have negative impact on road traffic accidents and air quality.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support both the existing network and creation of new cycling and walking routes?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway station with at least two trains per hour or a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop. However, 100% of the site is covered by by the GMAL index score of 5 and above.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for employment. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.

4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for employment. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference supporting relations between people, however, the allocation is focused on employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities/infrastructure for all?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as whilst it is considered a highly attractive location for occupiers, there is no reference to equal opportunity.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on ‘protected characteristics’, as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it assumed to be too high level to affect protected characteristics.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will meet needs equally.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Create a sense of belonging, community cohesion and wellbeing for all members of the Tameside community?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference creating a sense of belonging, however, the allocation is focused on employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.

6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier, active lifestyles, proactive and preventative care and support improvements in determinants of health?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is covered by by the GMAL index score of 5 and above.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on active travel facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on active travel facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within Tameside and with the rest of England?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is no likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Increase the quantity and quality of active environments, open spaces, green and blue infrastructure?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green and blue infrastructure, public open space, leisure and recreational facilities?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote community safety and design which discourages crime?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is no likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities and community well-being assets, regardless of socio-economic status?	+	The allocation has positive effects as it is located within 600m of a GP and 700m of a dentist.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children and working age adults?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.

7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education and skill levels of the population of working age the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway station with at least two trains per hour or a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop. However, 100% of the site is covered by by the GMAL index score of 5 and above.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway station with at least two trains per hour or a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop. The site is close to the J24 of the M60 which will encoruage private motor vehicle use.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway station with at least two trains per hour or a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop. The site is close to the J24 of the M60 which will encoruage private motor vehicle use.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.

10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Tameside, specifically within designated sites, Greater Manchester Combined Authority Air Quality Management Area, and other biodiversity assets?	-	The site is close to the J24 of the M60, which could have negative impacts on air quality.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Minimise emissions and particulates from harmful pollutants when delivering new developments?	-	The site is close to the J24 of the M60, which could have negative impacts on air quality.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Locates development not within existing areas of poor air quality?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located within an AQMA.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Protect, conserve and enhance new and existing European, nationally and locally designated wildlife and geological sites?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located within a designated wildlife or geological site.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Encourage enhancement and restoration of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of enhancement or restoration.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green and blue infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green and blue infrastructure?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.

11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green and blue infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and safeguard local wider ecological networks including local wildlife-rich habitats?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of enhancement or restoration.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems within Tameside are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of renewable and low carbon energy technology?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Encourage the restoration and enhancement of peatlands?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of nature-based solutions to climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not propose nature-based solutions, however, these are likely to be covered by thematic policies.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict development in areas of high flood risk or a greater risk of flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.

13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing and residual flood risk from all sources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Deliver sustainable drainage systems and promote flood defences that reduces Tameside's vulnerability to flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Promote the use of nature-based solutions to manage flood risks?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.

14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Ensure that new water/waste water management infrastructure is delivered to support new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Minimise the risk of pollution arising from new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage water efficiency and promote the use of water recycling systems?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage energy demand reductions and energy efficiency in new development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage development that incorporates energy generation to achieve carbon emission reductions?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted within Tameside?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport

15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote the use of renewable and low carbon energy schemes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site does not have any landscape designations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site does not have any heritage designations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness including the setting of the Peak District National Park?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the Peak District National Park.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to high quality design.	This is covered in the thematic policies.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	This is covered in the thematic policies.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Protect or enhance the significance of designated and non-designated heritage assets?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site does not have any heritage designations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	+/-	The allocation has positive effects and negative effects as the site is located in an existing employment area that has seen recent development activity, however, the close proximity to J24 of the M60 and the clustering with new development suggests that this will be a highly attractive location for occupiers.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	+/-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)

17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not agricultural land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect soils of high environmental value in both a general and local context?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as there is no reference to high value soils.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	+/-	The allocation has positive effects and negative effects as the site is located in an existing employment area that has seen recent development activity, however, the close proximity to J24 of the M60 and the clustering with new development suggests that this will be a highly attractive location for occupiers.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	+/-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	+/-	The allocation has positive effects and negative effects as the site is located in an existing employment area that has seen recent development activity, however, the close proximity to J24 of the M60 and the clustering with new development suggests that this will be a highly attractive location for occupiers.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	+/-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the protection of peat?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Safeguard existing supply of natural resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods

18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Encourage recycling and composting of waste and avoid landfill?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning permission: 23/00916/FUL.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.

			HSP S2F Allocation 2: Windmill Lane East							
Ref	**Objective**	**Assessement Criteria**	**Score**	**Explanation from Proformas and Planning Assessment**	**Mitigation**	**Has the 2025 mitigation been addressed?**	**Commentary on changes made since 2025**	**Score**	**Summary of new scoring**	**Residual Impacts**
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for market housing?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for affordable housing?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of pitches/plots to meet Tameside's Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Show People's assessed needs?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land for Tameside?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	-	Whilst the site is close to the J24 of the M60, it has negative effects as 0% of the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway station with at least two trains per hour or a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the diversification of Tameside's local economy?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site presents a highly attractive location for occupiers.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the enhancement of the vitality and viability of Tameside's town centres?	++	The allocation has positive effects as 66% of the site is located with 800m of each centre.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	-	Whilst the site is close to the J24 of the M60, it has negative effects as 0% of the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway station with at least two trains per hour or a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	?	Whilst the site is close to the J24 of the M60, it has negative effects as 0% of the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway station with at least two trains per hour or a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as utilities are not referenced, and there are no overhead lines in the area, however, these would need to be enhanced to support the scale of development.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development	N	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development

3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the transition to active and sustainable modes of transport?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway station with at least two trains per hour or a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the delivery of a safe transport network which minimises the impact on the local environment and public health?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway station with at least two trains per hour or a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop. The site is close to the J24 of the M60, which could have negative impact on road traffic accidents and air quality.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support both the existing network and creation of new cycling and walking routes?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway station with at least two trains per hour or a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, and covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for employment. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.	N	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for employment. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.	N	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference supporting relations between people, however, the allocation is focused on employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities/infrastructure for all?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as whilst it is considered a highly attractive location for occupiers, there is no reference to equal opportunity.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.	N	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it assumed to be too high level to affect protected characteristics.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will meet needs equally.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Create a sense of belonging, community cohesion and wellbeing for all members of the Tameside community?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference creating a sense of belonging, however, the allocation is focused on employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier, active lifestyles, proactive and preventative care and support improvements in determinants of health?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on active travel facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on active travel facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within Tameside and with the rest of England?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within a COMAH zone.	Ensure that the new development considers the health and safety of employees and local residents.	N	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the health and safety of employees and local residents.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Increase the quantity and quality of active environments, open spaces, green and blue infrastructure?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.

6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green and blue infrastructure, public open space, leisure and recreational facilities?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote community safety and design which discourages crime?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is no likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities and community well-being assets, regardless of socio-economic status?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is located within 750m of a GP and 1050m of a dentist.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children and working age adults?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education and skill levels of the population of working age the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.

9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway station with at least two trains per hour or a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, and covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway station with at least two trains per hour or a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, and covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway station with at least two trains per hour or a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop. The site is close to the J24 of the M60 which will encoruage private motor vehicle use.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Tameside, specifically within designated sites, Greater Manchester Combined Authority Air Quality Management Area, and other biodiversity assets?	-	The site is close to the J24 of the M60, which could have negative impacts on air quality.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Minimise emissions and particulates from harmful pollutants when delivering new developments?	-	The site is close to the J24 of the M60, which could have negative impacts on air quality.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Locates development not within existing areas of poor air quality?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located within an AQMA.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Protect, conserve and enhance new and existing European, nationally and locally designated wildlife and geological sites?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located within a designated wildlife or geological site.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.

11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Encourage enhancement and restoration of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of enhancement or restoration.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green and blue infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green and blue infrastructure?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green and blue infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and safeguard local wider ecological networks including local wildlife-rich habitats?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of enhancement or restoration.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems within Tameside are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of renewable and low carbon energy technology?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Encourage the restoration and enhancement of peatlands?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of nature-based solutions to climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not propose nature-based solutions, however, these are likely to be covered by thematic policies.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict development in areas of high flood risk or a greater risk of flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing and residual flood risk from all sources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Deliver sustainable drainage systems and promote flood defences that reduces Tameside's vulnerability to flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Promote the use of nature-based solutions to manage flood risks?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Ensure that new water/waste water management infrastructure is delivered to support new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Minimise the risk of pollution arising from new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage water efficiency and promote the use of water recycling systems?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage energy demand reductions and energy efficiency in new development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport

15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage development that incorporates energy generation to achieve carbon emission reductions?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted within Tameside?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote the use of renewable and low carbon energy schemes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site does not have any landscape designations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site does not have any heritage designations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness including the setting of the Peak District National Park?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the Peak District National Park.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.	N	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to high quality design.	This is covered in the thematic policies.	N	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	This is covered in the thematic policies.

16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Protect or enhance the significance of designated and non-designated heritage assets?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site does not have any heritage designations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	+/-	The allocation has positive effects and negative effects as the site is located in an existing employment area, however, the close proximity to J24 of the M60 and the clustering with existing development suggests that this will be a highly attractive location for occupiers.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)	N	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not agricultural land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect soils of high environmental value in both a general and local context?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as there is no reference to high value soils.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	+/-	The allocation has positive effects and negative effects as the site is located in an existing employment area, however, the close proximity to J24 of the M60 and the clustering with existing development suggests that this will be a highly attractive location for occupiers.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)	N	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	+/-	The allocation has positive effects and negative effects as the site is located in an existing employment area, however, the close proximity to J24 of the M60 and the clustering with existing development suggests that this will be a highly attractive location for occupiers.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)	N	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the protection of peat?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Safeguard existing supply of natural resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Encourage recycling and composting of waste and avoid landfill?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	Allocation has been amended to incorporate all of the area occupied by shipping containers and excludes some minor areas on the western edge. The site area now aligns with the Land Registry boundaries.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.

			HSP S2F Allocation 3: Windmill Lane West							
Ref	**Objective**	**Assessement Criteria**	**Score**	**Explanation from Proformas and Planning Assessment**	**Mitigation**	**Has the 2025 mitigation been addressed?**	**Commentary on changes made since 2025**	**Score**	**Summary of new scoring**	**Residual Impacts**
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for market housing?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for affordable housing?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of pitches/plots to meet Tameside's Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Show People's assessed needs?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land for Tameside?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	+/-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station, 0% is located within 400m buffer of a metrolink, and 73% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. However, it is only 255m from a bus stop, 224m from the Beewa Network and 0m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the diversification of Tameside's local economy?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site represents the opportunity to deliver employment adjacent to the successful and highly graded existing employment areas.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the enhancement of the vitality and viability of Tameside's town centres?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is located with 800m of each centre.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing supporting Tameside's town centres, if appropriate.	N	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing supporting Tameside's town centres, if appropriate.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	+/-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station, 0% is located within 400m buffer of a metrolink, and 73% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. However, it is only 255m from a bus stop, 224m from the Beewa Network and 0m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	+/-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station, 0% is located within 400m buffer of a metrolink, and 73% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. However, it is only 255m from a bus stop, 224m from the Beewa Network and 0m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as utilities are not referenced, and there are no overhead lines in the area, however, these would need to be enhanced to support the scale of development.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development	N	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development

3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the transition to active and sustainable modes of transport?	+/-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station, 0% is located within 400m buffer of a metrolink, and 73% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. However, it is only 255m from a bus stop, 224m from the Beewa Network and 0m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the delivery of a safe transport network which minimises the impact on the local environment and public health?	+/-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station, 0% is located within 400m buffer of a metrolink, and 73% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. However, it is only 255m from a bus stop, 224m from the Beewa Network and 0m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support both the existing network and creation of new cycling and walking routes?	+/-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station, 0% is located within 400m buffer of a metrolink, and 73% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. However, it is only 255m from a bus stop, 224m from the Beewa Network and 0m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for employment. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.	N	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for employment. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.	N	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference supporting relations between people, however, the allocation is focused on employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities/infrastructure for all?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as whilst it is considered a key employment site, there is no reference to equal opportunity.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.	N	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it assumed to be too high level to affect protected characteristics.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will meet needs equally.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Create a sense of belonging, community cohesion and wellbeing for all members of the Tameside community?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference creating a sense of belonging, however, the allocation is focused on employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier, active lifestyles, proactive and preventative care and support improvements in determinants of health?	+/-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station, 0% is located within 400m buffer of a metrolink, and 73% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. However, it is only 255m from a bus stop, 224m from the Beewa Network and 0m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within Tameside and with the rest of England?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is no likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Increase the quantity and quality of active environments, open spaces, green and blue infrastructure?	--	The allocation has ngeative effects as 90% of the site is covered by typology in the 2025 Tameside Council Open Space Assessment.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.	N	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.

6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green and blue infrastructure, public open space, leisure and recreational facilities?	--	The allocation has ngeative effects as 90% of the site is covered by typology in the 2025 Tameside Council Open Space Assessment.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.	N	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote community safety and design which discourages crime?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is no likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities and community well-being assets, regardless of socio-economic status?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is located over 1000m from a GP and a dentist.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children and working age adults?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education and skill levels of the population of working age the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.

9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	+/-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station, 0% is located within 400m buffer of a metrolink, and 73% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. However, it is only 255m from a bus stop, 224m from the Beewa Network and 0m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	+/-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station, 0% is located within 400m buffer of a metrolink, and 73% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. However, it is only 255m from a bus stop, 224m from the Beewa Network and 0m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	+/-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station, 0% is located within 400m buffer of a metrolink, and 73% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. However, it is only 255m from a bus stop, 224m from the Beewa Network and 0m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Tameside, specifically within designated sites, Greater Manchester Combined Authority Air Quality Management Area, and other biodiversity assets?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 50% site is located within an AQMA.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Minimise emissions and particulates from harmful pollutants when delivering new developments?	?	The site has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that the development will improve air quality.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Locates development not within existing areas of poor air quality?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 50% site is located within an AQMA.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Protect, conserve and enhance new and existing European, nationally and locally designated wildlife and geological sites?	--	The allocation has ngeative effects as 90% of the site is covered by typology in the 2025 Tameside Council Open Space Assessment.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.	N	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.

11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Encourage enhancement and restoration of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of enhancement or restoration.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green and blue infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green and blue infrastructure?	--	The allocation has ngeative effects as 90% of the site is covered by typology in the 2025 Tameside Council Open Space Assessment.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.	N	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green and blue infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	--	The allocation has ngeative effects as 90% of the site is covered by typology in the 2025 Tameside Council Open Space Assessment.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.	N	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and safeguard local wider ecological networks including local wildlife-rich habitats?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of enhancement or restoration.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems within Tameside are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of renewable and low carbon energy technology?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Encourage the restoration and enhancement of peatlands?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of nature-based solutions to climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not propose nature-based solutions, however, these are likely to be covered by thematic policies.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict development in areas of high flood risk or a greater risk of flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing and residual flood risk from all sources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Deliver sustainable drainage systems and promote flood defences that reduces Tameside's vulnerability to flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Promote the use of nature-based solutions to manage flood risks?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Ensure that new water/waste water management infrastructure is delivered to support new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Minimise the risk of pollution arising from new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage water efficiency and promote the use of water recycling systems?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage energy demand reductions and energy efficiency in new development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport

15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage development that incorporates energy generation to achieve carbon emission reductions?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted within Tameside?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote the use of renewable and low carbon energy schemes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	--	The allocation has ngeative effects as 90% of the site is covered by typology in the 2025 Tameside Council Open Space Assessment.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.	N	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site does not have any heritage designations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness including the setting of the Peak District National Park?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the Peak District National Park.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.	N	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to high quality design.	This is covered in the thematic policies.	N	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	This is covered in the thematic policies.

16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Protect or enhance the significance of designated and non-designated heritage assets?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site does not have any heritage designations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	--	The allocation has ngeative effects as 90% of the site is covered by typology in the 2025 Tameside Council Open Space Assessment.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.	N	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not agricultural land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect soils of high environmental value in both a general and local context?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as there is no reference to high value soils.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	--	The allocation has ngeative effects as 90% of the site is covered by typology in the 2025 Tameside Council Open Space Assessment.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.	N	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	--	The allocation has ngeative effects as 90% of the site is covered by typology in the 2025 Tameside Council Open Space Assessment.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.	N	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the protection of peat?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Safeguard existing supply of natural resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Encourage recycling and composting of waste and avoid landfill?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation has been amended along the alignment of the railway and the M60 to exclude land within those operational transport corridors.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.

			HSP S2F Allocation 4: Exeter Avenue							
Ref	**Objective**	**Assessement Criteria**	**Score**	**Explanation from Proformas and Planning Assessment**	**Mitigation**	**Has the 2025 mitigation been addressed?**	**Commentary on changes made since 2025**	**Score**	**Summary of new scoring**	**Residual Impacts**
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for market housing?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is allocated for housing, with a total estimated capacity of 29 dwellings.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for affordable housing?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to housing mix or affordability.	Ensure the development provides sufficient affordable housing in line with local needs.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure the development provides sufficient affordable housing in line with local needs.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to housing mix or meeting local needs.	Ensure the development provides sufficient housing mix in line with local needs.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure the development provides sufficient housing mix in line with local needs.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	-	The allocation has negative effects as despite proximity to the bus network and other green space, none of the site is within 400m of the Metrolink and/or 800m of a 2tph rail station, and is not within 800m of a centre	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the energy efficiency or resilience of the development.	Ensure that the new development considers energy efficiency / resilience of housing stock.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers energy efficiency / resilience of housing stock.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of pitches/plots to meet Tameside's Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Show People's assessed needs?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to pitches/plots.	Ensure that the new development considers the affects on pitches/plots quantity.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the affects on pitches/plots quantity.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land for Tameside?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the diversification of Tameside's local economy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the enhancement of the vitality and viability of Tameside's town centres?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	-	The allocation has negative effects as despite proximity to the bus network, none of the site is within 400m of the Metrolink and/or 800m of a 2tph rail station, which could increase car dependency and limit the ability of the transport network to support development.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects with regards to improving transport connectivity as there is reference to the site already being in a highly accessible location.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as utilities are not referenced, and there are no overhead lines in the area, however, these would need to be enhanced to support the scale of development.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development	N	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development

3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the transition to active and sustainable modes of transport?	-	The allocation has negative effects as despite proximity to the bus network, Beeway network and existing PRoW, none of the site is within 400m of the Metrolink and/or 800m of a 2tph rail station, which could increase car dependency and restrict the transition to active and sustainable modes.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the delivery of a safe transport network which minimises the impact on the local environment and public health?	-	The allocation has negative effects as despite proximity to the bus network, Beeway network and existing PRoW, the site has limited accessibility to Metrolink and rail, which could increase car dependency and restrict the delivery of a safe transport network that minimises impact on environment and health.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support both the existing network and creation of new cycling and walking routes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to walking and cycling routes, although its proximity to the existing PRoW network and Busy Beeways could help support the existing network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for housing. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people	N	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for housing. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people	N	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference supporting relations between people, however, the allocation is focused on housing.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities/infrastructure for all?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to equal opportunity.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing that the mix of housing will help meet affordable needs.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing that the mix of housing will help meet affordable needs.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it assumed to be too high level to affect protected characteristics.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will meet needs equally.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Create a sense of belonging, community cohesion and wellbeing for all members of the Tameside community?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will support community cohesion or good relations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier, active lifestyles, proactive and preventative care and support improvements in determinants of health?	-	The allocation has negative effects as despite proximity to the bus network, Beeway network and existing PRoW, none of the site is within 400m of the Metrolink and/or 800m of a 2tph rail station, which could increase car dependency and restrict the transition to active and cleaner modes.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within Tameside and with the rest of England?	?	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Increase the quantity and quality of active environments, open spaces, green and blue infrastructure?	-	The allocation has negative effects as its development would mean loss of its existing use as (informal) greenspace.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing green space / open space.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing green space / open space.

6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green and blue infrastructure, public open space, leisure and recreational facilities?	-	The allocation has negative/positive effects as its development would mean loss of its existing use as (informal) greenspace, although the site itself would be near to green and blue infrastructure, public open space and recreational facilities.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing green space / open space.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing green space / open space.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote community safety and design which discourages crime?	?	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities and community well-being assets, regardless of socio-economic status?	+	The allocation has positive effects as it is located within 650m from a GP and within 750m from a dentist.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children and working age adults?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is located approximately 100m from a primary school and within 600m from a secondary school.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to access to and provision of community social infrastructure.	Ensure any new development suitably considers the local capacity of and access to community social infrastructure.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new development suitably considers the local capacity of and access to community social infrastructure.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to improving education levels, although accessibility to educational facilities is good which could help this indirectly.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education and skill levels of the population of working age the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to improving education and skill levels of the working age population.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	+/-	The allocation has positive/negative effects as the proximity to education and healthcare will promote active travel which is a more effiecient mode of transport, however, the distance to centres will not reduce the need to travel.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	-	The allocation has negative effects as despite proximity to the bus network, the site has limited accessibility to Metrolink and rail, which could increase car dependency and restrict the delivery of a safe transport network that minimises impact on environment and health.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	-	The allocation has uncertain effects as despite proximity to the bus network, Beeway network and existing PRoW, the site has limited accessibility to Metrolink and rail, which could increase car dependency and restrict the delivery of a safe transport network that minimises impact on environment and health.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Tameside, specifically within designated sites, Greater Manchester Combined Authority Air Quality Management Area, and other biodiversity assets?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as despite proximity to the bus network, Beeway network and existing PRoW, the site has limited accessibility to Metrolink and rail, which could increase car dependency and restrict the transition to active and cleaner modes.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Minimise emissions and particulates from harmful pollutants when delivering new developments?	?	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
10	Improve air quality	Locates development not within existing areas of poor air quality?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located within an AQMA.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Protect, conserve and enhance new and existing European, nationally and locally designated wildlife and geological sites?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located within a designated wildlife or geological site.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Encourage enhancement and restoration of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located within a designated wildlife or geological site.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green and blue infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green and blue infrastructure?	--	The allocation has negative effects as its development would mean loss of its existing use as (informal) amenity greenspace and open space.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing green space / open space.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing green space / open space.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green and blue infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	-	The allocation has negative effects as its development would mean loss of its existing use as greenspace and woodland, although the site itself is near to other green and blue infrastructure, public open space and leisure and recreational facilities.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing green space / open space.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing green space / open space.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and safeguard local wider ecological networks including local wildlife-rich habitats?	-	The allocation has negative effects as its development would mean loss of its existing use as greenspace, which possibly supports wildlife-rich habitats.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing green space / open space.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing green space / open space.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems within Tameside are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as although 100% of the site is within Flood Zone 1, 6% of the site is at medium-high surface water flood risk area, so could have reduced resilience to climate change effects.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any risk from climate change effects.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any risk from climate change effects.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of renewable and low carbon energy technology?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Encourage the restoration and enhancement of peatlands?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of nature-based solutions to climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not propose nature-based solutions, however, these are likely to be covered by thematic policies.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict development in areas of high flood risk or a greater risk of flooding?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as although 100% of the site is within Flood Zone 1, 6% of the site is at medium-high surface water flood risk area, so could have a greater risk of flooding.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any flood risk.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any flood risk.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing and residual flood risk from all sources?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as although 100% of the site is within Flood Zone 1, 6% of the site is at medium-high surface water flood risk area, so could have a greater risk of flooding.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any flood risk.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any flood risk.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	-	The allocation has uncertain effects as although 100% of the site is within Flood Zone 1, 6% of the site is at medium-high surface water flood risk area, and the loss of the existing greenspace use could enhance run-off rates.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any flood risk.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any flood risk.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as although 100% of the site is within Flood Zone 1, 6% of the site is at medium-high surface water flood risk area, so could have a greater risk of flooding.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any flood risk.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any flood risk.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Deliver sustainable drainage systems and promote flood defences that reduces Tameside's vulnerability to flooding?	-	The allocation has uncertain effects as although 100% of the site is within Flood Zone 1, 6% of the site is at medium-high surface water flood risk area, and the loss of the existing greenspace use could enhance run-off rates, increasing vulnerability to flooding.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any flood risk.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any flood risk.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Promote the use of nature-based solutions to manage flood risks?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as although 100% of the site is within Flood Zone 1, 6% of the site is at medium-high surface water flood risk area, so could have a greater risk of flooding.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any flood risk.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any flood risk.

14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as all development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses, however the site is located in close proximity to Ashton Canal watercourse.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as all development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses, however the site is located in close proximity to Ashton Canal watercourse.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as all development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses, however the site is located in close proximity to Ashton Canal watercourse.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Ensure that new water/waste water management infrastructure is delivered to support new development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as all development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses, however the site is located in close proximity to Ashton Canal watercourse.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Minimise the risk of pollution arising from new development?	--	The allocation has negative effects as it is within a coal mining high risk area, the presence of which could create water pollution hazards for development.	Ensure a thorough CMRA is undertaken with all risks approriately mitigated.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure a thorough CMRA is undertaken with all risks approriately mitigated.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage water efficiency and promote the use of water recycling systems?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as all development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses, however the site is located in close proximity to Ashton Canal watercourse.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage energy demand reductions and energy efficiency in new development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport

15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage development that incorporates energy generation to achieve carbon emission reductions?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted within Tameside?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote the use of renewable and low carbon energy schemes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	--	The allocation has negative effects as its development would mean loss of its existing use as greenspace and openspace.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing green space / open space.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing green space / open space.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site does not have any heritage designations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness including the setting of the Peak District National Park?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the Peak District National Park.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to high quality design.	This is covered in the thematic policies.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	This is covered in the thematic policies.

16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Protect or enhance the significance of designated and non-designated heritage assets?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site does not include any heritage assets.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to previosuly developed land.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)	N	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not agricultural land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect soils of high environmental value in both a general and local context?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as there is no reference to high value soils.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as although the site is within a Coal Mining High Risk Area, there is no reference to redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure.	Ensure to mitigate any negative impacts through a Coal Mining Risk Assessment.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure to mitigate any negative impacts through a Coal Mining Risk Assessment.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	?/+	The allocation has uncertain / positive effects as there is no reference to previously developed land, however, it is possible the presence of the Coal Mining High Risk Area requires land redmediation.	Ensure a thorough CMRA is undertaken with all risks approriately mitigated.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	?/+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure a thorough CMRA is undertaken with all risks approriately mitigated.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the protection of peat?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Safeguard existing supply of natural resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Encourage recycling and composting of waste and avoid landfill?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation has been amended to remove the garden extension. However, this parcel does not form part of the residential curtilage shown on the Land Registry. Resident at 387 have extended their garden to occupy council owned land, therefore this has been excluded from the allocation parcel.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.

			HSP S2F Allocation 5: Meadow Lane							
Ref	**Objective**	**Assessement Criteria**	**Score**	**Explanation from Proformas and Planning Assessment**	**Mitigation**	**Has the 2025 mitigation been addressed?**	**Commentary on changes made since 2025**	**Score**	**Summary of new scoring**	**Residual Impacts**
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for market housing?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is allocated for housing, with a total estimated capacity of 18 dwellings.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for affordable housing?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to housing mix or affordability.	Ensure the development provides sufficient affordable housing in line with local needs.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure the development provides sufficient affordable housing in line with local needs.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to housing mix or meeting local needs.	Ensure the development provides sufficient housing mix in line with local needs.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure the development provides sufficient housing mix in line with local needs.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is not within 800m of a centre, and is over 500m from a primary school and 1.7km from a secondary school.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the energy efficiency or resilience of the development.	Ensure that the new development considers energy efficiency / resilience of housing stock.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers energy efficiency / resilience of housing stock.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of pitches/plots to meet Tameside's Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Show People's assessed needs?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to pitches/plots.	Ensure that the new development considers the affects on pitches/plots quantity.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the affects on pitches/plots quantity.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land for Tameside?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.

2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the diversification of Tameside's local economy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the enhancement of the vitality and viability of Tameside's town centres?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	-	The allocation has negative effects as despite proximity to the bus network and Beeway Network, the site is not within an 800m buffer of a railway, 400m of the Metrolink, and 0% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	-	The allocation has negative effects as despite proximity to the bus network and Beeway Network, the site is not within an 800m buffer of a railway, 400m of the Metrolink, and 0% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as utilities are not referenced, and there are no overhead lines in the area, however, these would need to be enhanced to support the scale of development.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development

3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the transition to active and sustainable modes of transport?	-	The allocation has negative effects as despite proximity to the bus network and Beeway Network, the site is not within an 800m buffer of a railway, 400m of the Metrolink, and 0% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the delivery of a safe transport network which minimises the impact on the local environment and public health?	-	The allocation has negative effects as despite proximity to the bus network and Beeway Network, the site is not within an 800m buffer of a railway, 400m of the Metrolink, and 0% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support both the existing network and creation of new cycling and walking routes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to walking and cycling routes, although its proximity to the existing PRoW network and Busy Beeways could help support the existing network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for housing. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for housing. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference supporting relations between people, however, the allocation is focused on housing.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.

5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities/infrastructure for all?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to equal opportunity.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing that the mix of housing will help meet affordable needs.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing that the mix of housing will help meet affordable needs.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it assumed to be too high level to affect protected characteristics.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will meet needs equally.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Create a sense of belonging, community cohesion and wellbeing for all members of the Tameside community?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will support community cohesion or good relations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier, active lifestyles, proactive and preventative care and support improvements in determinants of health?	-	The allocation has negative effects as despite proximity to the bus network, Beeway network and existing PRoW, none of the site is within 400m of the Metrolink and/or 800m of a 2tph rail station, which could increase car dependency and restrict the transition to active and cleaner modes.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within Tameside and with the rest of England?	?	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Increase the quantity and quality of active environments, open spaces, green and blue infrastructure?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site contains several TPOs which could be impacted by development.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing green infrastructure.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing green infrastructure.

6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green and blue infrastructure, public open space, leisure and recreational facilities?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site contains several TPOs which could be impacted by development.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing green infrastructure.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing green infrastructure.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote community safety and design which discourages crime?	?	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities and community well-being assets, regardless of socio-economic status?	+	The allocation has positive effects as it is located within 655m from a GP and within 485m from a dentist.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children and working age adults?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is located approximately 485m from a primary school and within 1732m from a secondary school.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to access to and provision of community social infrastructure.	Ensure any new development suitably considers the local capacity of and access to community social infrastructure.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new development suitably considers the local capacity of and access to community social infrastructure.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is located approximately 485m from a primary school and within 1732m from a secondary school.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education and skill levels of the population of working age the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to improving education and skill levels of the working age population.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.

9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	-	The allocation has negative effects as despite proximity to the bus network and Beeway Network, the site is not within an 800m buffer of a railway, 400m of the Metrolink, and 0% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	-	The allocation has negative effects as despite proximity to the bus network, the site has limited accessibility to Metrolink and rail, which could increase car dependency and restrict the delivery of a safe transport network that minimises impact on environment and health.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	-	The allocation has negative effects as despite proximity to the bus network and Beeway Network, the site is not within an 800m buffer of a railway, 400m of the Metrolink, and 0% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Tameside, specifically within designated sites, Greater Manchester Combined Authority Air Quality Management Area, and other biodiversity assets?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as despite proximity to the bus network, Beeway network and existing PRoW, the site has limited accessibility to Metrolink and rail, which could increase car dependency and restrict the transition to active and cleaner modes.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Minimise emissions and particulates from harmful pollutants when delivering new developments?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as despite proximity to the bus network, Beeway network and existing PRoW, the site has limited accessibility to Metrolink and rail, which could increase car dependency and restrict the transition to active and cleaner modes.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Locates development not within existing areas of poor air quality?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located within an AQMA.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Protect, conserve and enhance new and existing European, nationally and locally designated wildlife and geological sites?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site is in close proximity to an LNR and LNRS, as well as containing several TPOs (group and individual).	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of or impact to wildlife and designated sites.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of or impact to wildlife and designated sites.

11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Encourage enhancement and restoration of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site is in close proximity to an LNR and LNRS, as well as containing several TPOs (group and individual).	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of or impact to wildlife and designated sites.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of or impact to wildlife and designated sites.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green and blue infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green and blue infrastructure?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site contains several TPOs (group and individual).	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of or impact to green infrastructure.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of or impact to green infrastructure.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green and blue infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site contains several TPOs (group and individual).	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of or impact to green infrastructure.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of or impact to green infrastructure.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and safeguard local wider ecological networks including local wildlife-rich habitats?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site is in close proximity to an LNR and LNRS, as well as containing several TPOs (group and individual).	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of or impact to wildlife and designated sites.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of or impact to wildlife and designated sites.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems within Tameside are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of renewable and low carbon energy technology?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Encourage the restoration and enhancement of peatlands?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.

12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of nature-based solutions to climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not propose nature-based solutions, however, these are likely to be covered by thematic policies.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict development in areas of high flood risk or a greater risk of flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing and residual flood risk from all sources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Deliver sustainable drainage systems and promote flood defences that reduces Tameside's vulnerability to flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Promote the use of nature-based solutions to manage flood risks?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.

14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Ensure that new water/waste water management infrastructure is delivered to support new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Minimise the risk of pollution arising from new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage water efficiency and promote the use of water recycling systems?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage energy demand reductions and energy efficiency in new development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport

15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage development that incorporates energy generation to achieve carbon emission reductions?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted within Tameside?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote the use of renewable and low carbon energy schemes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site contains several TPOs (group and individual).	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of or impact to landscape value.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of or impact to landscape value.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	-	The allocation has negative effectd as the site is within 200mof 3 listed buildings.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness including the setting of the Peak District National Park?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the Peak District National Park.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to high quality design.	This is covered in the thematic policies.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	This is covered in the thematic policies.

16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Protect or enhance the significance of designated and non-designated heritage assets?	-	The allocation has negative effectd as the site is within 200mof 3 listed buildings.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	++	The allocation has positive effects as it is located at a cleared vacant former rectory site.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	++	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not agricultural land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect soils of high environmental value in both a general and local context?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as there is no reference to high value soils.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	++	The allocation has positive effects as it is located at a cleared vacant former rectory site.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	++	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	++	The allocation has positive effects as it is located at a cleared vacant former rectory site.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	++	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the protection of peat?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.

18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Safeguard existing supply of natural resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Encourage recycling and composting of waste and avoid landfill?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	Allocation has not changed and the boundary has been checked against the current extant planning application: 25/00863/MATCH and is correct.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.

			HSP S2F Allocation 6: Land South of Greswell							
Ref	**Objective**	**Assessement Criteria**	**Score**	**Explanation from Proformas and Planning Assessment**	**Mitigation**	**Has the 2025 mitigation been addressed?**	**Commentary on changes made since 2025**	**Score**	**Summary of new scoring**	**Residual Impacts**
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for market housing?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is allocated for housing, with a total estimated capacity of 78 dwellings.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for affordable housing?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to housing mix or affordability.	Ensure the development provides sufficient affordable housing in line with local needs.	N	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure the development provides sufficient affordable housing in line with local needs.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to housing mix or meeting local needs.	Ensure the development provides sufficient housing mix in line with local needs.	N	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure the development provides sufficient housing mix in line with local needs.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	+/-	The allocation has positive/negative effects as the site is close to a primary school, however, is not within 800m of a centre and its development would result in the loss of an existing green space and MUGA.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the energy efficiency or resilience of the development.	Ensure that the new development considers energy efficiency / resilience of housing stock.	N	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers energy efficiency / resilience of housing stock.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of pitches/plots to meet Tameside's Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Show People's assessed needs?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to pitches/plots.	Ensure that the new development considers the affects on pitches/plots quantity.	N	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the affects on pitches/plots quantity.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land for Tameside?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the diversification of Tameside's local economy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the enhancement of the vitality and viability of Tameside's town centres?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	-	The allocation has negative effects as despite proximity to the bus network and Beeway Network, the site is not within an 800m buffer of a railway, 400m of the Metrolink, and 0% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	-	The allocation has negative effects as despite proximity to the bus network and Beeway Network, the site is not within an 800m buffer of a railway, 400m of the Metrolink, and 0% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as utilities are not referenced, and there are no overhead lines in the area, however, these would need to be enhanced to support the scale of development.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development	N	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development

3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the transition to active and sustainable modes of transport?	-	The allocation has negative effects as despite proximity to the bus network and Beeway Network, the site is not within an 800m buffer of a railway, 400m of the Metrolink, and 0% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the delivery of a safe transport network which minimises the impact on the local environment and public health?	-	The allocation has negative effects as despite proximity to the bus network and Beeway Network, the site is not within an 800m buffer of a railway, 400m of the Metrolink, and 0% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support both the existing network and creation of new cycling and walking routes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to walking and cycling routes, although its proximity to the existing PRoW network and Busy Beeways could help support the existing network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for housing. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people	N	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for housing. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people	N	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people

5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference supporting relations between people, however, the allocation is focused on housing.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities/infrastructure for all?	-	The allocation has negative effects as development of the site would result in the loss of young persons sports provision.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing sports provision.	N	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing sports provision.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	-	The allocation has negative effects as development of the site would result in the loss of young persons sports provision.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing sports provision.	N	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing sports provision.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will meet needs equally.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Create a sense of belonging, community cohesion and wellbeing for all members of the Tameside community?	-	The allocation has negative effects as development of the site would result in the loss of young persons sports provision.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing sports provision.	N	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing sports provision.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier, active lifestyles, proactive and preventative care and support improvements in determinants of health?	-	The allocation has negative effects as development of the site would result in the loss of young persons sports provision.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing sports provision.	N	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing sports provision.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within Tameside and with the rest of England?	-	The allocation has negative effects as development of the site would result in the loss of young persons sports provision.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing sports provision.	N	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing sports provision.

6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Increase the quantity and quality of active environments, open spaces, green and blue infrastructure?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 100% of the site is open space (including green space and young persons sports provision)	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing sports provision.	N	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing sports provision.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green and blue infrastructure, public open space, leisure and recreational facilities?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 100% of the site is open space (including green space and young persons sports provision)	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing sports provision.	N	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing sports provision.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote community safety and design which discourages crime?	?	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities and community well-being assets, regardless of socio-economic status?	+	The allocation has positive effects as it is located within 655m from a GP and within 485m from a dentist.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children and working age adults?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is located approximately 485m from a primary school and within 1732m from a secondary school.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 100% of the site is open space (including green space and young persons sports provision)	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing sports provision.	N	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing sports provision.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is located approximately 179m from a primary school and 462m from a secondary school.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages

8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education and skill levels of the population of working age the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to improving education and skill levels of the working age population.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	-	The allocation has negative effects as despite proximity to the bus network and Beeway Network, the site is not within an 800m buffer of a railway, 400m of the Metrolink, and 0% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	-	The allocation has negative effects as despite proximity to the bus network, the site has limited accessibility to Metrolink and rail, which could increase car dependency and restrict the delivery of a safe transport network that minimises impact on environment and health.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	-	The allocation has negative effects as despite proximity to the bus network and Beeway Network, the site is not within an 800m buffer of a railway, 400m of the Metrolink, and 0% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Tameside, specifically within designated sites, Greater Manchester Combined Authority Air Quality Management Area, and other biodiversity assets?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as despite proximity to the bus network, Beeway network and existing PRoW, the site has limited accessibility to Metrolink and rail, which could increase car dependency and restrict the transition to active and cleaner modes.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Minimise emissions and particulates from harmful pollutants when delivering new developments?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as despite proximity to the bus network, Beeway network and existing PRoW, the site has limited accessibility to Metrolink and rail, which could increase car dependency and restrict the transition to active and cleaner modes.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Locates development not within existing areas of poor air quality?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located within an AQMA.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.

11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Protect, conserve and enhance new and existing European, nationally and locally designated wildlife and geological sites?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site would result in the loss of open space, including green space and young persons sports provision.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of or impact to open space.	N	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of or impact to open space.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Encourage enhancement and restoration of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site is in close proximity to an SBI and LNRS.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of or impact to wildlife and designated sites.	N	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of or impact to wildlife and designated sites.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green and blue infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green and blue infrastructure?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site would result in the loss of open space, including green space and young persons sports provision.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of or impact to open space.	N	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of or impact to open space.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green and blue infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site would result in the loss of open space, including green space and young persons sports provision.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of or impact to open space.	N	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of or impact to open space.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and safeguard local wider ecological networks including local wildlife-rich habitats?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site is in close proximity to an SBI and LNRS.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of or impact to wildlife and designated sites.	N	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of or impact to wildlife and designated sites.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems within Tameside are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of renewable and low carbon energy technology?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport

12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Encourage the restoration and enhancement of peatlands?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of nature-based solutions to climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not propose nature-based solutions, however, these are likely to be covered by thematic policies.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict development in areas of high flood risk or a greater risk of flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing and residual flood risk from all sources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Deliver sustainable drainage systems and promote flood defences that reduces Tameside's vulnerability to flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Promote the use of nature-based solutions to manage flood risks?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Ensure that new water/waste water management infrastructure is delivered to support new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Minimise the risk of pollution arising from new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage water efficiency and promote the use of water recycling systems?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage energy demand reductions and energy efficiency in new development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage development that incorporates energy generation to achieve carbon emission reductions?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted within Tameside?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote the use of renewable and low carbon energy schemes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site would result in the loss of open space, including green space and young persons sports provision.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of or impact to open space.	N	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of or impact to open space.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site does not have any heritage designations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness including the setting of the Peak District National Park?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the Peak District National Park.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.	N	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.

16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to high quality design.	This is covered in the thematic policies.	N	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	This is covered in the thematic policies.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Protect or enhance the significance of designated and non-designated heritage assets?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site does not have any heritage designations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site would result in the loss of open space, including green space and young persons sports provision.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of or impact to open space.	N	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of or impact to open space.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not agricultural land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect soils of high environmental value in both a general and local context?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as there is no reference to high value soils.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site would result in the loss of open space, including green space and young persons sports provision.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of or impact to open space.	N	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of or impact to open space.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site would result in the loss of open space, including green space and young persons sports provision.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of or impact to open space.	N	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of or impact to open space.

17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the protection of peat?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Safeguard existing supply of natural resources?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 98% of the site is in a mineral safeguarding area for brick clay.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Encourage recycling and composting of waste and avoid landfill?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation has been amended to exclude residential gardens to the rear of Ruskin Ave.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.

			HSP S2F Allocation 7: Capesthorne							
Ref	**Objective**	**Assessement Criteria**	**Score**	**Explanation from Proformas and Planning Assessment**	**Mitigation**	**Has the 2025 mitigation been addressed?**	**Commentary on changes made since 2025**	**Score**	**Summary of new scoring**	**Residual Impacts**
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for market housing?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is allocated for housing, with a total estimated capacity of 67 dwellings.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	+	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for affordable housing?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to housing mix or affordability.	Ensure the development provides sufficient affordable housing in line with local needs.	N	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	?	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	Ensure the development provides sufficient affordable housing in line with local needs.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to housing mix or meeting local needs.	Ensure the development provides sufficient housing mix in line with local needs.	N	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	?	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	Ensure the development provides sufficient housing mix in line with local needs.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is within 800m of a centre and less than 300m from a primary and secondary school.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	++	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the energy efficiency or resilience of the development.	Ensure that the new development considers energy efficiency / resilience of housing stock.	N	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	?	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	Ensure that the new development considers energy efficiency / resilience of housing stock.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of pitches/plots to meet Tameside's Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Show People's assessed needs?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to pitches/plots.	Ensure that the new development considers the affects on pitches/plots quantity.	N	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	?	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	Ensure that the new development considers the affects on pitches/plots quantity.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land for Tameside?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None required.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	O	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	None required.

2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None required.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	O	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	None required.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None required.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	O	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	None required.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the diversification of Tameside's local economy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None required.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	O	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	None required.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the enhancement of the vitality and viability of Tameside's town centres?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None required.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	O	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	None required.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	+	The allocation has positive effects the site is not within an 800m buffer of a railway or 400m of the Metrolink, however 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 23m from a bus stop and less than 250m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	+	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	+	The allocation has positive effects the site is not within an 800m buffer of a railway or 400m of the Metrolink, however 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 23m from a bus stop and less than 250m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	+	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as utilities are not referenced, and there are no overhead lines in the area, however, these would need to be enhanced to support the scale of development.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development	N	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	?	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development

3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the transition to active and sustainable modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects the site is not within an 800m buffer of a railway or 400m of the Metrolink, however 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 23m from a bus stop and less than 250m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	+	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the delivery of a safe transport network which minimises the impact on the local environment and public health?	+	The allocation has positive effects the site is not within an 800m buffer of a railway or 400m of the Metrolink, however 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 23m from a bus stop and less than 250m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	+	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support both the existing network and creation of new cycling and walking routes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to walking and cycling routes, although its proximity to the existing PRoW network and Busy Beeways could help support the existing network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	?	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for housing. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people	N	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	?	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for housing. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people	N	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	?	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people

5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference supporting relations between people, however, the allocation is focused on housing.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	O	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities/infrastructure for all?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to equal opportunity.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing that the mix of housing will help meet affordable needs.	N	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	?	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing that the mix of housing will help meet affordable needs.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it assumed to be too high level to affect protected characteristics.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	O	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will meet needs equally.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	?	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Create a sense of belonging, community cohesion and wellbeing for all members of the Tameside community?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will support community cohesion or good relations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	?	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier, active lifestyles, proactive and preventative care and support improvements in determinants of health?	-	The allocation has negative effects as development of the site would result in the loss of open/green space, however, it is not an area of need.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.	N	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	-	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within Tameside and with the rest of England?	?	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	?	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	None identified.

6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Increase the quantity and quality of active environments, open spaces, green and blue infrastructure?	-	The allocation has negative effects as development of the site would result in the loss of open/green space, however, it is not an area of need.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.	N	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	-	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green and blue infrastructure, public open space, leisure and recreational facilities?	-	The allocation has negative effects as development of the site would result in the loss of open/green space, however, it is not an area of need.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.	N	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	-	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote community safety and design which discourages crime?	?	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	?	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	None identified.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities and community well-being assets, regardless of socio-economic status?	-	The allocation has negative effects as it is located within 800m from a GP and within 525m of a dentist.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	-	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children and working age adults?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is located less than 300m from a primary school and secondary school.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	+	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	-	The allocation has negative effects as development of the site would result in the loss of open/green space, however, it is not an area of need.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.	N	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	-	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is located less than 300m from a primary school and secondary school.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	+	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages

8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education and skill levels of the population of working age the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to improving education and skill levels of the working age population.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	?	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	None identified.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	+	The allocation has positive effects the site is not within an 800m buffer of a railway or 400m of the Metrolink, however 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 23m from a bus stop and less than 250m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	+	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	+	The allocation has positive effects the site is not within an 800m buffer of a railway or 400m of the Metrolink, however 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 23m from a bus stop and less than 250m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	+	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects the site is not within an 800m buffer of a railway or 400m of the Metrolink, however 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 23m from a bus stop and less than 250m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	+	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Tameside, specifically within designated sites, Greater Manchester Combined Authority Air Quality Management Area, and other biodiversity assets?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	+	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Minimise emissions and particulates from harmful pollutants when delivering new developments?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	+	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Locates development not within existing areas of poor air quality?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located within an AQMA.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	O	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.

11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Protect, conserve and enhance new and existing European, nationally and locally designated wildlife and geological sites?	-	The allocation has negative effects as development of the site would result in the loss of open/green space, however, it is not an area of need.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.	N	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	-	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Encourage enhancement and restoration of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located within a designated wildlife or geological site.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	O	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	None identified.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green and blue infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green and blue infrastructure?	-	The allocation has negative effects as development of the site would result in the loss of open/green space, however, it is not an area of need.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.	N	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	-	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green and blue infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	-	The allocation has negative effects as development of the site would result in the loss of open/green space, however, it is not an area of need.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.	N	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	-	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and safeguard local wider ecological networks including local wildlife-rich habitats?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located within a local wildlife rich habitat.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	O	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems within Tameside are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	O	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of renewable and low carbon energy technology?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	?	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport

12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Encourage the restoration and enhancement of peatlands?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	O	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of nature-based solutions to climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not propose nature-based solutions, however, these are likely to be covered by thematic policies.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	O	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict development in areas of high flood risk or a greater risk of flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	O	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing and residual flood risk from all sources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	O	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	O	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	O	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Deliver sustainable drainage systems and promote flood defences that reduces Tameside's vulnerability to flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	O	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	None identified.

13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Promote the use of nature-based solutions to manage flood risks?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	O	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	O	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	O	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	O	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Ensure that new water/waste water management infrastructure is delivered to support new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	O	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Minimise the risk of pollution arising from new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	O	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage water efficiency and promote the use of water recycling systems?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	O	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	None identified.

15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage energy demand reductions and energy efficiency in new development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	?	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage development that incorporates energy generation to achieve carbon emission reductions?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	?	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted within Tameside?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	?	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote the use of renewable and low carbon energy schemes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	?	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	-	The allocation has negative effects as development of the site would result in the loss of open/green space, however, it is not an area of need.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.	N	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	-	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site does not have any heritage designations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	O	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness including the setting of the Peak District National Park?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the Peak District National Park.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.	N	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	?	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.

16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to high quality design.	This is covered in the thematic policies.	N	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	?	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	This is covered in the thematic policies.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Protect or enhance the significance of designated and non-designated heritage assets?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site does not have any heritage designations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	O	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	-	The allocation has negative effects as development of the site would result in the loss of open/green space, however, it is not an area of need.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.	N	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	-	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not agricultural land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	O	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect soils of high environmental value in both a general and local context?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as there is no reference to high value soils.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	O	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	-	The allocation has negative effects as development of the site would result in the loss of open/green space, however, it is not an area of need.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.	N	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	-	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	-	The allocation has negative effects as development of the site would result in the loss of open/green space, however, it is not an area of need.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.	N	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	-	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.

17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the protection of peat?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	O	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	O	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Safeguard existing supply of natural resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	O	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	O	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	O	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Encourage recycling and composting of waste and avoid landfill?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	Allocation has not changed, however the northern boundary of the site does not follow a Land Registry title boundary.	O	Although the allocation boundary does not align fully with Land Registry parcels, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria, provided the allocation extent is clearly defined and deliverable.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.

			HSP S2G Allocation 1: Droylsden Marina							
Ref	**Objective**	**Assessement Criteria**	**Score**	**Explanation from Proformas and Planning Assessment**	**Mitigation**	**Has the 2025 mitigation been addressed?**	**Commentary on changes made since 2025**	**Score**	**Summary of new scoring**	**Residual Impacts**
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for market housing?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is allocated for housing, with a total estimated capacity of 174 dwellings.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for affordable housing?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to housing mix or affordability.	Ensure the development provides sufficient affordable housing in line with local needs.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure the development provides sufficient affordable housing in line with local needs.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to housing mix or meeting local needs.	Ensure the development provides sufficient housing mix in line with local needs.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure the development provides sufficient housing mix in line with local needs.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	++	The allocation has negative effects as 100% of the site is within 800m of a centre and it is less than 400m from a primary school and a secondary school.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	++	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the energy efficiency or resilience of the development.	Ensure that the new development considers energy efficiency / resilience of housing stock.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers energy efficiency / resilience of housing stock.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of pitches/plots to meet Tameside's Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Show People's assessed needs?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to pitches/plots.	Ensure that the new development considers the affects on pitches/plots quantity.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the affects on pitches/plots quantity.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land for Tameside?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.

2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the diversification of Tameside's local economy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the enhancement of the vitality and viability of Tameside's town centres?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 18m from a bus stop and 97m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 18m from a bus stop and 97m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as utilities are not referenced, and there are no overhead lines in the area, however, these would need to be enhanced to support the scale of development.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development

3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the transition to active and sustainable modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 18m from a bus stop and 97m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the delivery of a safe transport network which minimises the impact on the local environment and public health?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 18m from a bus stop and 97m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support both the existing network and creation of new cycling and walking routes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to walking and cycling routes, although its proximity to the existing PRoW network and Busy Beeways could help support the existing network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for housing. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for housing. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference supporting relations between people, however, the allocation is focused on housing.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.

5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities/infrastructure for all?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to equal opportunity.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing that the mix of housing will help meet affordable needs.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing that the mix of housing will help meet affordable needs.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it assumed to be too high level to affect protected characteristics.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will meet needs equally.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Create a sense of belonging, community cohesion and wellbeing for all members of the Tameside community?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will support community cohesion or good relations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier, active lifestyles, proactive and preventative care and support improvements in determinants of health?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel - the increased uptake of which can minimise the impact on the local environment and public health.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within Tameside and with the rest of England?	?	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Increase the quantity and quality of active environments, open spaces, green and blue infrastructure?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.

6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green and blue infrastructure, public open space, leisure and recreational facilities?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote community safety and design which discourages crime?	?	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities and community well-being assets, regardless of socio-economic status?	+	The allocation has positive effects as it is located within 150m of a GP and dentist.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children and working age adults?	+	The allocation has negative effects as the site is located within 375m of a primary and secondary school.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to access to and provision of community social infrastructure.	Ensure any new development suitably considers the local capacity of and access to community social infrastructure.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new development suitably considers the local capacity of and access to community social infrastructure.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	+	The allocation has negative effects as the site is located within 375m of a primary and secondary school.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education and skill levels of the population of working age the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to improving education and skill levels of the working age population.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.

9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 18m from a bus stop and 97m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 18m from a bus stop and 97m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 18m from a bus stop and 97m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Tameside, specifically within designated sites, Greater Manchester Combined Authority Air Quality Management Area, and other biodiversity assets?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Minimise emissions and particulates from harmful pollutants when delivering new developments?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Locates development not within existing areas of poor air quality?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 13% of the site is located within an existing AQMA.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Protect, conserve and enhance new and existing European, nationally and locally designated wildlife and geological sites?	-	The allocation has negative effects as it is in close proximity to an SBI and LNRS.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of or impact to wildlife and designated sites.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of or impact to wildlife and designated sites.

11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Encourage enhancement and restoration of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	-	The allocation has negative effects as it is in close proximity to an SBI and LNRS.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of or impact to wildlife and designated sites.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of or impact to wildlife and designated sites.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green and blue infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green and blue infrastructure?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of enhancement or restoration.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green and blue infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and safeguard local wider ecological networks including local wildlife-rich habitats?	-	The allocation has negative effects as it is in close proximity to an SBI and LNRS.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of or impact to wildlife and designated sites.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of or impact to wildlife and designated sites.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems within Tameside are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of renewable and low carbon energy technology?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Encourage the restoration and enhancement of peatlands?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.

12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of nature-based solutions to climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not propose nature-based solutions, however, these are likely to be covered by thematic policies.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict development in areas of high flood risk or a greater risk of flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing and residual flood risk from all sources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 7% of the site has a high risk of surface water flooding and 11% has a medium risk.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Deliver sustainable drainage systems and promote flood defences that reduces Tameside's vulnerability to flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Promote the use of nature-based solutions to manage flood risks?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.

14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Ensure that new water/waste water management infrastructure is delivered to support new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Minimise the risk of pollution arising from new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage water efficiency and promote the use of water recycling systems?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage energy demand reductions and energy efficiency in new development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport

15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage development that incorporates energy generation to achieve carbon emission reductions?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted within Tameside?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote the use of renewable and low carbon energy schemes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site does not have any landscape designations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within 200m of several listed buildings and the Fairfield Conservation Area.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness including the setting of the Peak District National Park?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the Peak District National Park.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to high quality design.	This is covered in the thematic policies.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	This is covered in the thematic policies.

16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Protect or enhance the significance of designated and non-designated heritage assets?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within 200m of several listed buildings and the Fairfield Conservation Area.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is vacant brownfield land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	++	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not agricultural land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect soils of high environmental value in both a general and local context?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as there is no reference to high value soils.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is vacant brownfield land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	++	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is vacant brownfield land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	++	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the protection of peat?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.

18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Safeguard existing supply of natural resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Encourage recycling and composting of waste and avoid landfill?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked with Estates and against Land Registry parcels.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.

			HSP S2G Allocation 2: Edge Lane							
Ref	**Objective**	**Assessement Criteria**	**Score**	**Explanation from Proformas and Planning Assessment**	**Mitigation**	**Has the 2025 mitigation been addressed?**	**Commentary on changes made since 2025**	**Score**	**Summary of new scoring**	**Residual Impacts**
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for market housing?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is allocated for housing, with a total estimated capacity of 143 dwellings.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for affordable housing?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to housing mix or affordability.	Ensure the development provides sufficient affordable housing in line with local needs.	N	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure the development provides sufficient affordable housing in line with local needs.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to housing mix or meeting local needs.	Ensure the development provides sufficient housing mix in line with local needs.	N	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure the development provides sufficient housing mix in line with local needs.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	-	The allocation has negative effects as only 1% is within 800m of each centre, a primary school is 456m away and secondary school is 653m away.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the energy efficiency or resilience of the development.	Ensure that the new development considers energy efficiency / resilience of housing stock.	N	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers energy efficiency / resilience of housing stock.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of pitches/plots to meet Tameside's Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Show People's assessed needs?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to pitches/plots.	Ensure that the new development considers the affects on pitches/plots quantity.	N	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the affects on pitches/plots quantity.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land for Tameside?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the diversification of Tameside's local economy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the enhancement of the vitality and viability of Tameside's town centres?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	+	The allocation has positive effects as despite 0% of the site being within an 800m buffer of a railway, 42% of the site is within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 2m from a bus stop and 0m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	+	The allocation has positive effects as despite 0% of the site being within an 800m buffer of a railway, 42% of the site is within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 2m from a bus stop and 0m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as utilities are not referenced, and there are no overhead lines in the area, however, these would need to be enhanced to support the scale of development.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development	N	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development

3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the transition to active and sustainable modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as despite 0% of the site being within an 800m buffer of a railway, 42% of the site is within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 2m from a bus stop and 0m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the delivery of a safe transport network which minimises the impact on the local environment and public health?	+	The allocation has positive effects as despite 0% of the site being within an 800m buffer of a railway, 42% of the site is within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 2m from a bus stop and 0m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support both the existing network and creation of new cycling and walking routes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to walking and cycling routes, although its proximity to the existing PRoW network and Busy Beeways could help support the existing network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for housing. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people	N	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for housing. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people	N	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference supporting relations between people, however, the allocation is focused on housing.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities/infrastructure for all?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to equal opportunity.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing that the mix of housing will help meet affordable needs.	N	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing that the mix of housing will help meet affordable needs.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it assumed to be too high level to affect protected characteristics.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will meet needs equally.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Create a sense of belonging, community cohesion and wellbeing for all members of the Tameside community?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will support community cohesion or good relations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier, active lifestyles, proactive and preventative care and support improvements in determinants of health?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel - the increased uptake of which can minimise the impact on the local environment and public health.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within Tameside and with the rest of England?	?	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Increase the quantity and quality of active environments, open spaces, green and blue infrastructure?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 7% of the site is open space so development may result in a loss.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.	N	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.

6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green and blue infrastructure, public open space, leisure and recreational facilities?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 7% of the site is open space so development may result in a loss.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.	N	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote community safety and design which discourages crime?	?	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities and community well-being assets, regardless of socio-economic status?	-	The allocation hasnegative effects as it is located within 1km of a GP and 456m from a dentist.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children and working age adults?	+	The allocation has negative effects as the site is located within 405m of a primary and 653m of a secondary school.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to access to and provision of community social infrastructure.	Ensure any new development suitably considers the local capacity of and access to community social infrastructure.	N	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new development suitably considers the local capacity of and access to community social infrastructure.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	+	The allocation has negative effects as the site is located within 405m of a primary and 653m of a secondary school.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education and skill levels of the population of working age the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to improving education and skill levels of the working age population.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	+	The allocation has positive effects as despite 0% of the site being within an 800m buffer of a railway, 42% of the site is within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 2m from a bus stop and 0m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	+	The allocation has positive effects as despite 0% of the site being within an 800m buffer of a railway, 42% of the site is within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 2m from a bus stop and 0m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as despite 0% of the site being within an 800m buffer of a railway, 42% of the site is within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 2m from a bus stop and 0m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Tameside, specifically within designated sites, Greater Manchester Combined Authority Air Quality Management Area, and other biodiversity assets?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Minimise emissions and particulates from harmful pollutants when delivering new developments?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Locates development not within existing areas of poor air quality?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located within an AQMA.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Protect, conserve and enhance new and existing European, nationally and locally designated wildlife and geological sites?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 7% of the site is open space so development may result in a loss. The site is also in close proximity to an SBI and LNRS.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space and biodiversity.	N	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space and biodiversity.

11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Encourage enhancement and restoration of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is in close proximity to an SBI and LNRS.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing biodiversity.	N	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green and blue infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green and blue infrastructure?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 7% of the site is open space so development may result in a loss.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.	N	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green and blue infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 7% of the site is open space so development may result in a loss.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.	N	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and safeguard local wider ecological networks including local wildlife-rich habitats?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is in close proximity to an SBI and LNRS.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing biodiversity.	N	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing biodiversity.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems within Tameside are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of renewable and low carbon energy technology?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Encourage the restoration and enhancement of peatlands?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of nature-based solutions to climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not propose nature-based solutions, however, these are likely to be covered by thematic policies.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict development in areas of high flood risk or a greater risk of flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing and residual flood risk from all sources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Deliver sustainable drainage systems and promote flood defences that reduces Tameside's vulnerability to flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Promote the use of nature-based solutions to manage flood risks?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Ensure that new water/waste water management infrastructure is delivered to support new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Minimise the risk of pollution arising from new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage water efficiency and promote the use of water recycling systems?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage energy demand reductions and energy efficiency in new development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport

15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage development that incorporates energy generation to achieve carbon emission reductions?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted within Tameside?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote the use of renewable and low carbon energy schemes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 7% of the site is open space so development may result in a loss.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.	N	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site does not have any heritage designations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness including the setting of the Peak District National Park?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the Peak District National Park.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.	N	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to high quality design.	This is covered in the thematic policies.	N	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	This is covered in the thematic policies.

16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Protect or enhance the significance of designated and non-designated heritage assets?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site does not have any heritage designations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	+	The allocation has single positive effects as the site is brownfield land, however, 7% of the site is open space.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.	N	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not agricultural land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect soils of high environmental value in both a general and local context?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as there is no reference to high value soils.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	+	The allocation has single positive effects as the site is brownfield land, however, 7% of the site is open space.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.	N	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	+	The allocation has single positive effects as the site is brownfield land, however, 7% of the site is open space.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.	N	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the protection of peat?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Safeguard existing supply of natural resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Encourage recycling and composting of waste and avoid landfill?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation has been amended in line with the current extant planning permission: 19/00962/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.

			HSP S2G Allocation 3: Ashton Hill Lane							
Ref	**Objective**	**Assessement Criteria**	**Score**	**Explanation from Proformas and Planning Assessment**	**Mitigation**	**Has the 2025 mitigation been addressed?**	**Commentary on changes made since 2025**	**Score**	**Summary of new scoring**	**Residual Impacts**
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for market housing?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is allocated for housing, with a total estimated capacity of 28 dwellings.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for affordable housing?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to housing mix or affordability.	Ensure the development provides sufficient affordable housing in line with local needs.	N	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure the development provides sufficient affordable housing in line with local needs.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to housing mix or meeting local needs.	Ensure the development provides sufficient housing mix in line with local needs.	N	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure the development provides sufficient housing mix in line with local needs.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	++	The allocation has positive effects as 100% is within 800m of each centre, a primary school is 175m away and secondary school is 464m away.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the energy efficiency or resilience of the development.	Ensure that the new development considers energy efficiency / resilience of housing stock.	N	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers energy efficiency / resilience of housing stock.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of pitches/plots to meet Tameside's Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Show People's assessed needs?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to pitches/plots.	Ensure that the new development considers the affects on pitches/plots quantity.	N	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the affects on pitches/plots quantity.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land for Tameside?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the diversification of Tameside's local economy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the enhancement of the vitality and viability of Tameside's town centres?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	++	The allocation has very positive effects as 86% of the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway, 100% of the site is within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 13m from a bus stop and 187m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	++	The allocation has very positive effects as 86% of the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway, 100% of the site is within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 13m from a bus stop and 187m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as utilities are not referenced, and there are no overhead lines in the area, however, these would need to be enhanced to support the scale of development.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development	N	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development

3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the transition to active and sustainable modes of transport?	++	The allocation has very positive effects as 86% of the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway, 100% of the site is within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 13m from a bus stop and 187m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the delivery of a safe transport network which minimises the impact on the local environment and public health?	++	The allocation has very positive effects as 86% of the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway, 100% of the site is within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 13m from a bus stop and 187m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support both the existing network and creation of new cycling and walking routes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to walking and cycling routes, although its proximity to the existing PRoW network and Busy Beeways could help support the existing network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for housing. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people	N	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for housing. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people	N	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference supporting relations between people, however, the allocation is focused on housing.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities/infrastructure for all?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to equal opportunity.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing that the mix of housing will help meet affordable needs.	N	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing that the mix of housing will help meet affordable needs.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it assumed to be too high level to affect protected characteristics.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will meet needs equally.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Create a sense of belonging, community cohesion and wellbeing for all members of the Tameside community?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will support community cohesion or good relations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier, active lifestyles, proactive and preventative care and support improvements in determinants of health?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel - the increased uptake of which can minimise the impact on the local environment and public health.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within Tameside and with the rest of England?	?	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Increase the quantity and quality of active environments, open spaces, green and blue infrastructure?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.

6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green and blue infrastructure, public open space, leisure and recreational facilities?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote community safety and design which discourages crime?	?	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities and community well-being assets, regardless of socio-economic status?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is 44m from a GP and 156m from a dentist.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children and working age adults?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is located within 175m of a primary and 464m of a secondary school.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to access to and provision of community social infrastructure.	Ensure any new development suitably considers the local capacity of and access to community social infrastructure.	N	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new development suitably considers the local capacity of and access to community social infrastructure.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is located within 175m of a primary and 464m of a secondary school.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education and skill levels of the population of working age the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to improving education and skill levels of the working age population.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	++	The allocation has very positive effects as 86% of the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway, 100% of the site is within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 13m from a bus stop and 187m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	++	The allocation has very positive effects as 86% of the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway, 100% of the site is within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 13m from a bus stop and 187m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	++	The allocation has very positive effects as 86% of the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway, 100% of the site is within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 13m from a bus stop and 187m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Tameside, specifically within designated sites, Greater Manchester Combined Authority Air Quality Management Area, and other biodiversity assets?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Minimise emissions and particulates from harmful pollutants when delivering new developments?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Locates development not within existing areas of poor air quality?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 7% of the site is located within an existing AQMA.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Protect, conserve and enhance new and existing European, nationally and locally designated wildlife and geological sites?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is in close proximity to an SBI and LNRS.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing biodiversity.	N	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing biodiversity.

11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Encourage enhancement and restoration of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is in close proximity to an SBI and LNRS.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing biodiversity.	N	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green and blue infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green and blue infrastructure?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of enhancement or restoration.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green and blue infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and safeguard local wider ecological networks including local wildlife-rich habitats?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is in close proximity to an SBI and LNRS.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing biodiversity.	N	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing biodiversity.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems within Tameside are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of renewable and low carbon energy technology?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Encourage the restoration and enhancement of peatlands?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of nature-based solutions to climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not propose nature-based solutions, however, these are likely to be covered by thematic policies.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict development in areas of high flood risk or a greater risk of flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing and residual flood risk from all sources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 6% of the site has a high risk of surface water flooding and 14% has a medium risk.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Deliver sustainable drainage systems and promote flood defences that reduces Tameside's vulnerability to flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Promote the use of nature-based solutions to manage flood risks?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Ensure that new water/waste water management infrastructure is delivered to support new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Minimise the risk of pollution arising from new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage water efficiency and promote the use of water recycling systems?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage energy demand reductions and energy efficiency in new development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport

15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage development that incorporates energy generation to achieve carbon emission reductions?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted within Tameside?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote the use of renewable and low carbon energy schemes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site does not have any landscape designations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within 200m of several listed buildings and the Fairfield Conservation Area.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.	N	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness including the setting of the Peak District National Park?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the Peak District National Park.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.	N	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to high quality design.	This is covered in the thematic policies.	N	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	This is covered in the thematic policies.

16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Protect or enhance the significance of designated and non-designated heritage assets?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within 200m of several listed buildings and the Fairfield Conservation Area.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.	N	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	++	The allocation has single positive effects as the site is brownfield land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not agricultural land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect soils of high environmental value in both a general and local context?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as there is no reference to high value soils.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	++	The allocation has single positive effects as the site is brownfield land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	++	The allocation has single positive effects as the site is brownfield land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the protection of peat?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Safeguard existing supply of natural resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Encourage recycling and composting of waste and avoid landfill?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation has been amended slightly to reflect the development brief.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.

			HSP S2G Allocation 4: Victoria Mill							
Ref	**Objective**	**Assessement Criteria**	**Score**	**Explanation from Proformas and Planning Assessment**	**Mitigation**	**Has the 2025 mitigation been addressed?**	**Commentary on changes made since 2025**	**Score**	**Summary of new scoring**	**Residual Impacts**
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for market housing?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is allocated for housing, with a total estimated capacity of 127 dwellings.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for affordable housing?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is reference to housing mix but no reference to affordable housing.	Ensure the development provides sufficient affordable housing in line with local needs.	N	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure the development provides sufficient affordable housing in line with local needs.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to housing mix or meeting local needs.	Ensure the development provides sufficient housing mix in line with local needs.	N	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure the development provides sufficient housing mix in line with local needs.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is within 800m of each centre and the nearest primary and secondary school is less than 400m away.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities connecting to centres could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities connecting to centres could be considered.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the energy efficiency or resilience of the development.	Ensure that the new development considers energy efficiency / resilience of housing stock.	N	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers energy efficiency / resilience of housing stock.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of pitches/plots to meet Tameside's Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Show People's assessed needs?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to pitches/plots.	Ensure that the new development considers the affects on pitches/plots quantity.	N	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the affects on pitches/plots quantity.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land for Tameside?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified

2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the diversification of Tameside's local economy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the enhancement of the vitality and viability of Tameside's town centres?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	+	The allocation has positive effects as whilst 0% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station, 100% is within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 100% of the site is covered by the MAL index score of 5 and above, it is 0m from a bus stop, and 75m from the Beeway Network and 8m Busy Beeway Network.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	+	The allocation has positive effects as whilst 0% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station, 100% is within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 100% of the site is covered by the MAL index score of 5 and above, it is 0m from a bus stop, and 75m from the Beeway Network and 8m Busy Beeway Network.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as utilities are not referenced, and there are no overhead lines in the area, however, these would need to be enhanced to support the scale of development.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development	N	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the transition to active and sustainable modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as whilst 0% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station, 100% is within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 100% of the site is covered by the MAL index score of 5 and above, it is 0m from a bus stop, and 75m from the Beeway Network and 8m Busy Beeway Network.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.

3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the delivery of a safe transport network which minimises the impact on the local environment and public health?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel - the increased uptake of which can minimise the impact on the local environment and public health.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support both the existing network and creation of new cycling and walking routes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no referencec to walking and cycling routes, although its proximity to the existing street network, including Busy Beeways, could support the existing network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for employment. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.	N	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for employment. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.	N	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference supporting relations between people, however, the allocation is focused on housing.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities/infrastructure for all?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to equal opportunity.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.	N	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.

5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it assumed to be too high level to affect protected characteristics.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will meet needs equally.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Create a sense of belonging, community cohesion and wellbeing for all members of the Tameside community?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will support community cohesion or good relations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier, active lifestyles, proactive and preventative care and support improvements in determinants of health?	+	The allocation has positive effects as whilst 0% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station, 100% is within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 100% of the site is covered by the MAL index score of 5 and above, it is 0m from a bus stop, and 75m from the Beeway Network and 8m Busy Beeway Network.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within Tameside and with the rest of England?	?	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Increase the quantity and quality of active environments, open spaces, green and blue infrastructure?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green and blue infrastructure, public open space, leisure and recreational facilities?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote community safety and design which discourages crime?	-/?	The allocation has uncertain / negative effects as there is no reference to community safety and design, although its proximity to the transport network could create both social and transport safety issues.	Ensure that the new development recognises any additional needs for safety considerations.	N	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	-/?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development recognises any additional needs for safety considerations.

7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities and community well-being assets, regardless of socio-economic status?	+	The allocation has positive effects as it is located 337m from a GP and 295m from a dentist.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children and working age adults?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is located within 182m of a primary school and 350m from a secondary school.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to access to and provision of community social infrastructure.	Ensure any new development suitably considers the local capacity of and access to community social infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new development suitably considers the local capacity of and access to community social infrastructure.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is located within 182m of a primary school and 350m from a secondary school.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education and skill levels of the population of working age the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to improving education and skill levels of the working age population.	None identified.	N	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	+	The allocation has positive effects as whilst 0% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station, 100% is within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 100% of the site is covered by the MAL index score of 5 and above, it is 0m from a bus stop, and 75m from the Beeway Network and 8m Busy Beeway Network.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as whilst 0% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station, 100% is within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 100% of the site is covered by the MAL index score of 5 and above, it is 0m from a bus stop, and 75m from the Beeway Network and 8m Busy Beeway Network.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.

10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Tameside, specifically within designated sites, Greater Manchester Combined Authority Air Quality Management Area, and other biodiversity assets?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Minimise emissions and particulates from harmful pollutants when delivering new developments?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Locates development not within existing areas of poor air quality?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located in an AQMA.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Protect, conserve and enhance new and existing European, nationally and locally designated wildlife and geological sites?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is in close proximinty to an SBI and LNRS.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Encourage enhancement and restoration of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of enhancement or restoration.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green and blue infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green and blue infrastructure?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of enhancement or restoration.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green and blue infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of enhancement or restoration.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.

11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and safeguard local wider ecological networks including local wildlife-rich habitats?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is in close proximinty to an SBI and LNRS.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems within Tameside are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of renewable and low carbon energy technology?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Encourage the restoration and enhancement of peatlands?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of nature-based solutions to climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not propose nature-based solutions, however, these are likely to be covered by thematic policies.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict development in areas of high flood risk or a greater risk of flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing and residual flood risk from all sources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Deliver sustainable drainage systems and promote flood defences that reduces Tameside's vulnerability to flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Promote the use of nature-based solutions to manage flood risks?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Ensure that new water/waste water management infrastructure is delivered to support new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Minimise the risk of pollution arising from new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage water efficiency and promote the use of water recycling systems?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage energy demand reductions and energy efficiency in new development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage development that incorporates energy generation to achieve carbon emission reductions?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted within Tameside?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote the use of renewable and low carbon energy schemes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site does not have any landscape designations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is in close proximinty to several listed buildings and 200m from Fairfield Conservation Area.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness including the setting of the Peak District National Park?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the Peak District National Park.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.

16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to high quality design.	This is covered in the thematic policies.	N	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	This is covered in the thematic policies.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Protect or enhance the significance of designated and non-designated heritage assets?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is in close proximinty to several listed buildings and 200m from Fairfield Conservation Area.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is located on vacant land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not agricultural land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect soils of high environmental value in both a general and local context?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as there is no reference to high value soils.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is located on vacant land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is located on vacant land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the protection of peat?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Safeguard existing supply of natural resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Encourage recycling and composting of waste and avoid landfill?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been checked against that submitted with the current extant planning application and amended to exclude small areas to the north and east.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.

			HSP S2H Allocation 1: Bow Street							
Ref	**Objective**	**Assessement Criteria**	**Score**	**Explanation from Proformas and Planning Assessment**	**Mitigation**	**Has the 2025 mitigation been addressed?**	**Commentary on changes made since 2025**	**Score**	**Summary of new scoring**	**Residual Impacts**
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for market housing?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None required.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None required.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for affordable housing?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None required.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None required.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None required.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None required.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None required.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None required.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of pitches/plots to meet Tameside's Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Show People's assessed needs?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None required.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None required.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land for Tameside?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 62% of the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway station with at least two trains per hour and 100% of the site is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. However, 0% of the site is within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the diversification of Tameside's local economy?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is in a highly accessible existing employment area and presents opportunities for delivering a range of mid-sized units.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the enhancement of the vitality and viability of Tameside's town centres?	++	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located with 800m of each centre.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 62% of the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway station with at least two trains per hour and 100% of the site is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. However, 0% of the site is within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 62% of the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway station with at least two trains per hour and 100% of the site is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. However, 0% of the site is within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as utilities are not referenced, and there are no overhead lines in the area, however, these would need to be enhanced to support the scale of development.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development	N	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the transition to active and sustainable modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 62% of the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway station with at least two trains per hour and 100% of the site is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. However, 0% of the site is within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the delivery of a safe transport network which minimises the impact on the local environment and public health?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 62% of the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway station with at least two trains per hour and 100% of the site is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. However, 0% of the site is within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support both the existing network and creation of new cycling and walking routes?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above and there is a PROW 120m away.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for employment. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.

4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for employment. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference supporting relations between people, however, the allocation is focused on employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities/infrastructure for all?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as whilst it is considered a highly accessible employment area, there is no reference to equal opportunity.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it assumed to be too high level to affect protected characteristics.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will meet needs equally.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Create a sense of belonging, community cohesion and wellbeing for all members of the Tameside community?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference creating a sense of belonging, however, the allocation is focused on employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier, active lifestyles, proactive and preventative care and support improvements in determinants of health?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on active travel facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on active travel facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within Tameside and with the rest of England?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is no likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Increase the quantity and quality of active environments, open spaces, green and blue infrastructure?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	-	The allocation boundary has been amended to include an area of trees in the southwest of the site, and development could result in the their removal. Therefore the scoring has been updated to negative.	Amend the allocation boundary to omit these areas, or if this is not possible, that any new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.

6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green and blue infrastructure, public open space, leisure and recreational facilities?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	-	The allocation boundary has been amended to include an area of trees in the southwest of the site, and development could result in the their removal. Therefore the scoring has been updated to negative.	Amend the allocation boundary to omit these areas, or if this is not possible, that any new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote community safety and design which discourages crime?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is no likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities and community well-being assets, regardless of socio-economic status?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is located within 500m of a GP and 500m of a dentist.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children and working age adults?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education and skill levels of the population of working age the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 62% of the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway station with at least two trains per hour and 100% of the site is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. However, 0% of the site is within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 62% of the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway station with at least two trains per hour and 100% of the site is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. However, 0% of the site is within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 62% of the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway station with at least two trains per hour and 100% of the site is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. However, 0% of the site is within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.

10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Tameside, specifically within designated sites, Greater Manchester Combined Authority Air Quality Management Area, and other biodiversity assets?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Minimise emissions and particulates from harmful pollutants when delivering new developments?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Locates development not within existing areas of poor air quality?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located within an AQMA.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Protect, conserve and enhance new and existing European, nationally and locally designated wildlife and geological sites?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 2% of the site is covered by the Tameside Open Space Assessment, and it is also in close proximity to an LNRS, RIGS, SBI, and SSSI.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Amend the allocation boundary to omit these areas, or if this is not possible, that any new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Encourage enhancement and restoration of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of enhancement or restoration.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	-	The allocation boundary has been amended to include an area of trees in the southwest of the site, and development could result in the their removal. Therefore the scoring has been updated to negative.	Amend the allocation boundary to omit these areas, or if this is not possible, that any new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green and blue infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green and blue infrastructure?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	-	The allocation boundary has been amended to include an area of trees in the southwest of the site, and development could result in the their removal. Therefore the scoring has been updated to negative.	Amend the allocation boundary to omit these areas, or if this is not possible, that any new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green and blue infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	+/-	The allocation has positive and negative effects as 2% of the site is covered by the Tameside Open Space Assessment so this could be impacted, however, access to open space could also be improved.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Amend the allocation boundary to omit these areas, or if this is not possible, that any new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and safeguard local wider ecological networks including local wildlife-rich habitats?	+/-	The allocation has negative effects as 2% of the site is covered by the Tameside Open Space Assessment, and it is also in close proximity to an LNRS, RIGS, SBI, and SSSI.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Amend the allocation boundary to omit these areas, or if this is not possible, that any new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems within Tameside are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 2 (92%).	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk

12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of renewable and low carbon energy technology?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Encourage the restoration and enhancement of peatlands?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of nature-based solutions to climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not propose nature-based solutions, however, these are likely to be covered by thematic policies.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict development in areas of high flood risk or a greater risk of flooding?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 2 (92%) and no mitigation is referened.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing and residual flood risk from all sources?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 2 (92%) and no mitigation is referened.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 2 (92%) and no mitigation is referened.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 2 (92%) and no mitigation is referened.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Deliver sustainable drainage systems and promote flood defences that reduces Tameside's vulnerability to flooding?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 2 (92%) and no mitigation is referened.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Promote the use of nature-based solutions to manage flood risks?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 2 (92%) and no mitigation is referened.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Ensure that new water/waste water management infrastructure is delivered to support new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Minimise the risk of pollution arising from new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage water efficiency and promote the use of water recycling systems?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage energy demand reductions and energy efficiency in new development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage development that incorporates energy generation to achieve carbon emission reductions?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted within Tameside?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote the use of renewable and low carbon energy schemes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site does not have any landscape designations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within 200m of a listed building and Ashton Town Centre Conservation Area.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness including the setting of the Peak District National Park?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the Peak District National Park.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.

16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to high quality design.	This is covered in the thematic policies.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	This is covered in the thematic policies.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Protect or enhance the significance of designated and non-designated heritage assets?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within 200m of a listed building and Ashton Town Centre Conservation Area.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	+/-	The allocation has positive effects and negative effects as the site is located in an existing employment area, however, this will be a highly attractive location to the local market.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)	N	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not agricultural land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect soils of high environmental value in both a general and local context?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as there is no reference to high value soils.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	+/-	The allocation has positive effects and negative effects as the site is located in an existing employment area, however, this will be a highly attractive location to the local market.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)	N	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	+/-	The allocation has positive effects and negative effects as the site is located in an existing employment area, however, this will be a highly attractive location to the local market.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)	N	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the protection of peat?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Safeguard existing supply of natural resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods

18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Encourage recycling and composting of waste and avoid landfill?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended broadly in line with the red line boundary shown for planning application: 26/00249/FUL. This includes an area of trees in the southwest.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.

			HSP S2H Allocation 2: Gate Street							
Ref	**Objective**	**Assessement Criteria**	**Score**	**Explanation from Proformas and Planning Assessment**	**Mitigation**	**Has the 2025 mitigation been addressed?**	**Commentary on changes made since 2025**	**Score**	**Summary of new scoring**	**Residual Impacts**
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for market housing?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for affordable housing?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of pitches/plots to meet Tameside's Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Show People's assessed needs?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land for Tameside?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	++	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is not located within an 800m buffer of a railway station, 400m buffer of a metrolink, and only 33% of the site is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. However, it is only 3m from a bus stop, 189m from the Beeway Network and 2m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.

2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the diversification of Tameside's local economy?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is in a highly accessible existing employment area and presents opportunities for delivering a range of industrial units.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the enhancement of the vitality and viability of Tameside's town centres?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is located with 800m of each centre.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing supporting Tameside's town centres, if appropriate.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing supporting Tameside's town centres, if appropriate.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is not located within an 800m buffer of a railway station, 400m buffer of a metrolink, and only 33% of the site is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. However, it is only 3m from a bus stop, 189m from the Beeway Network and 2m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is not located within an 800m buffer of a railway station, 400m buffer of a metrolink, and only 33% of the site is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. However, it is only 3m from a bus stop, 189m from the Beeway Network and 2m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as utilities are not referenced, and there are no overhead lines in the area, however, these would need to be enhanced to support the scale of development.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the transition to active and sustainable modes of transport?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is not located within an 800m buffer of a railway station, 400m buffer of a metrolink, and only 33% of the site is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. However, it is only 3m from a bus stop, 189m from the Beeway Network and 2m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the delivery of a safe transport network which minimises the impact on the local environment and public health?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is not located within an 800m buffer of a railway station, 400m buffer of a metrolink, and only 33% of the site is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. This could encourage reliance on private vehicles, rather than sustainable, active modes.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support both the existing network and creation of new cycling and walking routes?	-	The allocation has negative effects as only 33% of the site is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. This could encourage reliance on private vehicles, rather than sustainable, active modes.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for employment. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.

4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for employment. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference supporting relations between people, however, the allocation is focused on employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities/infrastructure for all?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as whilst it is considered a highly accessible employment area, there is no reference to equal opportunity.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it assumed to be too high level to affect protected characteristics.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will meet needs equally.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Create a sense of belonging, community cohesion and wellbeing for all members of the Tameside community?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference creating a sense of belonging, however, the allocation is focused on employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier, active lifestyles, proactive and preventative care and support improvements in determinants of health?	--	The allocation has negative effects as only 33% of the site is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. This could encourage reliance on private vehicles, rather than sustainable, active modes.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on active travel facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on active travel facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within Tameside and with the rest of England?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is no likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Increase the quantity and quality of active environments, open spaces, green and blue infrastructure?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.

6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green and blue infrastructure, public open space, leisure and recreational facilities?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote community safety and design which discourages crime?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is no likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities and community well-being assets, regardless of socio-economic status?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is located 700m from a GP and 1000m from a dentist.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children and working age adults?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education and skill levels of the population of working age the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is not located within an 800m buffer of a railway station, 400m buffer of a metrolink, and only 33% of the site is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. This could encourage reliance on private vehicles, rather than sustainable, active modes.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is not located within an 800m buffer of a railway station, 400m buffer of a metrolink, and only 33% of the site is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. However, it is only 3m from a bus stop, 189m from the Beeway Network and 2m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is not located within an 800m buffer of a railway station, 400m buffer of a metrolink, and only 33% of the site is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. However, it is only 3m from a bus stop, 189m from the Beeway Network and 2m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.

10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Tameside, specifically within designated sites, Greater Manchester Combined Authority Air Quality Management Area, and other biodiversity assets?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 1% site is located within an AQMA.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Minimise emissions and particulates from harmful pollutants when delivering new developments?	?	The site has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that the development will improve air quality.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Locates development not within existing areas of poor air quality?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 1% site is located within an AQMA.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Protect, conserve and enhance new and existing European, nationally and locally designated wildlife and geological sites?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within close proximity to an SBI and LNRS.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Encourage enhancement and restoration of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of enhancement or restoration.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green and blue infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green and blue infrastructure?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green and blue infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and safeguard local wider ecological networks including local wildlife-rich habitats?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within close proximity to an SBI and LNRS.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.

12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems within Tameside are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 41% of the site is located within Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of renewable and low carbon energy technology?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Encourage the restoration and enhancement of peatlands?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of nature-based solutions to climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not propose nature-based solutions, however, these are likely to be covered by thematic policies.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict development in areas of high flood risk or a greater risk of flooding?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 41% of the site is located within Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing and residual flood risk from all sources?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 41% of the site is located within Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 41% of the site is located within Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 41% of the site is located within Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Deliver sustainable drainage systems and promote flood defences that reduces Tameside's vulnerability to flooding?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 41% of the site is located within Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Promote the use of nature-based solutions to manage flood risks?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 41% of the site is located within Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk

14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Ensure that new water/waste water management infrastructure is delivered to support new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Minimise the risk of pollution arising from new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage water efficiency and promote the use of water recycling systems?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage energy demand reductions and energy efficiency in new development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage development that incorporates energy generation to achieve carbon emission reductions?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted within Tameside?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote the use of renewable and low carbon energy schemes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport

16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site does not have any landscape designations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site does not have any heritage designations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness including the setting of the Peak District National Park?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the Peak District National Park.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to high quality design.	This is covered in the thematic policies.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	This is covered in the thematic policies.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Protect or enhance the significance of designated and non-designated heritage assets?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site does not have any heritage designations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	+/-	The allocation has positive effects and negative effects as the site is located in an existing employment area, however, this will be a highly attractive location to the local market.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	+/-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not agricultural land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect soils of high environmental value in both a general and local context?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as there is no reference to high value soils.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	+/-	The allocation has positive effects and negative effects as the site is located in an existing employment area, however, this will be a highly attractive location to the local market.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	+/-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	+/-	The allocation has positive effects and negative effects as the site is located in an existing employment area, however, this will be a highly attractive location to the local market.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	+/-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)

17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the protection of peat?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Safeguard existing supply of natural resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Encourage recycling and composting of waste and avoid landfill?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.

			HSP S2H Allocation 3: Globe Lane							
Ref	**Objective**	**Assessement Criteria**	**Score**	**Explanation from Proformas and Planning Assessment**	**Mitigation**	**Has the 2025 mitigation been addressed?**	**Commentary on changes made since 2025**	**Score**	**Summary of new scoring**	**Residual Impacts**
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for market housing?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for affordable housing?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of pitches/plots to meet Tameside's Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Show People's assessed needs?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land for Tameside?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is not located within an 800m buffer of a railway station, 400m buffer of a metrolink, and is not covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. However, it is only 40m from a bus stop, 106m from the Beeway Network and 4m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the diversification of Tameside's local economy?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is in a highly accessible existing employment area and presents opportunities for delivering a range of industrial units.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the enhancement of the vitality and viability of Tameside's town centres?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is located with 800m of each centre.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing supporting Tameside's town centres, if appropriate.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing supporting Tameside's town centres, if appropriate.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is not located within an 800m buffer of a railway station, 400m buffer of a metrolink, and is not covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. However, it is only 40m from a bus stop, 106m from the Beeway Network and 4m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is not located within an 800m buffer of a railway station, 400m buffer of a metrolink, and is not covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. However, it is only 40m from a bus stop, 106m from the Beeway Network and 4m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as utilities are not referenced, and there are no overhead lines in the area, however, these would need to be enhanced to support the scale of development.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the transition to active and sustainable modes of transport?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is not located within an 800m buffer of a railway station, 400m buffer of a metrolink, and is not covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. However, it is only 40m from a bus stop, 106m from the Beeway Network and 4m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the delivery of a safe transport network which minimises the impact on the local environment and public health?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is not located within an 800m buffer of a railway station, 400m buffer of a metrolink, and is not covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. This could encourage reliance on private vehicles, rather than sustainable, active modes.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support both the existing network and creation of new cycling and walking routes?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is not located within an 800m buffer of a railway station, 400m buffer of a metrolink, and is not covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. This could encourage reliance on private vehicles, rather than sustainable, active modes.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for employment. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.

4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for employment. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference supporting relations between people, however, the allocation is focused on employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities/infrastructure for all?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as whilst it is considered a key employment site, there is no reference to equal opportunity.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it assumed to be too high level to affect protected characteristics.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will meet needs equally.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Create a sense of belonging, community cohesion and wellbeing for all members of the Tameside community?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference creating a sense of belonging, however, the allocation is focused on employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier, active lifestyles, proactive and preventative care and support improvements in determinants of health?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site is not located within an 800m buffer of a railway station, 400m buffer of a metrolink, and is not covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. This could encourage reliance on private vehicles, rather than sustainable, active modes.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on active travel facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on active travel facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within Tameside and with the rest of England?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is no likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Increase the quantity and quality of active environments, open spaces, green and blue infrastructure?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.

6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green and blue infrastructure, public open space, leisure and recreational facilities?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote community safety and design which discourages crime?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is no likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities and community well-being assets, regardless of socio-economic status?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is located 900m from a GP and 800m from a dentist.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children and working age adults?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education and skill levels of the population of working age the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is not located within an 800m buffer of a railway station, 400m buffer of a metrolink, and is not covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. However, it is only 40m from a bus stop, 106m from the Beeway Network and 4m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is not located within an 800m buffer of a railway station, 400m buffer of a metrolink, and is not covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. However, it is only 40m from a bus stop, 106m from the Beeway Network and 4m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is not located within an 800m buffer of a railway station, 400m buffer of a metrolink, and is not covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. However, it is only 40m from a bus stop, 106m from the Beeway Network and 4m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.

10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Tameside, specifically within designated sites, Greater Manchester Combined Authority Air Quality Management Area, and other biodiversity assets?	?	The site has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that the development will improve air quality.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Minimise emissions and particulates from harmful pollutants when delivering new developments?	?	The site has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that the development will improve air quality.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Locates development not within existing areas of poor air quality?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located within an AQMA.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Protect, conserve and enhance new and existing European, nationally and locally designated wildlife and geological sites?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within close proximity to an SBI and LNRS.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Encourage enhancement and restoration of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of enhancement or restoration.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green and blue infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green and blue infrastructure?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green and blue infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and safeguard local wider ecological networks including local wildlife-rich habitats?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within close proximity to an SBI and LNRS.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems within Tameside are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of renewable and low carbon energy technology?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Encourage the restoration and enhancement of peatlands?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of nature-based solutions to climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not propose nature-based solutions, however, these are likely to be covered by thematic policies.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict development in areas of high flood risk or a greater risk of flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing and residual flood risk from all sources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Deliver sustainable drainage systems and promote flood defences that reduces Tameside's vulnerability to flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Promote the use of nature-based solutions to manage flood risks?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Ensure that new water/waste water management infrastructure is delivered to support new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Minimise the risk of pollution arising from new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage water efficiency and promote the use of water recycling systems?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage energy demand reductions and energy efficiency in new development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage development that incorporates energy generation to achieve carbon emission reductions?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted within Tameside?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote the use of renewable and low carbon energy schemes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site does not have any landscape designations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	-	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is within 200m of a listed building.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness including the setting of the Peak District National Park?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the Peak District National Park.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to high quality design.	This is covered in the thematic policies.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	This is covered in the thematic policies.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Protect or enhance the significance of designated and non-designated heritage assets?	-	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is within 200m of a listed building.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	+/-	The allocation has positive effects and negative effects as the site is located in an existing employment area, however, this will be a highly attractive location to the local market.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not agricultural land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect soils of high environmental value in both a general and local context?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as there is no reference to high value soils.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	+/-	The allocation has positive effects and negative effects as the site is located in an existing employment area, however, this will be a highly attractive location to the local market.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	+/-	The allocation has positive effects and negative effects as the site is located in an existing employment area, however, this will be a highly attractive location to the local market.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the protection of peat?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Safeguard existing supply of natural resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Encourage recycling and composting of waste and avoid landfill?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include land to the east in line with the red edge shown in planning permission: 22/01131/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.

			HSP S2I Allocation 1: Victoria Street							
Ref	**Objective**	**Assessement Criteria**	**Score**	**Explanation from Proformas and Planning Assessment**	**Mitigation**	**Has the 2025 mitigation been addressed?**	**Commentary on changes made since 2025**	**Score**	**Summary of new scoring**	**Residual Impacts**
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for market housing?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None required.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for affordable housing?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None required.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None required.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None required.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None required.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of pitches/plots to meet Tameside's Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Show People's assessed needs?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None required.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land for Tameside?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	-	The allocation has negative effects as only 39% the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station, 0% is located within 400m buffer of a metrolink, and 43% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. However, it is only 2m from a bus stop and 135m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the diversification of Tameside's local economy?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site represents the opportunity to expand Newton Business Park with modern industrial premises.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the enhancement of the vitality and viability of Tameside's town centres?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is located with 800m of each centre.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing supporting Tameside's town centres, if appropriate.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing supporting Tameside's town centres, if appropriate.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	-	The allocation has negative effects as only 39% the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station, 0% is located within 400m buffer of a metrolink, and 43% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. However, it is only 2m from a bus stop and 135m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	-	The allocation has negative effects as only 39% the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station, 0% is located within 400m buffer of a metrolink, and 43% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. However, it is only 2m from a bus stop and 135m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as utilities are not referenced, and there are no overhead lines in the area, however, these would need to be enhanced to support the scale of development.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the transition to active and sustainable modes of transport?	-	The allocation has negative effects as only 39% the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station, 0% is located within 400m buffer of a metrolink, and 43% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. However, it is only 2m from a bus stop and 135m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.

3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the delivery of a safe transport network which minimises the impact on the local environment and public health?	-	The allocation has negative effects as only 39% the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station, 0% is located within 400m buffer of a metrolink, and 43% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. This could encourage reliance on private vehicles, rather than sustainable, active modes.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support both the existing network and creation of new cycling and walking routes?	-	The allocation has negative effects as only 39% the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station, 0% is located within 400m buffer of a metrolink, and 43% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. This could encourage reliance on private vehicles, rather than sustainable, active modes.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for employment. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for employment. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference supporting relations between people, however, the allocation is focused on employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities/infrastructure for all?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as whilst it is considered a key employment site, there is no reference to equal opportunity.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.

5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it assumed to be too high level to affect protected characteristics.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will meet needs equally.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Create a sense of belonging, community cohesion and wellbeing for all members of the Tameside community?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference creating a sense of belonging, however, the allocation is focused on employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier, active lifestyles, proactive and preventative care and support improvements in determinants of health?	-	The allocation has negative effects as only 39% the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station, 0% is located within 400m buffer of a metrolink, and 43% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. This could encourage reliance on private vehicles, rather than sustainable, active modes.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on active travel facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on active travel facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within Tameside and with the rest of England?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is no likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Increase the quantity and quality of active environments, open spaces, green and blue infrastructure?	--	The allocation has ngeative effects as 100% of the site is covered by typology in the 2025 Tameside Council Open Space Assessment.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.	Partially	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	-	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site and as a result, green infrastructure is being protected and a reduced percantage of the site is now covered by the Tameside Council Open Space Assessment. Therefore the score has been updated to negative.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green and blue infrastructure, public open space, leisure and recreational facilities?	--	The allocation has ngeative effects as 100% of the site is covered by typology in the 2025 Tameside Council Open Space Assessment.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.	Partially	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	-	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site and as a result, green infrastructure is being protected and a reduced percantage of the site is now covered by the Tameside Council Open Space Assessment. Therefore the score has been updated to negative.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote community safety and design which discourages crime?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is no likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities and community well-being assets, regardless of socio-economic status?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is located over 1500m from a GP and a dentist.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children and working age adults?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education and skill levels of the population of working age the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	-	The allocation has negative effects as only 39% the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station, 0% is located within 400m buffer of a metrolink, and 43% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. However, it is only 2m from a bus stop and 135m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	-	The allocation has negative effects as only 39% the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station, 0% is located within 400m buffer of a metrolink, and 43% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. However, it is only 2m from a bus stop and 135m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	-	The allocation has negative effects as only 39% the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station, 0% is located within 400m buffer of a metrolink, and 43% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. However, it is only 2m from a bus stop and 135m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.

10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Tameside, specifically within designated sites, Greater Manchester Combined Authority Air Quality Management Area, and other biodiversity assets?	?	The site has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that the development will improve air quality.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Minimise emissions and particulates from harmful pollutants when delivering new developments?	?	The site has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that the development will improve air quality.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Locates development not within existing areas of poor air quality?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located within an AQMA.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Protect, conserve and enhance new and existing European, nationally and locally designated wildlife and geological sites?	--	The allocation has ngeative effects as 100% of the site is covered by typology in the 2025 Tameside Council Open Space Assessment and 18% of the site contains TPOs (group).	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of open space and biodiversity.	Partially	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	-	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site and as a result, green infrastructure is being protected, a reduce percetnage of the site now contains TPOs, and a reduced percantage of the site is now covered by the Tameside Council Open Space Assessment. Therefore the score has been updated to negative.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of open space and biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Encourage enhancement and restoration of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	--	The allocation has ngeative effects as 100% of the site is covered by typology in the 2025 Tameside Council Open Space Assessment and 18% of the site contains TPOs (group).	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of open space and biodiversity.	Partially	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	-	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site and as a result, green infrastructure is being protected, a reduce percetnage of the site now contains TPOs, and a reduced percantage of the site is now covered by the Tameside Council Open Space Assessment. Therefore the score has been updated to negative.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of open space and biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green and blue infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green and blue infrastructure?	--	The allocation has ngeative effects as 100% of the site is covered by typology in the 2025 Tameside Council Open Space Assessment and 18% of the site contains TPOs (group).	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of open space and biodiversity.	Partially	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	-	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site and as a result, green infrastructure is being protected, a reduce percetnage of the site now contains TPOs, and a reduced percantage of the site is now covered by the Tameside Council Open Space Assessment. Therefore the score has been updated to negative.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of open space and biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green and blue infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	--	The allocation has ngeative effects as 100% of the site is covered by typology in the 2025 Tameside Council Open Space Assessment and 18% of the site contains TPOs (group).	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of open space and biodiversity.	Partially	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	-	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site and as a result, green infrastructure is being protected, a reduce percetnage of the site now contains TPOs, and a reduced percantage of the site is now covered by the Tameside Council Open Space Assessment. Therefore the score has been updated to negative.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of open space and biodiversity.

11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and safeguard local wider ecological networks including local wildlife-rich habitats?	--	The allocation has ngeative effects as 100% of the site is covered by typology in the 2025 Tameside Council Open Space Assessment and 18% of the site contains TPOs (group).	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of open space and biodiversity.	Partially	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	-	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site and as a result, green infrastructure is being protected, a reduce percetnage of the site now contains TPOs, and a reduced percantage of the site is now covered by the Tameside Council Open Space Assessment. Therefore the score has been updated to negative.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of open space and biodiversity.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems within Tameside are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of renewable and low carbon energy technology?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Encourage the restoration and enhancement of peatlands?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of nature-based solutions to climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not propose nature-based solutions, however, these are likely to be covered by thematic policies.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict development in areas of high flood risk or a greater risk of flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing and residual flood risk from all sources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Deliver sustainable drainage systems and promote flood defences that reduces Tameside's vulnerability to flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Promote the use of nature-based solutions to manage flood risks?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Ensure that new water/waste water management infrastructure is delivered to support new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Minimise the risk of pollution arising from new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage water efficiency and promote the use of water recycling systems?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage energy demand reductions and energy efficiency in new development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage development that incorporates energy generation to achieve carbon emission reductions?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted within Tameside?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote the use of renewable and low carbon energy schemes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	--	The allocation has ngeative effects as 100% of the site is covered by typology in the 2025 Tameside Council Open Space Assessment and 18% of the site contains TPOs (group).	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.	Partially	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	-	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site and as a result, green infrastructure is being protected, a reduce percetnage of the site now contains TPOs, and a reduced percantage of the site is now covered by the Tameside Council Open Space Assessment. Therefore the score has been updated to negative.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.

16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	--	The allocation has negative effects as there is a listed building within the site and within 200m of the site.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness including the setting of the Peak District National Park?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the Peak District National Park.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to high quality design.	This is covered in the thematic policies.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	This is covered in the thematic policies.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Protect or enhance the significance of designated and non-designated heritage assets?	--	The allocation has negative effects as there is a listed building within the site and within 200m of the site.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	--	The allocation has ngeative effects as 100% of the site is covered by typology in the 2025 Tameside Council Open Space Assessment, as it is an area of grazing land and woodland.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not agricultural land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect soils of high environmental value in both a general and local context?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as there is no reference to high value soils.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	--	The allocation has ngeative effects as 100% of the site is covered by typology in the 2025 Tameside Council Open Space Assessment, as it is an area of grazing land and woodland.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	-	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site and as a result, green infrastructure is being protected, a reduce percetnage of the site now contains TPOs, and a reduced percantage of the site is now covered by the Tameside Council Open Space Assessment. Therefore the score has been updated to negative.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	--	The allocation has ngeative effects as 100% of the site is covered by typology in the 2025 Tameside Council Open Space Assessment, as it is an area of grazing land and woodland.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	-	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site and as a result, green infrastructure is being protected, a reduce percetnage of the site now contains TPOs, and a reduced percantage of the site is now covered by the Tameside Council Open Space Assessment. Therefore the score has been updated to negative.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the protection of peat?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Safeguard existing supply of natural resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.

18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Encourage recycling and composting of waste and avoid landfill?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude the woodland areas to the west and south of the site following review of the draft Ecological Appraisal and the Level 1 SFRA.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.

			HSP S2I Allocation 2: Canal Street							
Ref	**Objective**	**Assessement Criteria**	**Score**	**Explanation from Proformas and Planning Assessment**	**Mitigation**	**Has the 2025 mitigation been addressed?**	**Commentary on changes made since 2025**	**Score**	**Summary of new scoring**	**Residual Impacts**
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for market housing?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for affordable housing?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of pitches/plots to meet Tameside's Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Show People's assessed needs?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land for Tameside?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.

2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 58m from a bus stop, 14m from the Beeway Network, and 43m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the diversification of Tameside's local economy?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site represents an opportunity for an employment led regeneration scheme.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the enhancement of the vitality and viability of Tameside's town centres?	++	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within 800m of each centre.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing supporting Tameside's town centres, if appropriate.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing supporting Tameside's town centres, if appropriate.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 58m from a bus stop, 14m from the Beeway Network, and 43m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 58m from a bus stop, 14m from the Beeway Network, and 43m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as utilities are not referenced, and there are no overhead lines in the area, however, these would need to be enhanced to support the scale of development.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the transition to active and sustainable modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 58m from a bus stop, 14m from the Beeway Network, and 43m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the delivery of a safe transport network which minimises the impact on the local environment and public health?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 58m from a bus stop, 14m from the Beeway Network, and 43m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.

3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support both the existing network and creation of new cycling and walking routes?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above, 14m from the Beeway Network, and 43m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 63% of the site is within the IMD decile based on LSOA and will provide employment opportunities.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 63% of the site is within the IMD decile based on LSOA and will provide employment opportunities.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference supporting relations between people, however, the allocation is focused on employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities/infrastructure for all?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 63% of the site is within the IMD decile based on LSOA and will provide employment opportunities.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it assumed to be too high level to affect protected characteristics.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will meet needs equally.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Create a sense of belonging, community cohesion and wellbeing for all members of the Tameside community?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference creating a sense of belonging, however, the allocation is focused on employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier, active lifestyles, proactive and preventative care and support improvements in determinants of health?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 58m from a bus stop, 14m from the Beeway Network, and 43m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on active travel facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on active travel facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within Tameside and with the rest of England?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is no likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Increase the quantity and quality of active environments, open spaces, green and blue infrastructure?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green and blue infrastructure, public open space, leisure and recreational facilities?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote community safety and design which discourages crime?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is no likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities and community well-being assets, regardless of socio-economic status?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is located over 400m from a GP and 750m from a dentist.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages

7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children and working age adults?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education and skill levels of the population of working age the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 58m from a bus stop, 14m from the Beeway Network, and 43m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on active travel facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on active travel facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 58m from a bus stop, 14m from the Beeway Network, and 43m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on active travel facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on active travel facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 58m from a bus stop, 14m from the Beeway Network, and 43m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on active travel facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on active travel facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Tameside, specifically within designated sites, Greater Manchester Combined Authority Air Quality Management Area, and other biodiversity assets?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 5% site is located within an AQMA.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.

10	Improve air quality	Minimise emissions and particulates from harmful pollutants when delivering new developments?	?	The site has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that the development will improve air quality.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Locates development not within existing areas of poor air quality?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 5% site is located within an AQMA.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Protect, conserve and enhance new and existing European, nationally and locally designated wildlife and geological sites?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within close proximity to an SBI and LNRS.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Encourage enhancement and restoration of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of enhancement or restoration.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green and blue infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green and blue infrastructure?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green and blue infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and safeguard local wider ecological networks including local wildlife-rich habitats?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within close proximity to an SBI and LNRS.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.

12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems within Tameside are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of renewable and low carbon energy technology?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Encourage the restoration and enhancement of peatlands?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of nature-based solutions to climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not propose nature-based solutions, however, these are likely to be covered by thematic policies.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict development in areas of high flood risk or a greater risk of flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing and residual flood risk from all sources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Deliver sustainable drainage systems and promote flood defences that reduces Tameside's vulnerability to flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Promote the use of nature-based solutions to manage flood risks?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Ensure that new water/waste water management infrastructure is delivered to support new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Minimise the risk of pollution arising from new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage water efficiency and promote the use of water recycling systems?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage energy demand reductions and energy efficiency in new development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage development that incorporates energy generation to achieve carbon emission reductions?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted within Tameside?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote the use of renewable and low carbon energy schemes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site does not have any landscape designations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	-	The allocation has negative effects as there are 4 listed buildings within 200m of the site.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness including the setting of the Peak District National Park?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the Peak District National Park.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to high quality design.	This is covered in the thematic policies.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	This is covered in the thematic policies.

16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Protect or enhance the significance of designated and non-designated heritage assets?	-	The allocation has negative effects as there are 4 listed buildings within 200m of the site.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is located on a cleared vacant employment site.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not agricultural land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect soils of high environmental value in both a general and local context?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as there is no reference to high value soils.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is located on a cleared vacant employment site.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is located on a cleared vacant employment site.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the protection of peat?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods

18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Safeguard existing supply of natural resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Encourage recycling and composting of waste and avoid landfill?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.

			HSP S2I Allocation 3: Leigh Street							
Ref	**Objective**	**Assessement Criteria**	**Score**	**Explanation from Proformas and Planning Assessment**	**Mitigation**	**Has the 2025 mitigation been addressed?**	**Commentary on changes made since 2025**	**Score**	**Summary of new scoring**	**Residual Impacts**
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for market housing?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is allocated for housing, with a total estimated capacity of 39 dwellings.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for affordable housing?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to housing mix or affordability.	Ensure the development provides sufficient affordable housing in line with local needs.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure the development provides sufficient affordable housing in line with local needs.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to housing mix or meeting local needs.	Ensure the development provides sufficient housing mix in line with local needs.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure the development provides sufficient housing mix in line with local needs.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	+/-	The allocation has positive/negative effects as 100% is within 800m of each centre, a primary school is 126m away, but a secondary school is 1km away.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the energy efficiency or resilience of the development.	Ensure that the new development considers energy efficiency / resilience of housing stock.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers energy efficiency / resilience of housing stock.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of pitches/plots to meet Tameside's Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Show People's assessed needs?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to pitches/plots.	Ensure that the new development considers the affects on pitches/plots quantity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the affects on pitches/plots quantity.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land for Tameside?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the diversification of Tameside's local economy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the enhancement of the vitality and viability of Tameside's town centres?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway, 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 46m from a bus stop and 83m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway, 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 46m from a bus stop and 83m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as utilities are not referenced, and there are no overhead lines in the area, however, these would need to be enhanced to support the scale of development.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the transition to active and sustainable modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway, 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 46m from a bus stop and 83m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the delivery of a safe transport network which minimises the impact on the local environment and public health?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway, 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 46m from a bus stop and 83m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support both the existing network and creation of new cycling and walking routes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to walking and cycling routes, although its proximity to the existing PRoW network and Busy Beeways could help support the existing network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.

4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for housing. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for housing. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference supporting relations between people, however, the allocation is focused on housing.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities/infrastructure for all?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is an area of green space/playing field. Development would result in the loss of access to this space for local residents. However, it is not in an area of need.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of green space.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of green space.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it assumed to be too high level to affect protected characteristics.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will meet needs equally.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Create a sense of belonging, community cohesion and wellbeing for all members of the Tameside community?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will support community cohesion or good relations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier, active lifestyles, proactive and preventative care and support improvements in determinants of health?	+/-	The allocation has positiv/negative effects as the site is accessible for public transport and active travel - the increased uptake of which can minimise the impact on the local environment and public health. However, the allocation is an area of green space/playing field. Development would result in the loss of access to this space for local residents.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within Tameside and with the rest of England?	?	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Increase the quantity and quality of active environments, open spaces, green and blue infrastructure?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is an area of green space/playing field. Development would result in the loss of access to this space for local residents. However, it is not in an area of need.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of green space.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of green space.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green and blue infrastructure, public open space, leisure and recreational facilities?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is an area of green space/playing field. Development would result in the loss of access to this space for local residents. However, it is not in an area of need.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of green space.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of green space.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote community safety and design which discourages crime?	?	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities and community well-being assets, regardless of socio-economic status?	+	The allocation has negative effects as the site is 570m from a GP and 375m from a dentist.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children and working age adults?	+/-	The allocation has positive/negative effects as the site is located within 126m of a primary, but 1km of a secondary school.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is an area of green space/playing field. Development would result in the loss of access to this space for local residents. However, it is not in an area of need.	Ensure any new development suitably considers the local capacity of and access to community social infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new development suitably considers the local capacity of and access to community social infrastructure.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	+/-	The allocation has positive/negative effects as the site is located within 126m of a primary, but 1km of a secondary school.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages

8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education and skill levels of the population of working age the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to improving education and skill levels of the working age population.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway, 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 46m from a bus stop and 83m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway, 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 46m from a bus stop and 83m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway, 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 46m from a bus stop and 83m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Tameside, specifically within designated sites, Greater Manchester Combined Authority Air Quality Management Area, and other biodiversity assets?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Minimise emissions and particulates from harmful pollutants when delivering new developments?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Locates development not within existing areas of poor air quality?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located within an AQMA.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Protect, conserve and enhance new and existing European, nationally and locally designated wildlife and geological sites?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located within a designated site.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing biodiversity.

11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Encourage enhancement and restoration of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of enhancement or restoration.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green and blue infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green and blue infrastructure?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is an area of green space/playing field. Development would result in the loss of access to this space for local residents. However, it is not in an area of need.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of green space.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of green space.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green and blue infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is an area of green space/playing field. Development would result in the loss of access to this space for local residents. However, it is not in an area of need.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of green space.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of green space.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and safeguard local wider ecological networks including local wildlife-rich habitats?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems within Tameside are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of renewable and low carbon energy technology?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Encourage the restoration and enhancement of peatlands?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of nature-based solutions to climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not propose nature-based solutions, however, these are likely to be covered by thematic policies.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict development in areas of high flood risk or a greater risk of flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing and residual flood risk from all sources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Deliver sustainable drainage systems and promote flood defences that reduces Tameside's vulnerability to flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Promote the use of nature-based solutions to manage flood risks?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Ensure that new water/waste water management infrastructure is delivered to support new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Minimise the risk of pollution arising from new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage water efficiency and promote the use of water recycling systems?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage energy demand reductions and energy efficiency in new development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage development that incorporates energy generation to achieve carbon emission reductions?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted within Tameside?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote the use of renewable and low carbon energy schemes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is an area of green space/playing field. Development would result in the loss of access to this space for local residents. However, it is not in an area of need.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of green space.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of green space.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within 200m of a listed building.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.

16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness including the setting of the Peak District National Park?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the Peak District National Park.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to high quality design.	This is covered in the thematic policies.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	This is covered in the thematic policies.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Protect or enhance the significance of designated and non-designated heritage assets?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within 200m of a listed building.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is an area of green space/playing field. Development would result in the loss of access to this space for local residents. However, it is not in an area of need.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not agricultural land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect soils of high environmental value in both a general and local context?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as there is no reference to high value soils.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is an area of green space/playing field. Development would result in the loss of access to this space for local residents. However, it is not in an area of need.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of green space.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of green space.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is an area of green space/playing field. Development would result in the loss of access to this space for local residents. However, it is not in an area of need.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of green space.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of green space.

17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the protection of peat?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Safeguard existing supply of natural resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Encourage recycling and composting of waste and avoid landfill?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude a small area on the eastern side that forms part of the Hyde United football ground.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.

			HSP S2I Allocation 4: Former Hyde Library							
Ref	**Objective**	**Assessement Criteria**	**Score**	**Explanation from Proformas and Planning Assessment**	**Mitigation**	**Has the 2025 mitigation been addressed?**	**Commentary on changes made since 2025**	**Score**	**Summary of new scoring**	**Residual Impacts**
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for market housing?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is allocated for housing, with a total estimated capacity of 102 dwellings.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for affordable housing?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to housing mix or affordability.	Ensure the development provides sufficient affordable housing in line with local needs.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure the development provides sufficient affordable housing in line with local needs.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to housing mix or meeting local needs.	Ensure the development provides sufficient housing mix in line with local needs.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure the development provides sufficient housing mix in line with local needs.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	+/-	The allocation has positive/negative effects as 100% is within 800m of each centre, a primary school is 138m away, but a secondary school is 1.1km away.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the energy efficiency or resilience of the development.	Ensure that the new development considers energy efficiency / resilience of housing stock.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers energy efficiency / resilience of housing stock.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of pitches/plots to meet Tameside's Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Show People's assessed needs?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to pitches/plots.	Ensure that the new development considers the affects on pitches/plots quantity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the affects on pitches/plots quantity.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land for Tameside?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the diversification of Tameside's local economy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the enhancement of the vitality and viability of Tameside's town centres?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway, 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 61m from a bus stop and 154m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway, 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 61m from a bus stop and 154m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as utilities are not referenced, and there are no overhead lines in the area, however, these would need to be enhanced to support the scale of development.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the transition to active and sustainable modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway, 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 61m from a bus stop and 154m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the delivery of a safe transport network which minimises the impact on the local environment and public health?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway, 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 61m from a bus stop and 154m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.

3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support both the existing network and creation of new cycling and walking routes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to walking and cycling routes, although its proximity to the existing PRoW network and Busy Beeways could help support the existing network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for housing. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for housing. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference supporting relations between people, however, the allocation is focused on housing.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities/infrastructure for all?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to equal opportunity.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing that the mix of housing will help meet affordable needs.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing that the mix of housing will help meet affordable needs.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it assumed to be too high level to affect protected characteristics.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will meet needs equally.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Create a sense of belonging, community cohesion and wellbeing for all members of the Tameside community?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will support community cohesion or good relations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier, active lifestyles, proactive and preventative care and support improvements in determinants of health?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is accessible for public transport and active travel - the increased uptake of which can minimise the impact on the local environment and public health.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within Tameside and with the rest of England?	-	The allocation has negative effects as most of the site is identified as being located in an area of coal mining high risk, which could have an impact on health.	Ensure to mitigate any negative impacts through a Coal Mining Risk Assessment.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure to mitigate any negative impacts through a Coal Mining Risk Assessment.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Increase the quantity and quality of active environments, open spaces, green and blue infrastructure?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green and blue infrastructure, public open space, leisure and recreational facilities?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote community safety and design which discourages crime?	?	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities and community well-being assets, regardless of socio-economic status?	+	The allocation has negative effects as the site is 176m from a GP and 43m from a dentist.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages

7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children and working age adults?	+/-	The allocation has positive/negative effects as the site is located within 138m of a primary, but 1.1km of a secondary school.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	+	The allocation has positive effects as it is adjacent to a playing field.	Ensure any new development suitably considers the local capacity of and access to community social infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new development suitably considers the local capacity of and access to community social infrastructure.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	+/-	The allocation has positive/negative effects as the site is located within 138m of a primary, but 1.1km of a secondary school.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education and skill levels of the population of working age the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to improving education and skill levels of the working age population.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway, 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 61m from a bus stop and 154m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway, 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 61m from a bus stop and 154m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway, 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 61m from a bus stop and 154m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Tameside, specifically within designated sites, Greater Manchester Combined Authority Air Quality Management Area, and other biodiversity assets?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.

10	Improve air quality	Minimise emissions and particulates from harmful pollutants when delivering new developments?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Locates development not within existing areas of poor air quality?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located within an AQMA.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Protect, conserve and enhance new and existing European, nationally and locally designated wildlife and geological sites?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located within a designated site.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Encourage enhancement and restoration of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of enhancement or restoration.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green and blue infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green and blue infrastructure?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of enhancement or restoration.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green and blue infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of enhancement or restoration.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and safeguard local wider ecological networks including local wildlife-rich habitats?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of enhancement or restoration.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.

12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems within Tameside are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of renewable and low carbon energy technology?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Encourage the restoration and enhancement of peatlands?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of nature-based solutions to climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not propose nature-based solutions, however, these are likely to be covered by thematic policies.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict development in areas of high flood risk or a greater risk of flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing and residual flood risk from all sources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 20% of the site has a high risk of surface water flooding and 3% has a medium risk.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Deliver sustainable drainage systems and promote flood defences that reduces Tameside's vulnerability to flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Promote the use of nature-based solutions to manage flood risks?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Ensure that new water/waste water management infrastructure is delivered to support new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Minimise the risk of pollution arising from new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage water efficiency and promote the use of water recycling systems?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage energy demand reductions and energy efficiency in new development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage development that incorporates energy generation to achieve carbon emission reductions?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted within Tameside?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote the use of renewable and low carbon energy schemes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site does not have any landscape designations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	+/-	The allocation has positive/negative effects as the former Hyde Library is a non-designated heritage asset, however, the conversion into apartments will enable the redevelopment of a brownfield site whilst preserving its historical significance.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness including the setting of the Peak District National Park?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the Peak District National Park.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to high quality design.	This is covered in the thematic policies.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	This is covered in the thematic policies.

16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Protect or enhance the significance of designated and non-designated heritage assets?	+/-	The allocation has positive/negative effects as the former Hyde Library is a non-designated heritage asset, however, the conversion into apartments will enable the redevelopment of a brownfield site whilst preserving its historical significance.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	+/-	The allocation has positive/negative effects as the former Hyde Library is a non-designated heritage asset, however, the conversion into apartments will enable the redevelopment of a brownfield site whilst preserving its historical significance.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not agricultural land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect soils of high environmental value in both a general and local context?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as there is no reference to high value soils.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	+/-	The allocation has positive/negative effects as the former Hyde Library is a non-designated heritage asset, however, the conversion into apartments will enable the redevelopment of a brownfield site whilst preserving its historical significance.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	+/-	The allocation has positive/negative effects as the former Hyde Library is a non-designated heritage asset, however, the conversion into apartments will enable the redevelopment of a brownfield site whilst preserving its historical significance.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the protection of peat?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods

18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Safeguard existing supply of natural resources?	-	The allocation has negative effects as most of the site is identified as being located in an area of coal mining high risk.	Ensure to mitigate any negative impacts through a Coal Mining Risk Assessment.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure to mitigate any negative impacts through a Coal Mining Risk Assessment.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Encourage recycling and composting of waste and avoid landfill?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with the red line for the current planning permission: 25/00324/FUL	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.

			HSP S2I Allocation 5: Arnside Drive								
Ref	**Objective**	**Assessement Criteria**	**Score**	**Explanation from Proformas and Planning Assessment**	**Mitigation**	**Has the 2025 mitigation been addressed?**	**Commentary on changes made since 2025**	**Score**	**Summary of new scoring**	**Residual Impacts**
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for market housing?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is allocated for housing, with a total estimated capacity of 68 dwellings.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for affordable housing?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to housing mix or affordability.	Ensure the development provides sufficient affordable housing in line with local needs.	N	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure the development provides sufficient affordable housing in line with local needs.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to housing mix or meeting local needs.	Ensure the development provides sufficient housing mix in line with local needs.	N	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure the development provides sufficient housing mix in line with local needs.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	+/-	The allocation has positive/negative effects as 100% is within 800m of each centre and schools are within 350m, however, the site is an area of green space and development would result in a loss. The green space, however, is informal and not designated open space.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the energy efficiency or resilience of the development.	Ensure that the new development considers energy efficiency / resilience of housing stock.	N	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers energy efficiency / resilience of housing stock.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of pitches/plots to meet Tameside's Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Show People's assessed needs?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to pitches/plots.	Ensure that the new development considers the affects on pitches/plots quantity.	N	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the affects on pitches/plots quantity.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land for Tameside?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the diversification of Tameside's local economy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the enhancement of the vitality and viability of Tameside's town centres?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway, 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 56m from a bus stop and 8m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway, 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 56m from a bus stop and 8m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as utilities are not referenced, and there are no overhead lines in the area, however, these would need to be enhanced to support the scale of development.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development	N	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the transition to active and sustainable modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway, 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 56m from a bus stop and 8m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the delivery of a safe transport network which minimises the impact on the local environment and public health?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway, 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 56m from a bus stop and 8m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support both the existing network and creation of new cycling and walking routes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to walking and cycling routes, although its proximity to the existing PRoW network and Busy Beeways could help support the existing network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.

4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for housing. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people	N	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for housing. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people	N	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference supporting relations between people, however, the allocation is focused on housing.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities/infrastructure for all?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to equal opportunity.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing that the mix of housing will help meet affordable needs.	N	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing that the mix of housing will help meet affordable needs.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it assumed to be too high level to affect protected characteristics.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will meet needs equally.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Create a sense of belonging, community cohesion and wellbeing for all members of the Tameside community?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will support community cohesion or good relations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier, active lifestyles, proactive and preventative care and support improvements in determinants of health?	+/-	The allocation has positiv/negative effects as the site is accessible for public transport and active travel - the increased uptake of which can minimise the impact on the local environment and public health. However, the allocation is an area of green space. Development would result in the loss of access to this space for local residents.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within Tameside and with the rest of England?	-	The allocation has negative effects as most of the site is identified as being located in an area of coal mining high risk, which could have an impact on health.	Ensure to mitigate any negative impacts through a Coal Mining Risk Assessment.	N	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure to mitigate any negative impacts through a Coal Mining Risk Assessment.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Increase the quantity and quality of active environments, open spaces, green and blue infrastructure?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is an area of informal green space.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of green space.	N	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of green space.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green and blue infrastructure, public open space, leisure and recreational facilities?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is an area of informal green space.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of green space.	N	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of green space.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote community safety and design which discourages crime?	?	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities and community well-being assets, regardless of socio-economic status?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is 815m from a GP and 906m from a dentist.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children and working age adults?	+	The allocation has positive/negative effects as the site is located within 332m of a primary and 312m of a secondary school.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to access to and provision of community social infrastructure.	Ensure any new development suitably considers the local capacity of and access to community social infrastructure.	N	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new development suitably considers the local capacity of and access to community social infrastructure.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	+	The allocation has positive/negative effects as the site is located within 332m of a primary and 312m of a secondary school.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages

8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education and skill levels of the population of working age the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to improving education and skill levels of the working age population.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway, 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 56m from a bus stop and 8m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway, 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 56m from a bus stop and 8m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway, 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 56m from a bus stop and 8m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Tameside, specifically within designated sites, Greater Manchester Combined Authority Air Quality Management Area, and other biodiversity assets?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Minimise emissions and particulates from harmful pollutants when delivering new developments?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Locates development not within existing areas of poor air quality?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located within an AQMA.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Protect, conserve and enhance new and existing European, nationally and locally designated wildlife and geological sites?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located within a designated site.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing biodiversity.	N	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing biodiversity.

11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Encourage enhancement and restoration of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located within a designated site.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing biodiversity.	N	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green and blue infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green and blue infrastructure?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is an area of informal green space.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of green space.	N	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of green space.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green and blue infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is an area of informal green space.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of green space.	N	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of green space.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and safeguard local wider ecological networks including local wildlife-rich habitats?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of enhancement or restoration.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems within Tameside are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of renewable and low carbon energy technology?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Encourage the restoration and enhancement of peatlands?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of nature-based solutions to climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not propose nature-based solutions, however, these are likely to be covered by thematic policies.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict development in areas of high flood risk or a greater risk of flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing and residual flood risk from all sources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Deliver sustainable drainage systems and promote flood defences that reduces Tameside's vulnerability to flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Promote the use of nature-based solutions to manage flood risks?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Ensure that new water/waste water management infrastructure is delivered to support new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Minimise the risk of pollution arising from new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage water efficiency and promote the use of water recycling systems?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage energy demand reductions and energy efficiency in new development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage development that incorporates energy generation to achieve carbon emission reductions?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted within Tameside?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote the use of renewable and low carbon energy schemes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site does not have any landscape designations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within 200m of 2 listed buildings.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.	N	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.

16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness including the setting of the Peak District National Park?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the Peak District National Park.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.	N	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to high quality design.	This is covered in the thematic policies.	N	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	This is covered in the thematic policies.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Protect or enhance the significance of designated and non-designated heritage assets?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within 200m of 2 listed buildings.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.	N	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is an area of informal green space.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of green space.	N	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of green space.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not agricultural land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect soils of high environmental value in both a general and local context?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as there is no reference to high value soils.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is an area of informal green space.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of green space.	N	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of green space.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is an area of informal green space.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of green space.	N	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of green space.

17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the protection of peat?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Safeguard existing supply of natural resources?	-	The allocation has negative effects as most of the site is identified as being located in an area of coal mining high risk.	Ensure to mitigate any negative impacts through a Coal Mining Risk Assessment.	N	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure to mitigate any negative impacts through a Coal Mining Risk Assessment.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Encourage recycling and composting of waste and avoid landfill?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The alocation boundary has been amended slightly at the southern tip of the site based on Land Registry parcel and known council ownership.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.

			HSP S2I Allocation 6: Flowery Field Station								
Ref	**Objective**	**Assessement Criteria**	**Score**	**Explanation from Proformas and Planning Assessment**	**Mitigation**	**Has the 2025 mitigation been addressed?**	**Commentary on changes made since 2025**	**Score**	**Summary of new scoring**	**Residual Impacts**
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for market housing?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is allocated for housing, with a total estimated capacity of 98 dwellings.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for affordable housing?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to housing mix or affordability.	Ensure the development provides sufficient affordable housing in line with local needs.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure the development provides sufficient affordable housing in line with local needs.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to housing mix or meeting local needs.	Ensure the development provides sufficient housing mix in line with local needs.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure the development provides sufficient housing mix in line with local needs.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	+/-	The allocation has positive/negative effects as 0% is within 800m of each centre, but schools are within 200m. However, the site is an area of designated open space/green space (sports facility) and development would result in a loss.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the energy efficiency or resilience of the development.	Ensure that the new development considers energy efficiency / resilience of housing stock.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers energy efficiency / resilience of housing stock.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of pitches/plots to meet Tameside's Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Show People's assessed needs?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to pitches/plots.	Ensure that the new development considers the affects on pitches/plots quantity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the affects on pitches/plots quantity.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land for Tameside?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the diversification of Tameside's local economy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the enhancement of the vitality and viability of Tameside's town centres?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway, 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 24m from a bus stop and 26m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	++	The allocation now includes access points onto Bennett Street, where there are several bus stops and access to Flowery Field train station. Therefore the score ha been updated to very positive.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway, 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 24m from a bus stop and 26m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	++	The allocation now includes access points onto Bennett Street, where there are several bus stops and access to Flowery Field train station. Therefore the score ha been updated to very positive.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as utilities are not referenced, and there are no overhead lines in the area, however, these would need to be enhanced to support the scale of development.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the transition to active and sustainable modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway, 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 24m from a bus stop and 26m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	++	The allocation now includes access points onto Bennett Street, where there are several bus stops and access to Flowery Field train station. Therefore the score ha been updated to very positive.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the delivery of a safe transport network which minimises the impact on the local environment and public health?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway, 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 24m from a bus stop and 26m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	++	The allocation now includes access points onto Bennett Street, where there are several bus stops and access to Flowery Field train station. Therefore the score ha been updated to very positive.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support both the existing network and creation of new cycling and walking routes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to walking and cycling routes, although its proximity to the existing PRoW network and Busy Beeways could help support the existing network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.

4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for housing. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for housing. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference supporting relations between people, however, the allocation is focused on housing.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities/infrastructure for all?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is an area of green space/sports facility. Development would result in the loss of access to this space for local residents.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of green space.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of green space.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it assumed to be too high level to affect protected characteristics.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will meet needs equally.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Create a sense of belonging, community cohesion and wellbeing for all members of the Tameside community?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will support community cohesion or good relations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier, active lifestyles, proactive and preventative care and support improvements in determinants of health?	+/-	The allocation has positiv/negative effects as the site is accessible for public transport and active travel - the increased uptake of which can minimise the impact on the local environment and public health. However, the allocation is an area of green space/sports facility. Development would result in the loss of access to this space for local residents.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within Tameside and with the rest of England?	-	The allocation has negative effects as most of the site is identified as being located in an area of coal mining high risk, which could have an impact on health.	Ensure to mitigate any negative impacts through a Coal Mining Risk Assessment.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure to mitigate any negative impacts through a Coal Mining Risk Assessment.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Increase the quantity and quality of active environments, open spaces, green and blue infrastructure?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is an area of green space/sports facility. Development would result in the loss of access to this space for local residents.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of green space.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of green space.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green and blue infrastructure, public open space, leisure and recreational facilities?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is an area of green space/sports facility. Development would result in the loss of access to this space for local residents.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of green space.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of green space.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote community safety and design which discourages crime?	?	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities and community well-being assets, regardless of socio-economic status?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is 904m from a GP and 999m from a dentist.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children and working age adults?	+	The allocation has positive/negative effects as the site is located within 183m of a primary and 111m of a secondary school.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is an area of green space/sports facility. Development would result in the loss of access to this space for local residents.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of green space.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of green space.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	+	The allocation has positive/negative effects as the site is located within 183m of a primary and 111m of a secondary school.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages

8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education and skill levels of the population of working age the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to improving education and skill levels of the working age population.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway, 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 24m from a bus stop and 26m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	++	The allocation now includes access points onto Bennett Street, where there are several bus stops and access to Flowery Field train station. Therefore the score ha been updated to very positive.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway, 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 24m from a bus stop and 26m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	++	The allocation now includes access points onto Bennett Street, where there are several bus stops and access to Flowery Field train station. Therefore the score ha been updated to very positive.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is within an 800m buffer of a railway, 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 24m from a bus stop and 26m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	++	The allocation now includes access points onto Bennett Street, where there are several bus stops and access to Flowery Field train station. Therefore the score ha been updated to very positive.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Tameside, specifically within designated sites, Greater Manchester Combined Authority Air Quality Management Area, and other biodiversity assets?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Minimise emissions and particulates from harmful pollutants when delivering new developments?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Locates development not within existing areas of poor air quality?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located within an AQMA.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Protect, conserve and enhance new and existing European, nationally and locally designated wildlife and geological sites?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is an area of designated open space (green space/sports facility). Development would result in the loss of access to this space for local residents.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of green space.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of green space.

11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Encourage enhancement and restoration of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located within a designated site.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green and blue infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green and blue infrastructure?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is an area of designated open space (green space/sports facility). Development would result in the loss of access to this space for local residents.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of green space.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of green space.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green and blue infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is an area of designated open space (green space/sports facility). Development would result in the loss of access to this space for local residents.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of green space.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of green space.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and safeguard local wider ecological networks including local wildlife-rich habitats?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of enhancement or restoration.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems within Tameside are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of renewable and low carbon energy technology?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Encourage the restoration and enhancement of peatlands?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of nature-based solutions to climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not propose nature-based solutions, however, these are likely to be covered by thematic policies.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict development in areas of high flood risk or a greater risk of flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing and residual flood risk from all sources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Deliver sustainable drainage systems and promote flood defences that reduces Tameside's vulnerability to flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Promote the use of nature-based solutions to manage flood risks?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Ensure that new water/waste water management infrastructure is delivered to support new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Minimise the risk of pollution arising from new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage water efficiency and promote the use of water recycling systems?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage energy demand reductions and energy efficiency in new development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage development that incorporates energy generation to achieve carbon emission reductions?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted within Tameside?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote the use of renewable and low carbon energy schemes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is an area of designated open space (green space/sports facility). Development would result in the loss of access to this space for local residents.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of green space.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of green space.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within 200m of a listed building.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.

16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness including the setting of the Peak District National Park?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the Peak District National Park.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to high quality design.	This is covered in the thematic policies.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	This is covered in the thematic policies.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Protect or enhance the significance of designated and non-designated heritage assets?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within 200m of a listed building.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is an area of designated open space (green space/sports facility).	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of green space.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of green space.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not agricultural land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect soils of high environmental value in both a general and local context?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as there is no reference to high value soils.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is an area of designated open space (green space/sports facility).	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of green space.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of green space.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is an area of designated open space (green space/sports facility).	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of green space.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of green space.

17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the protection of peat?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Safeguard existing supply of natural resources?	-	The allocation has negative effects as most of the site is identified as being located in an area of coal mining high risk, which could have an impact on health.	Ensure to mitigate any negative impacts through a Coal Mining Risk Assessment.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure to mitigate any negative impacts through a Coal Mining Risk Assessment.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Encourage recycling and composting of waste and avoid landfill?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include access points onto Bennett Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.

			HSP S2J Allocation 1: Kerry Way							
Ref	**Objective**	**Assessement Criteria**	**Score**	**Explanation from Proformas and Planning Assessment**	**Mitigation**	**Has the 2025 mitigation been addressed?**	**Commentary on changes made since 2025**	**Score**	**Summary of new scoring**	**Residual Impacts**
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for market housing?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for affordable housing?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of pitches/plots to meet Tameside's Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Show People's assessed needs?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land for Tameside?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	++	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.

2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 650m from he motorway, 54m from a bus stop, 146m from the Beeway Network, and 341m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the diversification of Tameside's local economy?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site represents an opportunity for retail.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the enhancement of the vitality and viability of Tameside's town centres?	--	The allocation has negative effects 0% of the site is within 800m of each centre.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing supporting Tameside's town centres, if appropriate.	N	Allocation has not changed.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing supporting Tameside's town centres, if appropriate.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 650m from he motorway, 54m from a bus stop, 146m from the Beeway Network, and 341m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 650m from he motorway, 54m from a bus stop, 146m from the Beeway Network, and 341m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as utilities are not referenced, and there are no overhead lines in the area, however, these would need to be enhanced to support the scale of development.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the transition to active and sustainable modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 650m from he motorway, 54m from a bus stop, 146m from the Beeway Network, and 341m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.

3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the delivery of a safe transport network which minimises the impact on the local environment and public health?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 650m from he motorway, 54m from a bus stop, 146m from the Beeway Network, and 341m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support both the existing network and creation of new cycling and walking routes?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. The site is located 146m from the Beeway Network, and 341m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for employment. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for employment. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference supporting relations between people, however, the allocation is focused on employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities/infrastructure for all?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as whilst it is considered a key employment site, there is no reference to equal opportunity.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.

5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it assumed to be too high level to affect protected characteristics.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will meet needs equally.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Create a sense of belonging, community cohesion and wellbeing for all members of the Tameside community?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference creating a sense of belonging, however, the allocation is focused on employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier, active lifestyles, proactive and preventative care and support improvements in determinants of health?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. The site is located 146m from the Beeway Network, and 341m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within Tameside and with the rest of England?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is no likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Increase the quantity and quality of active environments, open spaces, green and blue infrastructure?	--	The allocation has ngeative effects as 82% of the site is covered by typology in the 2025 Tameside Council Open Space Assessment.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.	N	Allocation has not changed.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green and blue infrastructure, public open space, leisure and recreational facilities?	--	The allocation has ngeative effects as 82% of the site is covered by typology in the 2025 Tameside Council Open Space Assessment.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.	N	Allocation has not changed.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote community safety and design which discourages crime?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is no likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.

7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities and community well-being assets, regardless of socio-economic status?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is located over 900m from a GP and from a dentist.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	Allocation has not changed.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children and working age adults?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education and skill levels of the population of working age the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 650m from he motorway, 54m from a bus stop, 146m from the Beeway Network, and 341m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 650m from he motorway, 54m from a bus stop, 146m from the Beeway Network, and 341m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 650m from he motorway, 54m from a bus stop, 146m from the Beeway Network, and 341m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.

10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Tameside, specifically within designated sites, Greater Manchester Combined Authority Air Quality Management Area, and other biodiversity assets?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Minimise emissions and particulates from harmful pollutants when delivering new developments?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Locates development not within existing areas of poor air quality?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located within an AQMA.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Protect, conserve and enhance new and existing European, nationally and locally designated wildlife and geological sites?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within close proximity to an SBI and LNRS.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	Allocation has not changed.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Encourage enhancement and restoration of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of enhancement or restoration.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green and blue infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green and blue infrastructure?	--	The allocation has ngeative effects as 82% of the site is covered by typology in the 2025 Tameside Council Open Space Assessment.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.	N	Allocation has not changed.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green and blue infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	--	The allocation has ngeative effects as 82% of the site is covered by typology in the 2025 Tameside Council Open Space Assessment.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.	N	Allocation has not changed.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.

11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and safeguard local wider ecological networks including local wildlife-rich habitats?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within close proximity to an SBI and LNRS.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	Allocation has not changed.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems within Tameside are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of renewable and low carbon energy technology?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Encourage the restoration and enhancement of peatlands?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of nature-based solutions to climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not propose nature-based solutions, however, these are likely to be covered by thematic policies.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict development in areas of high flood risk or a greater risk of flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing and residual flood risk from all sources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.

13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Deliver sustainable drainage systems and promote flood defences that reduces Tameside's vulnerability to flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Promote the use of nature-based solutions to manage flood risks?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Ensure that new water/waste water management infrastructure is delivered to support new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Minimise the risk of pollution arising from new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.

14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage water efficiency and promote the use of water recycling systems?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage energy demand reductions and energy efficiency in new development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage development that incorporates energy generation to achieve carbon emission reductions?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted within Tameside?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote the use of renewable and low carbon energy schemes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	--	The allocation has ngeative effects as 82% of the site is covered by typology in the 2025 Tameside Council Open Space Assessment.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.	N	Allocation has not changed.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site does not have any heritage designations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness including the setting of the Peak District National Park?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the Peak District National Park.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.

16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to high quality design.	This is covered in the thematic policies.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	This is covered in the thematic policies.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Protect or enhance the significance of designated and non-designated heritage assets?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site does not have any heritage designations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	--	The allocation has ngeative effects as 82% of the site is covered by typology in the 2025 Tameside Council Open Space Assessment and is currently grazing land and an existing farm.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.	N	Allocation has not changed.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not agricultural land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect soils of high environmental value in both a general and local context?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as there is no reference to high value soils.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	--	The allocation has ngeative effects as 82% of the site is covered by typology in the 2025 Tameside Council Open Space Assessment and is currently grazing land and an existing farm.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.	N	Allocation has not changed.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	--	The allocation has ngeative effects as 82% of the site is covered by typology in the 2025 Tameside Council Open Space Assessment and is currently grazing land and an existing farm.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.	N	Allocation has not changed.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the protection of peat?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.

18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Safeguard existing supply of natural resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Encourage recycling and composting of waste and avoid landfill?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.

			HSP S2J Allocation 2: Stockport Road							
Ref	**Objective**	**Assessement Criteria**	**Score**	**Explanation from Proformas and Planning Assessment**	**Mitigation**	**Has the 2025 mitigation been addressed?**	**Commentary on changes made since 2025**	**Score**	**Summary of new scoring**	**Residual Impacts**
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for market housing?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for affordable housing?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of pitches/plots to meet Tameside's Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Show People's assessed needs?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land for Tameside?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.

2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 49m from a bus stop, 119m from the Beeway Network, and 580m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the diversification of Tameside's local economy?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site represents an opportunity for retail.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the enhancement of the vitality and viability of Tameside's town centres?	--	The allocation has negative effects 0% of the site is within 800m of each centre.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing supporting Tameside's town centres, if appropriate.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly in line with Land Registry parcels.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing supporting Tameside's town centres, if appropriate.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 49m from a bus stop, 119m from the Beeway Network, and 580m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 49m from a bus stop, 119m from the Beeway Network, and 580m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as utilities are not referenced, and there are no overhead lines in the area, however, these would need to be enhanced to support the scale of development.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the transition to active and sustainable modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 49m from a bus stop, 119m from the Beeway Network, and 580m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the delivery of a safe transport network which minimises the impact on the local environment and public health?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 49m from a bus stop, 119m from the Beeway Network, and 580m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.

3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support both the existing network and creation of new cycling and walking routes?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. It is 49m from a bus stop, 119m from the Beeway Network, and 580m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	++	The allocation has positive effects as 66% of the site is within the IMD decile based on LSOA and will provide employment opportunities.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	++	The allocation has positive effects as 66% of the site is within the IMD decile based on LSOA and will provide employment opportunities.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference supporting relations between people, however, the allocation is focused on employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities/infrastructure for all?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 66% of the site is within the IMD decile based on LSOA and will provide employment opportunities.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it assumed to be too high level to affect protected characteristics.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will meet needs equally.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Create a sense of belonging, community cohesion and wellbeing for all members of the Tameside community?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference creating a sense of belonging, however, the allocation is focused on employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier, active lifestyles, proactive and preventative care and support improvements in determinants of health?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. It is 49m from a bus stop, 119m from the Beeway Network, and 580m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within Tameside and with the rest of England?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is no likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Increase the quantity and quality of active environments, open spaces, green and blue infrastructure?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green and blue infrastructure, public open space, leisure and recreational facilities?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote community safety and design which discourages crime?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is no likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities and community well-being assets, regardless of socio-economic status?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is located 632m from a GP and 200m from a dentist.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages

7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children and working age adults?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education and skill levels of the population of working age the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 49m from a bus stop, 119m from the Beeway Network, and 580m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 49m from a bus stop, 119m from the Beeway Network, and 580m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 49m from a bus stop, 119m from the Beeway Network, and 580m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Tameside, specifically within designated sites, Greater Manchester Combined Authority Air Quality Management Area, and other biodiversity assets?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.

10	Improve air quality	Minimise emissions and particulates from harmful pollutants when delivering new developments?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Locates development not within existing areas of poor air quality?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located within an AQMA.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Protect, conserve and enhance new and existing European, nationally and locally designated wildlife and geological sites?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located within a designated wildlife or geological site.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Encourage enhancement and restoration of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of enhancement or restoration.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green and blue infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green and blue infrastructure?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green and blue infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and safeguard local wider ecological networks including local wildlife-rich habitats?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of enhancement or restoration.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems within Tameside are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of renewable and low carbon energy technology?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Encourage the restoration and enhancement of peatlands?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of nature-based solutions to climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not propose nature-based solutions, however, these are likely to be covered by thematic policies.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict development in areas of high flood risk or a greater risk of flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing and residual flood risk from all sources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Deliver sustainable drainage systems and promote flood defences that reduces Tameside's vulnerability to flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Promote the use of nature-based solutions to manage flood risks?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Ensure that new water/waste water management infrastructure is delivered to support new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Minimise the risk of pollution arising from new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage water efficiency and promote the use of water recycling systems?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage energy demand reductions and energy efficiency in new development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport

15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage development that incorporates energy generation to achieve carbon emission reductions?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted within Tameside?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote the use of renewable and low carbon energy schemes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site does not have any landscape designations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site does not have any heritage designations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness including the setting of the Peak District National Park?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the Peak District National Park.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to high quality design.	This is covered in the thematic policies.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	This is covered in the thematic policies.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Protect or enhance the significance of designated and non-designated heritage assets?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site does not have any heritage designations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	+/-	The allocation has positive effects and negative effects as the site is located in an existing employment area with a previous consent for employment development.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly in line with Land Registry parcels.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not agricultural land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect soils of high environmental value in both a general and local context?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as there is no reference to high value soils.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	+/-	The allocation has positive effects and negative effects as the site is located in an existing employment area with a previous consent for employment development.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly in line with Land Registry parcels.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	+/-	The allocation has positive effects and negative effects as the site is located in an existing employment area with a previous consent for employment development.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly in line with Land Registry parcels.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the protection of peat?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Safeguard existing supply of natural resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods

18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Encourage recycling and composting of waste and avoid landfill?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slighlty in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.

			HSP S2J Allocation 3: Manley Grove							
Ref	**Objective**	**Assessement Criteria**	**Score**	**Explanation from Proformas and Planning Assessment**	**Mitigation**	**Has the 2025 mitigation been addressed?**	**Commentary on changes made since 2025**	**Score**	**Summary of new scoring**	**Residual Impacts**
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for market housing?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is allocated for housing, with a total estimated capacity of 26 dwellings.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for affordable housing?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is reference to housing mix but no reference to affordable housing.	Ensure the development provides sufficient affordable housing in line with local needs.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure the development provides sufficient affordable housing in line with local needs.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to housing mix or meeting local needs.	Ensure the development provides sufficient housing mix in line with local needs.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure the development provides sufficient housing mix in line with local needs.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is within 800m of each centre and 326m from a primary school.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities connecting to centres could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities connecting to centres could be considered.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the energy efficiency or resilience of the development.	Ensure that the new development considers energy efficiency / resilience of housing stock.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers energy efficiency / resilience of housing stock.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of pitches/plots to meet Tameside's Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Show People's assessed needs?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to pitches/plots.	Ensure that the new development considers the affects on pitches/plots quantity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the affects on pitches/plots quantity.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land for Tameside?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the diversification of Tameside's local economy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the enhancement of the vitality and viability of Tameside's town centres?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is 66m from a bus stop, 32m from the Beeway Network and 36m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects with regards to improving transport connectivity as there is reference to the site already being in a highly accessible location.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as utilities are not referenced, and there are no overhead lines in the area, however, these would need to be enhanced to support the scale of development.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the transition to active and sustainable modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is 66m from a bus stop, 32m from the Beeway Network and 36m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the delivery of a safe transport network which minimises the impact on the local environment and public health?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel - the increased uptake of which can minimise the impact on the local environment and public health.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.

3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support both the existing network and creation of new cycling and walking routes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no referencec to walking and cycling routes, although its proximity to the existing street network, including Busy Beeways, could support the existing network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site presents opportunities for housing and 100% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site presents opportunities for housing and 100% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference supporting relations between people, however, the allocation is focused on housing.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities/infrastructure for all?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to equal opportunity.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on ‘protected characteristics’, as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it assumed to be too high level to affect protected characteristics.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will meet needs equally.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Create a sense of belonging, community cohesion and wellbeing for all members of the Tameside community?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will support community cohesion or good relations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier, active lifestyles, proactive and preventative care and support improvements in determinants of health?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel - the increased uptake of which can minimise the impact on the local environment and public health.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within Tameside and with the rest of England?	-	The allocation has negative effects as most of the site is identified as being located in an area of coal mining high risk, which could have an impact on health.	Ensure to mitigate any negative impacts through a Coal Mining Risk Assessment.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure to mitigate any negative impacts through a Coal Mining Risk Assessment.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Increase the quantity and quality of active environments, open spaces, green and blue infrastructure?	--	The allocation has ngeative effects as 6% of the site is covered by typology in the 2025 Tameside Council Open Space Assessment.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green and blue infrastructure, public open space, leisure and recreational facilities?	--	The allocation has ngeative effects as 6% of the site is covered by typology in the 2025 Tameside Council Open Space Assessment.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote community safety and design which discourages crime?	-/?	The allocation has uncertain / negative effects as there is no reference to community safety and design, although its proximity to the transport network could create both social and transport safety issues.	Ensure that the new development recognises any additional needs for safety considerations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	-/?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development recognises any additional needs for safety considerations.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities and community well-being assets, regardless of socio-economic status?	-	The allocation has positive/negative effects as it is located 423m from a GP and 868m from a dentist.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages

7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children and working age adults?	+/-	The allocation has positive/negative effects as the site is located within 326m of a primary school but over 1.4km from a secondary school.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to access to and provision of community social infrastructure.	Ensure any new development suitably considers the local capacity of and access to community social infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new development suitably considers the local capacity of and access to community social infrastructure.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	+/-	The allocation has positive/negative effects as the site is located within 326m of a primary school but over 1.4km from a secondary school.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education and skill levels of the population of working age the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to improving education and skill levels of the working age population.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	+/-	The allocation has positive/negative effects as the proximity to public transport and active travel will promote efficient modes of transport, however, the lack of proximal facilities and services will not reduce the need to travel.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is 66m from a bus stop, 32m from the Beeway Network and 36m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Tameside, specifically within designated sites, Greater Manchester Combined Authority Air Quality Management Area, and other biodiversity assets?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.

10	Improve air quality	Minimise emissions and particulates from harmful pollutants when delivering new developments?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Locates development not within existing areas of poor air quality?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located in an AQMA.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Protect, conserve and enhance new and existing European, nationally and locally designated wildlife and geological sites?	--	The allocation has ngeative effects as 6% of the site is covered by typology in the 2025 Tameside Council Open Space Assessment.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Encourage enhancement and restoration of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of enhancement or restoration.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green and blue infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green and blue infrastructure?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green and blue infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	--	The allocation has ngeative effects as 6% of the site is covered by typology in the 2025 Tameside Council Open Space Assessment and therefore development would result in a loss.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and safeguard local wider ecological networks including local wildlife-rich habitats?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of enhancement or restoration.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems within Tameside are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of renewable and low carbon energy technology?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Encourage the restoration and enhancement of peatlands?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of nature-based solutions to climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not propose nature-based solutions, however, these are likely to be covered by thematic policies.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict development in areas of high flood risk or a greater risk of flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing and residual flood risk from all sources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Deliver sustainable drainage systems and promote flood defences that reduces Tameside's vulnerability to flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Promote the use of nature-based solutions to manage flood risks?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Ensure that new water/waste water management infrastructure is delivered to support new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Minimise the risk of pollution arising from new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage water efficiency and promote the use of water recycling systems?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage energy demand reductions and energy efficiency in new development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport

15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage development that incorporates energy generation to achieve carbon emission reductions?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted within Tameside?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote the use of renewable and low carbon energy schemes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	--	The allocation has ngeative effects as 6% of the site is covered by typology in the 2025 Tameside Council Open Space Assessment and therefore development would result in a loss.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site does not have any heritage designations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness including the setting of the Peak District National Park?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the Peak District National Park.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to high quality design.	This is covered in the thematic policies.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	This is covered in the thematic policies.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Protect or enhance the significance of designated and non-designated heritage assets?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site does not have any heritage designations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	+/-	The allocation has positive/negative effects as the site is located on vacant brownfield land, however, it is in an area of coal mining high risk.	Ensure to mitigate any negative impacts through a Coal Mining Risk Assessment.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure to mitigate any negative impacts through a Coal Mining Risk Assessment.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not agricultural land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect soils of high environmental value in both a general and local context?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as there is no reference to high value soils.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	+/-	The allocation has positive/negative effects as the site is located on vacant brownfield land, however, it is in an area of coal mining high risk.	Ensure to mitigate any negative impacts through a Coal Mining Risk Assessment.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure to mitigate any negative impacts through a Coal Mining Risk Assessment.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	+/-	The allocation has positive/negative effects as the site is located on vacant brownfield land, however, it is in an area of coal mining high risk.	Ensure to mitigate any negative impacts through a Coal Mining Risk Assessment.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure to mitigate any negative impacts through a Coal Mining Risk Assessment.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the protection of peat?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Safeguard existing supply of natural resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods

18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Encourage recycling and composting of waste and avoid landfill?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove an area at the southern end as this forms part of an existing children's play area. Only 2.4% of the sie remains Open Space.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.

			HSP S2K Allocation 1: Egmont Street							
Ref	**Objective**	**Assessement Criteria**	**Score**	**Explanation from Proformas and Planning Assessment**	**Mitigation**	**Has the 2025 mitigation been addressed?**	**Commentary on changes made since 2025**	**Score**	**Summary of new scoring**	**Residual Impacts**
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for market housing?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for affordable housing?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of pitches/plots to meet Tameside's Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Show People's assessed needs?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land for Tameside?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.

2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 49m from a bus stop and 13m from the Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the diversification of Tameside's local economy?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site represents an opportunity for light industrial units.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the enhancement of the vitality and viability of Tameside's town centres?	++	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is within 800m of each centre.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing supporting Tameside's town centres, if appropriate.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing supporting Tameside's town centres, if appropriate.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 49m from a bus stop and 13m from the Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 49m from a bus stop and 13m from the Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as utilities are not referenced, and there are no overhead lines in the area, however, these would need to be enhanced to support the scale of development.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the transition to active and sustainable modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 49m from a bus stop and 13m from the Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the delivery of a safe transport network which minimises the impact on the local environment and public health?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 49m from a bus stop and 13m from the Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support both the existing network and creation of new cycling and walking routes?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. It is 13m from the Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.

4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	++	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is within the IMD decile based on LSOA and will provide employment opportunities.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	++	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is within the IMD decile based on LSOA and will provide employment opportunities.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference supporting relations between people, however, the allocation is focused on employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities/infrastructure for all?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is within the IMD decile based on LSOA and will provide employment opportunities.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it assumed to be too high level to affect protected characteristics.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will meet needs equally.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Create a sense of belonging, community cohesion and wellbeing for all members of the Tameside community?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference creating a sense of belonging, however, the allocation is focused on employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier, active lifestyles, proactive and preventative care and support improvements in determinants of health?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. It is 13m from the Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within Tameside and with the rest of England?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is no likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Increase the quantity and quality of active environments, open spaces, green and blue infrastructure?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green and blue infrastructure, public open space, leisure and recreational facilities?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote community safety and design which discourages crime?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is no likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities and community well-being assets, regardless of socio-economic status?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is located 159m from a GP and 419m from a dentist.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children and working age adults?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.

8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education and skill levels of the population of working age the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 49m from a bus stop and 13m from the Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 49m from a bus stop and 13m from the Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 49m from a bus stop and 13m from the Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Tameside, specifically within designated sites, Greater Manchester Combined Authority Air Quality Management Area, and other biodiversity assets?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Minimise emissions and particulates from harmful pollutants when delivering new developments?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Locates development not within existing areas of poor air quality?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located within an AQMA.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Protect, conserve and enhance new and existing European, nationally and locally designated wildlife and geological sites?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within close proximity to an SSI, SBI and LNRS.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Encourage enhancement and restoration of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of enhancement or restoration.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.

11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green and blue infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green and blue infrastructure?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green and blue infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and safeguard local wider ecological networks including local wildlife-rich habitats?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within close proximity to an SSI, SBI and LNRS.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems within Tameside are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 9% of the site is within Flood Zone 3 and 54% of the site is within Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of renewable and low carbon energy technology?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Encourage the restoration and enhancement of peatlands?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of nature-based solutions to climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not propose nature-based solutions, however, these are likely to be covered by thematic policies.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict development in areas of high flood risk or a greater risk of flooding?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 9% of the site is within Flood Zone 3 and 54% of the site is within Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing and residual flood risk from all sources?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 9% of the site is within Flood Zone 3 and 54% of the site is within Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk

13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 9% of the site is within Flood Zone 3 and 54% of the site is within Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 9% of the site is within Flood Zone 3 and 54% of the site is within Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Deliver sustainable drainage systems and promote flood defences that reduces Tameside's vulnerability to flooding?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 9% of the site is within Flood Zone 3 and 54% of the site is within Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Promote the use of nature-based solutions to manage flood risks?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 9% of the site is within Flood Zone 3 and 54% of the site is within Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Ensure that new water/waste water management infrastructure is delivered to support new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Minimise the risk of pollution arising from new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage water efficiency and promote the use of water recycling systems?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage energy demand reductions and energy efficiency in new development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage development that incorporates energy generation to achieve carbon emission reductions?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted within Tameside?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote the use of renewable and low carbon energy schemes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site does not have any landscape designations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	-	The allocation has negative effects as there is a listed building with 200m of the site.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness including the setting of the Peak District National Park?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the Peak District National Park.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to high quality design.	This is covered in the thematic policies.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	This is covered in the thematic policies.

16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Protect or enhance the significance of designated and non-designated heritage assets?	-	The allocation has negative effects as there is a listed building with 200m of the site.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	+/-	The allocation has positive effects and negative effects as the site is located in a cleared vacant employment site, however, it has several constraints and recent residential application was refused.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not agricultural land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect soils of high environmental value in both a general and local context?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as there is no reference to high value soils.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	+/-	The allocation has positive effects and negative effects as the site is located in a cleared vacant employment site, however, it has several constraints and recent residential application was refused.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	+/-	The allocation has positive effects and negative effects as the site is located in a cleared vacant employment site, however, it has several constraints and recent residential application was refused.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the protection of peat?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Safeguard existing supply of natural resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods

18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Encourage recycling and composting of waste and avoid landfill?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to remove two garages located off Bury Street.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.

			HSP S2K Allocation 2: Audley Street							
Ref	**Objective**	**Assessement Criteria**	**Score**	**Explanation from Proformas and Planning Assessment**	**Mitigation**	**Has the 2025 mitigation been addressed?**	**Commentary on changes made since 2025**	**Score**	**Summary of new scoring**	**Residual Impacts**
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for market housing?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for affordable housing?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of pitches/plots to meet Tameside's Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Show People's assessed needs?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land for Tameside?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	++	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.

2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 36m from a bus stop and 0m from the Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the diversification of Tameside's local economy?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site represents an opportunity for employment development.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the enhancement of the vitality and viability of Tameside's town centres?	++	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is within 800m of each centre.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing supporting Tameside's town centres, if appropriate.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	++	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing supporting Tameside's town centres, if appropriate.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 36m from a bus stop and 0m from the Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 36m from a bus stop and 0m from the Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as utilities are not referenced, and there are no overhead lines in the area, however, these would need to be enhanced to support the scale of development.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the transition to active and sustainable modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 36m from a bus stop and 0m from the Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the delivery of a safe transport network which minimises the impact on the local environment and public health?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 36m from a bus stop and 0m from the Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.

3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support both the existing network and creation of new cycling and walking routes?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above and it is 0m from the Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	++	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is within the IMD decile based on LSOA and will provide employment opportunities.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	++	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	++	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is within the IMD decile based on LSOA and will provide employment opportunities.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	++	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference supporting relations between people, however, the allocation is focused on employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities/infrastructure for all?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is within the IMD decile based on LSOA and will provide employment opportunities.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on ‘protected characteristics’, as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it assumed to be too high level to affect protected characteristics.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.

5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will meet needs equally.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Create a sense of belonging, community cohesion and wellbeing for all members of the Tameside community?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference creating a sense of belonging, however, the allocation is focused on employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier, active lifestyles, proactive and preventative care and support improvements in determinants of health?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. It is 0m from the Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within Tameside and with the rest of England?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is no likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Increase the quantity and quality of active environments, open spaces, green and blue infrastructure?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green and blue infrastructure, public open space, leisure and recreational facilities?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote community safety and design which discourages crime?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is no likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities and community well-being assets, regardless of socio-economic status?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is located 300m from a GP and 400m from a dentist.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages

7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children and working age adults?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education and skill levels of the population of working age the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 36m from a bus stop and 0m from the Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 36m from a bus stop and 0m from the Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 36m from a bus stop and 0m from the Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Tameside, specifically within designated sites, Greater Manchester Combined Authority Air Quality Management Area, and other biodiversity assets?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.

10	Improve air quality	Minimise emissions and particulates from harmful pollutants when delivering new developments?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Locates development not within existing areas of poor air quality?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located within an AQMA.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Protect, conserve and enhance new and existing European, nationally and locally designated wildlife and geological sites?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within close proximity to an SSI, SBI and LNRS.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Encourage enhancement and restoration of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of enhancement or restoration.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green and blue infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green and blue infrastructure?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green and blue infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and safeguard local wider ecological networks including local wildlife-rich habitats?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within close proximity to an SSI, SBI and LNRS.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems within Tameside are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 5% of the site is within Flood Zone 3 and 13% of the site is within Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk

12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of renewable and low carbon energy technology?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Encourage the restoration and enhancement of peatlands?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of nature-based solutions to climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not propose nature-based solutions, however, these are likely to be covered by thematic policies.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict development in areas of high flood risk or a greater risk of flooding?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 5% of the site is within Flood Zone 3 and 13% of the site is within Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing and residual flood risk from all sources?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 5% of the site is within Flood Zone 3 and 13% of the site is within Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 5% of the site is within Flood Zone 3 and 13% of the site is within Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 5% of the site is within Flood Zone 3 and 13% of the site is within Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Deliver sustainable drainage systems and promote flood defences that reduces Tameside's vulnerability to flooding?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 5% of the site is within Flood Zone 3 and 13% of the site is within Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk

13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Promote the use of nature-based solutions to manage flood risks?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 5% of the site is within Flood Zone 3 and 13% of the site is within Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Ensure that new water/waste water management infrastructure is delivered to support new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Minimise the risk of pollution arising from new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage water efficiency and promote the use of water recycling systems?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage energy demand reductions and energy efficiency in new development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport

15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage development that incorporates energy generation to achieve carbon emission reductions?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted within Tameside?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote the use of renewable and low carbon energy schemes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site does not have any landscape designations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	-	The allocation has negative effects as there is a listed building with 200m of the site.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness including the setting of the Peak District National Park?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the Peak District National Park.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to high quality design.	This is covered in the thematic policies.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	This is covered in the thematic policies.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Protect or enhance the significance of designated and non-designated heritage assets?	-	The allocation has negative effects as there is a listed building with 200m of the site.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.

17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is located on a cleared vacant employment site.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	++	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not agricultural land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect soils of high environmental value in both a general and local context?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as there is no reference to high value soils.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is located on a cleared vacant employment site.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	++	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is located on a cleared vacant employment site.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	++	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the protection of peat?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Safeguard existing supply of natural resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods

18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Encourage recycling and composting of waste and avoid landfill?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	Allocation not changed and the boundary has been checked against Land Registry .	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.

			HSP S2K Allocation 3: Waggon Road							
Ref	**Objective**	**Assessement Criteria**	**Score**	**Explanation from Proformas and Planning Assessment**	**Mitigation**	**Has the 2025 mitigation been addressed?**	**Commentary on changes made since 2025**	**Score**	**Summary of new scoring**	**Residual Impacts**
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for market housing?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is allocated for housing, with a total estimated capacity of 23 dwellings.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for affordable housing?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is reference to housing mix but no reference to affordable housing.	Ensure the development provides sufficient affordable housing in line with local needs.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure the development provides sufficient affordable housing in line with local needs.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to housing mix or meeting local needs.	Ensure the development provides sufficient housing mix in line with local needs.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure the development provides sufficient housing mix in line with local needs.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is within 800m of each centre and 285m from a primary school.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities connecting to centres could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities connecting to centres could be considered.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the energy efficiency or resilience of the development.	Ensure that the new development considers energy efficiency / resilience of housing stock.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers energy efficiency / resilience of housing stock.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of pitches/plots to meet Tameside's Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Show People's assessed needs?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to pitches/plots.	Ensure that the new development considers the affects on pitches/plots quantity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the affects on pitches/plots quantity.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land for Tameside?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the diversification of Tameside's local economy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the enhancement of the vitality and viability of Tameside's town centres?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station, 44m from a bus stop.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects with regards to improving transport connectivity as there is reference to the site already being in a highly accessible location.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as utilities are not referenced, and there are no overhead lines in the area, however, these would need to be enhanced to support the scale of development.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the transition to active and sustainable modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station, 44m from a bus stop.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the delivery of a safe transport network which minimises the impact on the local environment and public health?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel - the increased uptake of which can minimise the impact on the local environment and public health.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support both the existing network and creation of new cycling and walking routes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no referencec to walking and cycling routes, although its proximity to the existing street network, including Busy Beeways, could support the existing network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site presents opportunities for housing and 100% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people

4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site presents opportunities for housing and 100% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference supporting relations between people, however, the allocation is focused on housing.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities/infrastructure for all?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to equal opportunity.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it assumed to be too high level to affect protected characteristics.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will meet needs equally.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Create a sense of belonging, community cohesion and wellbeing for all members of the Tameside community?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will support community cohesion or good relations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier, active lifestyles, proactive and preventative care and support improvements in determinants of health?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel - the increased uptake of which can minimise the impact on the local environment and public health.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within Tameside and with the rest of England?	?	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Increase the quantity and quality of active environments, open spaces, green and blue infrastructure?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green and blue infrastructure, public open space, leisure and recreational facilities?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote community safety and design which discourages crime?	-/?	The allocation has uncertain / negative effects as there is no reference to community safety and design, although its proximity to the transport network could create both social and transport safety issues.	Ensure that the new development recognises any additional needs for safety considerations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	-/?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development recognises any additional needs for safety considerations.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities and community well-being assets, regardless of socio-economic status?	+	The allocation has positive effects as it is located 42m from a GP and 277m from a dentist.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children and working age adults?	+/-	The allocation has positive/negative effects as the site is located within 285m of a primary school but over 950m from a secondary school.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to access to and provision of community social infrastructure.	Ensure any new development suitably considers the local capacity of and access to community social infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new development suitably considers the local capacity of and access to community social infrastructure.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	+/-	The allocation has positive/negative effects as the site is located within 285m of a primary school but over 950m from a secondary school.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education and skill levels of the population of working age the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to improving education and skill levels of the working age population.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	+/-	The allocation has positive/negative effects as the proximity to public transport and active travel will promote efficient modes of transport, however, the lack of proximal facilities and services will not reduce the need to travel.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.

9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station, 44m from a bus stop.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Tameside, specifically within designated sites, Greater Manchester Combined Authority Air Quality Management Area, and other biodiversity assets?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Minimise emissions and particulates from harmful pollutants when delivering new developments?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Locates development not within existing areas of poor air quality?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located in an AQMA.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Protect, conserve and enhance new and existing European, nationally and locally designated wildlife and geological sites?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within close proximity to an SSSI, SBI and LNRS.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Encourage enhancement and restoration of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of enhancement or restoration.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green and blue infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green and blue infrastructure?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green and blue infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.

11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and safeguard local wider ecological networks including local wildlife-rich habitats?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within close proximity to an SSSI, SBI and LNRS.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems within Tameside are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 8% of the site is in Flood Zone 3 and 2% of the site is in Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of renewable and low carbon energy technology?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Encourage the restoration and enhancement of peatlands?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of nature-based solutions to climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not propose nature-based solutions, however, these are likely to be covered by thematic policies.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict development in areas of high flood risk or a greater risk of flooding?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 8% of the site is in Flood Zone 3 and 2% of the site is in Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing and residual flood risk from all sources?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 8% of the site is in Flood Zone 3 and 2% of the site is in Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 8% of the site is in Flood Zone 3 and 2% of the site is in Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 8% of the site is in Flood Zone 3 and 2% of the site is in Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk

13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Deliver sustainable drainage systems and promote flood defences that reduces Tameside's vulnerability to flooding?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 8% of the site is in Flood Zone 3 and 2% of the site is in Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Promote the use of nature-based solutions to manage flood risks?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 8% of the site is in Flood Zone 3 and 2% of the site is in Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Ensure that new water/waste water management infrastructure is delivered to support new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Minimise the risk of pollution arising from new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage water efficiency and promote the use of water recycling systems?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage energy demand reductions and energy efficiency in new development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport

15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage development that incorporates energy generation to achieve carbon emission reductions?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted within Tameside?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote the use of renewable and low carbon energy schemes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site does not have any landscape designations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within 200m of a listed building.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness including the setting of the Peak District National Park?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the Peak District National Park.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to high quality design.	This is covered in the thematic policies.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	This is covered in the thematic policies.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Protect or enhance the significance of designated and non-designated heritage assets?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within 200m of a listed building.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is located on a vacant site.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not agricultural land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect soils of high environmental value in both a general and local context?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as there is no reference to high value soils.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is located on a vacant site.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is located on a vacant site.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the protection of peat?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Safeguard existing supply of natural resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.

18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Encourage recycling and composting of waste and avoid landfill?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.

			HSP S2K Allocation 3: Waggon Road							
Ref	**Objective**	**Assessement Criteria**	**Score**	**Explanation from Proformas and Planning Assessment**	**Mitigation**	**Has the 2025 mitigation been addressed?**	**Commentary on changes made since 2025**	**Score**	**Summary of new scoring**	**Residual Impacts**
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for market housing?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is allocated for housing, with a total estimated capacity of 23 dwellings.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for affordable housing?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is reference to housing mix but no reference to affordable housing.	Ensure the development provides sufficient affordable housing in line with local needs.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure the development provides sufficient affordable housing in line with local needs.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to housing mix or meeting local needs.	Ensure the development provides sufficient housing mix in line with local needs.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure the development provides sufficient housing mix in line with local needs.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is within 800m of each centre and 285m from a primary school.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities connecting to centres could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities connecting to centres could be considered.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the energy efficiency or resilience of the development.	Ensure that the new development considers energy efficiency / resilience of housing stock.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers energy efficiency / resilience of housing stock.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of pitches/plots to meet Tameside's Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Show People's assessed needs?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to pitches/plots.	Ensure that the new development considers the affects on pitches/plots quantity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the affects on pitches/plots quantity.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land for Tameside?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the diversification of Tameside's local economy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the enhancement of the vitality and viability of Tameside's town centres?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station, 44m from a bus stop.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects with regards to improving transport connectivity as there is reference to the site already being in a highly accessible location.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as utilities are not referenced, and there are no overhead lines in the area, however, these would need to be enhanced to support the scale of development.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the transition to active and sustainable modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station, 44m from a bus stop.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the delivery of a safe transport network which minimises the impact on the local environment and public health?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel - the increased uptake of which can minimise the impact on the local environment and public health.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support both the existing network and creation of new cycling and walking routes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no referencec to walking and cycling routes, although its proximity to the existing street network, including Busy Beeways, could support the existing network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site presents opportunities for housing and 100% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people

4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site presents opportunities for housing and 100% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference supporting relations between people, however, the allocation is focused on housing.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities/infrastructure for all?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to equal opportunity.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it assumed to be too high level to affect protected characteristics.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will meet needs equally.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Create a sense of belonging, community cohesion and wellbeing for all members of the Tameside community?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will support community cohesion or good relations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier, active lifestyles, proactive and preventative care and support improvements in determinants of health?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel - the increased uptake of which can minimise the impact on the local environment and public health.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within Tameside and with the rest of England?	?	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Increase the quantity and quality of active environments, open spaces, green and blue infrastructure?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green and blue infrastructure, public open space, leisure and recreational facilities?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote community safety and design which discourages crime?	-/?	The allocation has uncertain / negative effects as there is no reference to community safety and design, although its proximity to the transport network could create both social and transport safety issues.	Ensure that the new development recognises any additional needs for safety considerations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	-/?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development recognises any additional needs for safety considerations.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities and community well-being assets, regardless of socio-economic status?	+	The allocation has positive effects as it is located 42m from a GP and 277m from a dentist.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children and working age adults?	+/-	The allocation has positive/negative effects as the site is located within 285m of a primary school but over 950m from a secondary school.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to access to and provision of community social infrastructure.	Ensure any new development suitably considers the local capacity of and access to community social infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new development suitably considers the local capacity of and access to community social infrastructure.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	+/-	The allocation has positive/negative effects as the site is located within 285m of a primary school but over 950m from a secondary school.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education and skill levels of the population of working age the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to improving education and skill levels of the working age population.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	+/-	The allocation has positive/negative effects as the proximity to public transport and active travel will promote efficient modes of transport, however, the lack of proximal facilities and services will not reduce the need to travel.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.

9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station, 44m from a bus stop.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Tameside, specifically within designated sites, Greater Manchester Combined Authority Air Quality Management Area, and other biodiversity assets?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Minimise emissions and particulates from harmful pollutants when delivering new developments?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Locates development not within existing areas of poor air quality?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located in an AQMA.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Protect, conserve and enhance new and existing European, nationally and locally designated wildlife and geological sites?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within close proximity to an SSSI, SBI and LNRS.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Encourage enhancement and restoration of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of enhancement or restoration.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green and blue infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green and blue infrastructure?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green and blue infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.

11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and safeguard local wider ecological networks including local wildlife-rich habitats?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within close proximity to an SSSI, SBI and LNRS.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems within Tameside are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 8% of the site is in Flood Zone 3 and 2% of the site is in Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of renewable and low carbon energy technology?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Encourage the restoration and enhancement of peatlands?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of nature-based solutions to climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not propose nature-based solutions, however, these are likely to be covered by thematic policies.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict development in areas of high flood risk or a greater risk of flooding?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 8% of the site is in Flood Zone 3 and 2% of the site is in Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing and residual flood risk from all sources?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 8% of the site is in Flood Zone 3 and 2% of the site is in Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 8% of the site is in Flood Zone 3 and 2% of the site is in Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 8% of the site is in Flood Zone 3 and 2% of the site is in Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk

13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Deliver sustainable drainage systems and promote flood defences that reduces Tameside's vulnerability to flooding?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 8% of the site is in Flood Zone 3 and 2% of the site is in Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Promote the use of nature-based solutions to manage flood risks?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 8% of the site is in Flood Zone 3 and 2% of the site is in Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Ensure that new water/waste water management infrastructure is delivered to support new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Minimise the risk of pollution arising from new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage water efficiency and promote the use of water recycling systems?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage energy demand reductions and energy efficiency in new development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport

15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage development that incorporates energy generation to achieve carbon emission reductions?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted within Tameside?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote the use of renewable and low carbon energy schemes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site does not have any landscape designations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within 200m of a listed building.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness including the setting of the Peak District National Park?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the Peak District National Park.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to high quality design.	This is covered in the thematic policies.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	This is covered in the thematic policies.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Protect or enhance the significance of designated and non-designated heritage assets?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within 200m of a listed building.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is located on a vacant site.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not agricultural land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect soils of high environmental value in both a general and local context?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as there is no reference to high value soils.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is located on a vacant site.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is located on a vacant site.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the protection of peat?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Safeguard existing supply of natural resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.

18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Encourage recycling and composting of waste and avoid landfill?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with the Land Registry parcels. It has been extended to the north.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.

			HSP S2K Allocation 5: Roaches							
Ref	**Objective**	**Assessement Criteria**	**Score**	**Explanation from Proformas and Planning Assessment**	**Mitigation**	**Has the 2025 mitigation been addressed?**	**Commentary on changes made since 2025**	**Score**	**Summary of new scoring**	**Residual Impacts**
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for market housing?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is allocated for housing, with a total estimated capacity of 18 dwellings.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for affordable housing?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is reference to housing mix but no reference to affordable housing.	Ensure the development provides sufficient affordable housing in line with local needs.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure the development provides sufficient affordable housing in line with local needs.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to housing mix or meeting local needs.	Ensure the development provides sufficient housing mix in line with local needs.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure the development provides sufficient housing mix in line with local needs.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is within 800m of each centre and both primary and secondary schools are over 1.5km away.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities connecting to centres could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities connecting to centres could be considered.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the energy efficiency or resilience of the development.	Ensure that the new development considers energy efficiency / resilience of housing stock.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers energy efficiency / resilience of housing stock.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of pitches/plots to meet Tameside's Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Show People's assessed needs?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to pitches/plots.	Ensure that the new development considers the affects on pitches/plots quantity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the affects on pitches/plots quantity.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land for Tameside?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the diversification of Tameside's local economy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the enhancement of the vitality and viability of Tameside's town centres?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station and 400m of a Metrolink stop. However, 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above, an its 151m from a bus stop.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects with regards to improving transport connectivity as there is reference to the site already being in a highly accessible location.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as utilities are not referenced, and there are no overhead lines in the area, however, these would need to be enhanced to support the scale of development.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the transition to active and sustainable modes of transport?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station and 400m of a Metrolink stop. However, 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above, an its 151m from a bus stop.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the delivery of a safe transport network which minimises the impact on the local environment and public health?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is accessible for public transport and active travel - the increased uptake of which can minimise the impact on the local environment and public health.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support both the existing network and creation of new cycling and walking routes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no referencec to walking and cycling routes, although its proximity to the existing street network, including Busy Beeways, could support the existing network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for housing. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.

4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for housing. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference supporting relations between people, however, the allocation is focused on housing.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities/infrastructure for all?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to equal opportunity.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it assumed to be too high level to affect protected characteristics.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will meet needs equally.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Create a sense of belonging, community cohesion and wellbeing for all members of the Tameside community?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will support community cohesion or good relations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier, active lifestyles, proactive and preventative care and support improvements in determinants of health?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is accessible for public transport and active travel - the increased uptake of which can minimise the impact on the local environment and public health.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within Tameside and with the rest of England?	?	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Increase the quantity and quality of active environments, open spaces, green and blue infrastructure?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is undeveloped green space, however, it is not identified in the Council's Open Space Assessment and is not identified as an area of need.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green and blue infrastructure, public open space, leisure and recreational facilities?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is undeveloped green space, however, it is not identified in the Council's Open Space Assessment and is not identified as an area of need.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote community safety and design which discourages crime?	-/?	The allocation has uncertain / negative effects as there is no reference to community safety and design, although its proximity to the transport network could create both social and transport safety issues.	Ensure that the new development recognises any additional needs for safety considerations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	-/?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development recognises any additional needs for safety considerations.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities and community well-being assets, regardless of socio-economic status?	-	The allocation has positive effects as it is located 1.6km from a GP and from a dentist.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children and working age adults?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is located over 1km from a primary school and from a secondary school.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to access to and provision of community social infrastructure.	Ensure any new development suitably considers the local capacity of and access to community social infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new development suitably considers the local capacity of and access to community social infrastructure.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is located over 1km from a primary school and from a secondary school.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education and skill levels of the population of working age the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to improving education and skill levels of the working age population.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	+/-	The allocation has positive/negative effects as the proximity to public transport and active travel will promote efficient modes of transport, however, the lack of proximal facilities and services will not reduce the need to travel.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.

9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station and covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above, 129m from a bus stop,and 0m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Tameside, specifically within designated sites, Greater Manchester Combined Authority Air Quality Management Area, and other biodiversity assets?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Minimise emissions and particulates from harmful pollutants when delivering new developments?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Locates development not within existing areas of poor air quality?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located in an AQMA.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Protect, conserve and enhance new and existing European, nationally and locally designated witdlife and geological sites?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 1% of the site is in an SBI and an LNRS. It is also undeveloped green space, however, it is not identified in the Council's Open Space Assessment and is not identified as an area of need.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Encourage enhancement and restoration of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of enhancement or restoration.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green and blue infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green and blue infrastructure?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is undeveloped green space, however, it is not identified in the Council's Open Space Assessment and is not identified as an area of need.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green and blue infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is undeveloped green space, however, it is not identified in the Council's Open Space Assessment and is not identified as an area of need.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and safeguard local wider ecological networks including local wildlife-rich habitats?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 1% of the site is in an SBI and an LNRS. It is also undeveloped green space, however, it is not identified in the Council's Open Space Assessment and is not identified as an area of need.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.

12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems within Tameside are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 57% of the site is located in Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of renewable and low carbon energy technology?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Encourage the restoration and enhancement of peatlands?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of nature-based solutions to climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not propose nature-based solutions, however, these are likely to be covered by thematic policies.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict development in areas of high flood risk or a greater risk of flooding?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 57% of the site is located in Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing and residual flood risk from all sources?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 57% of the site is located in Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 57% of the site is located in Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 57% of the site is located in Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Deliver sustainable drainage systems and promote flood defences that reduces Tameside's vulnerability to flooding?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 57% of the site is located in Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Promote the use of nature-based solutions to manage flood risks?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 57% of the site is located in Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk

14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Ensure that new water/waste water management infrastructure is delivered to support new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Minimise the risk of pollution arising from new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage water efficiency and promote the use of water recycling systems?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage energy demand reductions and energy efficiency in new development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage development that incorporates energy generation to achieve carbon emission reductions?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted within Tameside?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote the use of renewable and low carbon energy schemes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport

16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is undeveloped green space, however, it is not identified in the Council's Open Space Assessment and is not identified as an area of need.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape quality.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape quality.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within 200m of 3 listed buildings.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness including the setting of the Peak District National Park?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the Peak District National Park.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to high quality design.	This is covered in the thematic policies.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	This is covered in the thematic policies.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Protect or enhance the significance of designated and non-designated heritage assets?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within 200m of 3 listed buildings.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is undeveloped green space, however, it is not identified in the Council's Open Space Assessment and is not identified as an area of need.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not agricultural land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect soils of high environmental value in both a general and local context?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as there is no reference to high value soils.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is undeveloped green space, however, it is not identified in the Council's Open Space Assessment and is not identified as an area of need.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is undeveloped green space, however, it is not identified in the Council's Open Space Assessment and is not identified as an area of need.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)

17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the protection of peat?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Safeguard existing supply of natural resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Encourage recycling and composting of waste and avoid landfill?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to omit a small area on the southern side.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.

			HSP S2K Allocation 6: Huddersfield Road							
Ref	**Objective**	**Assessement Criteria**	**Score**	**Explanation from Proformas and Planning Assessment**	**Mitigation**	**Has the 2025 mitigation been addressed?**	**Commentary on changes made since 2025**	**Score**	**Summary of new scoring**	**Residual Impacts**
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for market housing?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is allocated for housing, with a total estimated capacity of 175 dwellings.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for affordable housing?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is reference to housing mix but no reference to affordable housing.	Ensure the development provides sufficient affordable housing in line with local needs.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure the development provides sufficient affordable housing in line with local needs.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to housing mix or meeting local needs.	Ensure the development provides sufficient housing mix in line with local needs.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure the development provides sufficient housing mix in line with local needs.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	+/-	The allocation has positive/negative effects as 0% of the site is within 800m of each centre, the nearest primary school is 500m away, and the nearest secondary school is 68m away.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities connecting to centres could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities connecting to centres could be considered.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the energy efficiency or resilience of the development.	Ensure that the new development considers energy efficiency / resilience of housing stock.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers energy efficiency / resilience of housing stock.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of pitches/plots to meet Tameside's Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Show People's assessed needs?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to pitches/plots.	Ensure that the new development considers the affects on pitches/plots quantity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the affects on pitches/plots quantity.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land for Tameside?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the diversification of Tameside's local economy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the enhancement of the vitality and viability of Tameside's town centres?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station and 400m of a Metrolink stop. However, 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above, an its 39m from a bus stop and 7m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects with regards to improving transport connectivity as there is reference to the site already being in a highly accessible location.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as utilities are not referenced, and there are no overhead lines in the area, however, these would need to be enhanced to support the scale of development.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the transition to active and sustainable modes of transport?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station and 400m of a Metrolink stop. However, 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above, an its 39m from a bus stop and 7m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the delivery of a safe transport network which minimises the impact on the local environment and public health?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is accessible for public transport and active travel - the increased uptake of which can minimise the impact on the local environment and public health.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support both the existing network and creation of new cycling and walking routes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no referencec to walking and cycling routes, although its proximity to the existing street network, including Busy Beeways, could support the existing network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for housing. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.

4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for housing. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference supporting relations between people, however, the allocation is focused on housing.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities/infrastructure for all?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to equal opportunity.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it assumed to be too high level to affect protected characteristics.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will meet needs equally.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Create a sense of belonging, community cohesion and wellbeing for all members of the Tameside community?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will support community cohesion or good relations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier, active lifestyles, proactive and preventative care and support improvements in determinants of health?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is accessible for public transport and active travel - the increased uptake of which can minimise the impact on the local environment and public health.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within Tameside and with the rest of England?	?	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Increase the quantity and quality of active environments, open spaces, green and blue infrastructure?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it would result in the loss of sloping farmland and greenfield land, however, this is underutilised and has extant residential consent.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green and blue infrastructure, public open space, leisure and recreational facilities?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it would result in the loss of sloping farmland and greenfield land, however, this is underutilised and has extant residential consent.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote community safety and design which discourages crime?	-/?	The allocation has uncertain / negative effects as there is no reference to community safety and design, although its proximity to the transport network could create both social and transport safety issues.	Ensure that the new development recognises any additional needs for safety considerations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	-/?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development recognises any additional needs for safety considerations.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities and community well-being assets, regardless of socio-economic status?	-	The allocation has positive effects as it is located 935m from a GP and 848m from a dentist.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children and working age adults?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is located 476m from a primary school and 68m from a secondary school.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to access to and provision of community social infrastructure.	Ensure any new development suitably considers the local capacity of and access to community social infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new development suitably considers the local capacity of and access to community social infrastructure.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is located 476m from a primary school and 68m from a secondary school.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education and skill levels of the population of working age the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to improving education and skill levels of the working age population.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	+/-	The allocation has positive/negative effects as the proximity to public transport and active travel will promote efficient modes of transport, however, the lack of proximal facilities and services will not reduce the need to travel.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.

9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station and 400m of a Metrolink stop. However, 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above, an its 39m from a bus stop and 7m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Tameside, specifically within designated sites, Greater Manchester Combined Authority Air Quality Management Area, and other biodiversity assets?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Minimise emissions and particulates from harmful pollutants when delivering new developments?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Locates development not within existing areas of poor air quality?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located in an AQMA.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Protect, conserve and enhance new and existing European, nationally and locally designated wildlife and geological sites?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 89% of the site is within peaty soils, 37% is located in an SBI and LNRS, and the site is within close proximity to an SSSI.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Encourage enhancement and restoration of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of enhancement or restoration.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green and blue infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green and blue infrastructure?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it would result in the loss of sloping farmland and greenfield land, however, this is underutilised and has extant residential consent.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green and blue infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it would result in the loss of sloping farmland and greenfield land, however, this is underutilised and has extant residential consent.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and safeguard local wider ecological networks including local wildlife-rich habitats?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 89% of the site is within peaty soils, 37% is located in an SBI and LNRS, and the site is within close proximity to an SSSI.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.

12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems within Tameside are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of renewable and low carbon energy technology?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Encourage the restoration and enhancement of peatlands?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 89% of the site is within peaty soils.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of peat.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of peat.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of nature-based solutions to climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not propose nature-based solutions, however, these are likely to be covered by thematic policies.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict development in areas of high flood risk or a greater risk of flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing and residual flood risk from all sources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Deliver sustainable drainage systems and promote flood defences that reduces Tameside's vulnerability to flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Promote the use of nature-based solutions to manage flood risks?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Ensure that new water/waste water management infrastructure is delivered to support new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Minimise the risk of pollution arising from new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage water efficiency and promote the use of water recycling systems?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage energy demand reductions and energy efficiency in new development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage development that incorporates energy generation to achieve carbon emission reductions?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted within Tameside?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote the use of renewable and low carbon energy schemes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport

16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it would result in the loss of sloping farmland and greenfield land, however, this is underutilised and has extant residential consent.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape quality.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape quality.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within 200m of 2 listed buildings.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness including the setting of the Peak District National Park?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the Peak District National Park.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to high quality design.	This is covered in the thematic policies.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	This is covered in the thematic policies.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Protect or enhance the significance of designated and non-designated heritage assets?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within 200m of 2 listed buildings.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it would result in the loss of sloping farmland and greenfield land, however, this is underutilised and has extant residential consent.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 89% of the site is within peaty soils.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of peat.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of peat.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect soils of high environmental value in both a general and local context?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 89% of the site is within peaty soils.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of peat.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of peat.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it would result in the loss of sloping farmland and greenfield land, however, this is underutilised and has extant residential consent.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it would result in the loss of sloping farmland and greenfield land, however, this is underutilised and has extant residential consent.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)

17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the protection of peat?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 89% of the site is within peaty soils.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of peat.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of peat.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Safeguard existing supply of natural resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Encourage recycling and composting of waste and avoid landfill?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to align with approved planning application 26/00004/REM.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.

			HSP S2K Allocation 7: Hollins Lane								
Ref	**Objective**	**Assessement Criteria**	**Score**	**Explanation from Proformas and Planning Assessment**	**Mitigation**	**Has the 2025 mitigation been addressed?**	**Commentary on changes made since 2025**	**Score**	**Summary of new scoring**	**Residual Impacts**
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for market housing?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is allocated for housing, with a total estimated capacity of 26 dwellings.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for affordable housing?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is reference to housing mix but no reference to affordable housing.	Ensure the development provides sufficient affordable housing in line with local needs.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure the development provides sufficient affordable housing in line with local needs.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to housing mix or meeting local needs.	Ensure the development provides sufficient housing mix in line with local needs.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure the development provides sufficient housing mix in line with local needs.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is within 800m of each centre, the nearest primary school is 39m away, and the nearest secondary school is 476m away.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities connecting to centres could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities connecting to centres could be considered.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the energy efficiency or resilience of the development.	Ensure that the new development considers energy efficiency / resilience of housing stock.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers energy efficiency / resilience of housing stock.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of pitches/plots to meet Tameside's Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Show People's assessed needs?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to pitches/plots.	Ensure that the new development considers the affects on pitches/plots quantity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the affects on pitches/plots quantity.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land for Tameside?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the diversification of Tameside's local economy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the enhancement of the vitality and viability of Tameside's town centres?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station, 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above, and its 110m from a bus stop and 180m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects with regards to improving transport connectivity as there is reference to the site already being in a highly accessible location.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as utilities are not referenced, and there are no overhead lines in the area, however, these would need to be enhanced to support the scale of development.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the transition to active and sustainable modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station, 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above, and its 110m from a bus stop and 180m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the delivery of a safe transport network which minimises the impact on the local environment and public health?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is accessible for public transport and active travel - the increased uptake of which can minimise the impact on the local environment and public health.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support both the existing network and creation of new cycling and walking routes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no referencec to walking and cycling routes, although its proximity to the existing street network, including Busy Beeways, could support the existing network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site presents opportunities for housing and 12% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people

4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site presents opportunities for housing and 12% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference supporting relations between people, however, the allocation is focused on housing.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities/infrastructure for all?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to equal opportunity.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it assumed to be too high level to affect protected characteristics.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will meet needs equally.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Create a sense of belonging, community cohesion and wellbeing for all members of the Tameside community?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will support community cohesion or good relations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier, active lifestyles, proactive and preventative care and support improvements in determinants of health?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is accessible for public transport and active travel - the increased uptake of which can minimise the impact on the local environment and public health.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within Tameside and with the rest of England?	?	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Increase the quantity and quality of active environments, open spaces, green and blue infrastructure?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is covered by typology in the 2025 Tameside Council Open Space Assessment.However, it is not located in an area identified in the open space study as being in an area of need.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green and blue infrastructure, public open space, leisure and recreational facilities?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is covered by typology in the 2025 Tameside Council Open Space Assessment.However, it is not located in an area identified in the open space study as being in an area of need.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote community safety and design which discourages crime?	-/?	The allocation has uncertain / negative effects as there is no reference to community safety and design, although its proximity to the transport network could create both social and transport safety issues.	Ensure that the new development recognises any additional needs for safety considerations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	-/?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development recognises any additional needs for safety considerations.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities and community well-being assets, regardless of socio-economic status?	-	The allocation has positive effects as it is located 541m from a GP and 612m from a dentist.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children and working age adults?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is located 39m from a primary school and 476m from a secondary school.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to access to and provision of community social infrastructure.	Ensure any new development suitably considers the local capacity of and access to community social infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new development suitably considers the local capacity of and access to community social infrastructure.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is located 39m from a primary school and 476m from a secondary school.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education and skill levels of the population of working age the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to improving education and skill levels of the working age population.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	+/-	The allocation has positive/negative effects as the proximity to public transport and active travel will promote efficient modes of transport, however, the lack of proximal facilities and services will not reduce the need to travel.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.

9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station, 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above, and its 110m from a bus stop and 180m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Tameside, specifically within designated sites, Greater Manchester Combined Authority Air Quality Management Area, and other biodiversity assets?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Minimise emissions and particulates from harmful pollutants when delivering new developments?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Locates development not within existing areas of poor air quality?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located in an AQMA.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Protect, conserve and enhance new and existing European, nationally and locally designated witdlife and geological sites?	?	The allocation has negative effects as the site is covered by typology in the 2025 Tameside Council Open Space Assessment.However, it is not located in an area identified in the open space study as being in an area of need.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Encourage enhancement and restoration of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of enhancement or restoration.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green and blue infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green and blue infrastructure?	?	The allocation has negative effects as the site is covered by typology in the 2025 Tameside Council Open Space Assessment.However, it is not located in an area identified in the open space study as being in an area of need.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green and blue infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquility?	?	The allocation has negative effects as the site is covered by typology in the 2025 Tameside Council Open Space Assessment.However, it is not located in an area identified in the open space study as being in an area of need.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and safeguard local wider ecological networks including local wildlife-rich habitats?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of enhancement or restoration.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.

12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems within Tameside are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of renewable and low carbon energy technology?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Encourage the restoration and enhancement of peatlands?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of nature-based solutions to climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not propose nature-based solutions, however, these are likely to be covered by thematic policies.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict development in areas of high flood risk or a greater risk of flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing and residual flood risk from all sources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Deliver sustainable drainage systems and promote flood defences that reduces Tameside's vulnerability to flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Promote the use of nature-based solutions to manage flood risks?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Ensure that new water/waste water management infrastructure is delivered to support new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Minimise the risk of pollution arising from new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage water efficiency and promote the use of water recycling systems?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage energy demand reductions and energy efficiency in new development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage development that incorporates energy generation to achieve carbon emission reductions?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted within Tameside?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote the use of renewable and low carbon energy schemes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport

16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	?	The allocation has negative effects as the site is covered by typology in the 2025 Tameside Council Open Space Assessment.However, it is not located in an area identified in the open space study as being in an area of need.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape quality.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape quality.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site does not have any heritage designations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness including the setting of the Peak District National Park?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the Peak District National Park.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to high quality design.	This is covered in the thematic policies.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	This is covered in the thematic policies.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Protect or enhance the significance of designated and non-designated heritage assets?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site does not have any heritage designations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	?	The allocation has negative effects as the site is covered by typology in the 2025 Tameside Council Open Space Assessment.However, it is not located in an area identified in the open space study as being in an area of need.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not agricultural land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect soils of high environmental value in both a general and local context?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as there is no reference to high value soils.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	?	The allocation has negative effects as the site is covered by typology in the 2025 Tameside Council Open Space Assessment.However, it is not located in an area identified in the open space study as being in an area of need.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	?	The allocation has negative effects as the site is covered by typology in the 2025 Tameside Council Open Space Assessment.However, it is not located in an area identified in the open space study as being in an area of need.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)

17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the protection of peat?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Safeguard existing supply of natural resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Encourage recycling and composting of waste and avoid landfill?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended slightly to exclude small areas of residential garden, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.

			HSP S2K Allocation 8: Brackenhurst							
Ref	**Objective**	**Assessement Criteria**	**Score**	**Explanation from Proformas and Planning Assessment**	**Mitigation**	**Has the 2025 mitigation been addressed?**	**Commentary on changes made since 2025**	**Score**	**Summary of new scoring**	**Residual Impacts**
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for market housing?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is allocated for housing, with a total estimated capacity of 12 dwellings.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for affordable housing?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is reference to housing mix but no reference to affordable housing.	Ensure the development provides sufficient affordable housing in line with local needs.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure the development provides sufficient affordable housing in line with local needs.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to housing mix or meeting local needs.	Ensure the development provides sufficient housing mix in line with local needs.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure the development provides sufficient housing mix in line with local needs.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	+/-	The allocation has positive/negative effects as 0% of the site is within 800m of each centre, but the nearest primary school is 259m away, and the nearest secondary school is 367m away.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities connecting to centres could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities connecting to centres could be considered.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the energy efficiency or resilience of the development.	Ensure that the new development considers energy efficiency / resilience of housing stock.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers energy efficiency / resilience of housing stock.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of pitches/plots to meet Tameside's Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Show People's assessed needs?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to pitches/plots.	Ensure that the new development considers the affects on pitches/plots quantity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the affects on pitches/plots quantity.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land for Tameside?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the diversification of Tameside's local economy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the enhancement of the vitality and viability of Tameside's town centres?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station and 400m buffer of the Metrolink. The Beeway Network is also 426m away and the Busy Beeway Network is 3114m away.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station and 400m buffer of the Metrolink. The Beeway Network is also 426m away and the Busy Beeway Network is 3114m away.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as utilities are not referenced, and there are no overhead lines in the area, however, these would need to be enhanced to support the scale of development.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the transition to active and sustainable modes of transport?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station and 400m buffer of the Metrolink. The Beeway Network is also 426m away and the Busy Beeway Network is 3114m away.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the delivery of a safe transport network which minimises the impact on the local environment and public health?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station and 400m buffer of the Metrolink. The Beeway Network is also 426m away and the Busy Beeway Network is 3114m away.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support both the existing network and creation of new cycling and walking routes?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the Beeway Network is also 426m away and the Busy Beeway Network is 3114m away.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for housing. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.

4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for housing. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference supporting relations between people, however, the allocation is focused on housing.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities/infrastructure for all?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to equal opportunity.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it assumed to be too high level to affect protected characteristics.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will meet needs equally.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Create a sense of belonging, community cohesion and wellbeing for all members of the Tameside community?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will support community cohesion or good relations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier, active lifestyles, proactive and preventative care and support improvements in determinants of health?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is not very accessible for public transport and active travel.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within Tameside and with the rest of England?	?	The allocation has negative effects as most of the site is identified as being located in an area of coal mining high risk, which could have an impact on health.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Increase the quantity and quality of active environments, open spaces, green and blue infrastructure?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green and blue infrastructure, public open space, leisure and recreational facilities?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote community safety and design which discourages crime?	-/?	The allocation has uncertain / negative effects as there is no reference to community safety and design, although its proximity to the transport network could create both social and transport safety issues.	Ensure that the new development recognises any additional needs for safety considerations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	-/?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development recognises any additional needs for safety considerations.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities and community well-being assets, regardless of socio-economic status?	-	The allocation has positive effects as it is located 887m from a GP and 962m from a dentist.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children and working age adults?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is located 259m from a primary school and 367m from a secondary school.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to access to and provision of community social infrastructure.	Ensure any new development suitably considers the local capacity of and access to community social infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new development suitably considers the local capacity of and access to community social infrastructure.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is located 259m from a primary school and 367m from a secondary school.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education and skill levels of the population of working age the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to improving education and skill levels of the working age population.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station and 400m buffer of the Metrolink. The Beeway Network is also 426m away and the Busy Beeway Network is 3114m away.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station and 400m buffer of the Metrolink. The Beeway Network is also 426m away and the Busy Beeway Network is 3114m away.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.

9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station and 400m buffer of the Metrolink. The Beeway Network is also 426m away and the Busy Beeway Network is 3114m away.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Tameside, specifically within designated sites, Greater Manchester Combined Authority Air Quality Management Area, and other biodiversity assets?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Minimise emissions and particulates from harmful pollutants when delivering new developments?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Locates development not within existing areas of poor air quality?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located in an AQMA.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Protect, conserve and enhance new and existing European, nationally and locally designated wildlife and geological sites?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located within within a designated wildlife or geological site.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Encourage enhancement and restoration of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of enhancement or restoration.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green and blue infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green and blue infrastructure?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green and blue infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and safeguard local wider ecological networks including local wildlife-rich habitats?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.

12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems within Tameside are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of renewable and low carbon energy technology?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Encourage the restoration and enhancement of peatlands?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of nature-based solutions to climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not propose nature-based solutions, however, these are likely to be covered by thematic policies.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict development in areas of high flood risk or a greater risk of flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing and residual flood risk from all sources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Deliver sustainable drainage systems and promote flood defences that reduces Tameside's vulnerability to flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Promote the use of nature-based solutions to manage flood risks?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Ensure that new water/waste water management infrastructure is delivered to support new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Minimise the risk of pollution arising from new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage water efficiency and promote the use of water recycling systems?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage energy demand reductions and energy efficiency in new development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage development that incorporates energy generation to achieve carbon emission reductions?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted within Tameside?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote the use of renewable and low carbon energy schemes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport

16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site does not have any landscape designations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site does not have any heritage designations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness including the setting of the Peak District National Park?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the Peak District National Park.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to high quality design.	This is covered in the thematic policies.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	This is covered in the thematic policies.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Protect or enhance the significance of designated and non-designated heritage assets?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site does not have any heritage designations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	+/-	The allocation has positive and negative effects as the site is a mixed brownfield and greenfield site.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not agricultural land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect soils of high environmental value in both a general and local context?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as there is no reference to high value soils.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	+/-	The allocation has positive and negative effects as the site is a mixed brownfield and greenfield site.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	+/-	The allocation has positive and negative effects as the site is a mixed brownfield and greenfield site.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)

17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the protection of peat?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Safeguard existing supply of natural resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Encourage recycling and composting of waste and avoid landfill?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. A small area has been added at the end of Brackenhurst Avenue, and some small areas of residential garden excluded.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.

			HSP S2K Allocation 9: Queen Street							
Ref	**Objective**	**Assessement Criteria**	**Score**	**Explanation from Proformas and Planning Assessment**	**Mitigation**	**Has the 2025 mitigation been addressed?**	**Commentary on changes made since 2025**	**Score**	**Summary of new scoring**	**Residual Impacts**
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for market housing?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is allocated for housing, with a total estimated capacity of 136 dwellings.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for affordable housing?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is reference to housing mix but no reference to affordable housing.	Ensure the development provides sufficient affordable housing in line with local needs.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure the development provides sufficient affordable housing in line with local needs.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to housing mix or meeting local needs.	Ensure the development provides sufficient housing mix in line with local needs.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure the development provides sufficient housing mix in line with local needs.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	+	The allocation has positive/negative effects as 100% of the site is within 800m of each centre, but the nearest primary school is 238m away, and the nearest secondary school is 795m away.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities connecting to centres could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities connecting to centres could be considered.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the energy efficiency or resilience of the development.	Ensure that the new development considers energy efficiency / resilience of housing stock.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers energy efficiency / resilience of housing stock.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of pitches/plots to meet Tameside's Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Show People's assessed needs?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to pitches/plots.	Ensure that the new development considers the affects on pitches/plots quantity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the affects on pitches/plots quantity.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land for Tameside?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the diversification of Tameside's local economy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the enhancement of the vitality and viability of Tameside's town centres?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% of the site is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Bus stops are m away, and the Beeway Network is also 26m away.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% of the site is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Bus stops are m away, and the Beeway Network is also 26m away.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as utilities are not referenced, and there are no overhead lines in the area, however, these would need to be enhanced to support the scale of development.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the transition to active and sustainable modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% of the site is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Bus stops are m away, and the Beeway Network is also 26m away.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the delivery of a safe transport network which minimises the impact on the local environment and public health?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is accessible for public transport and active travel - the increased uptake of which can minimise the impact on the local environment and public health.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support both the existing network and creation of new cycling and walking routes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no referencec to walking and cycling routes, although its proximity to the existing street network, including Busy Beeways, could support the existing network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.

4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for housing. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for housing. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference supporting relations between people, however, the allocation is focused on housing.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities/infrastructure for all?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to equal opportunity.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it assumed to be too high level to affect protected characteristics.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will meet needs equally.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Create a sense of belonging, community cohesion and wellbeing for all members of the Tameside community?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will support community cohesion or good relations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier, active lifestyles, proactive and preventative care and support improvements in determinants of health?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is accessible for public transport and active travel - the increased uptake of which can minimise the impact on the local environment and public health.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within Tameside and with the rest of England?	?	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Increase the quantity and quality of active environments, open spaces, green and blue infrastructure?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 1% of the site is covered by typology in the 2025 Tameside Council Open Space Assessment.However, it is not located in an area identified in the open space study as being in an area of need. 1% of the site also contains TPOs (groups).	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green and blue infrastructure, public open space, leisure and recreational facilities?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 1% of the site is covered by typology in the 2025 Tameside Council Open Space Assessment.However, it is not located in an area identified in the open space study as being in an area of need. 1% of the site also contains TPOs (groups).	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote community safety and design which discourages crime?	-/?	The allocation has uncertain / negative effects as there is no reference to community safety and design, although its proximity to the transport network could create both social and transport safety issues.	Ensure that the new development recognises any additional needs for safety considerations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	-/?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development recognises any additional needs for safety considerations.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities and community well-being assets, regardless of socio-economic status?	+	The allocation has positive effects as it is located 130m from a GP and 70m from a dentist.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children and working age adults?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is located 238m from a primary school and 795m from a secondary school.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to access to and provision of community social infrastructure.	Ensure any new development suitably considers the local capacity of and access to community social infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new development suitably considers the local capacity of and access to community social infrastructure.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is located 238m from a primary school and 795m from a secondary school.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages

8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education and skill levels of the population of working age the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to improving education and skill levels of the working age population.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	+/-	The allocation has positive/negative effects as the proximity to public transport and active travel will promote efficient modes of transport, however, the lack of proximal facilities and services will not reduce the need to travel.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% of the site is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Bus stops are m away, and the Beeway Network is also 26m away.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Tameside, specifically within designated sites, Greater Manchester Combined Authority Air Quality Management Area, and other biodiversity assets?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Minimise emissions and particulates from harmful pollutants when delivering new developments?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Locates development not within existing areas of poor air quality?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located in an AQMA.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Protect, conserve and enhance new and existing European, nationally and locally designated wildlife and geological sites?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 1% of the site is covered by typology in the 2025 Tameside Council Open Space Assessment.However, it is not located in an area identified in the open space study as being in an area of need. 1% of the site also contains TPOs (groups). It is also in close proximity to an SSSI, SBI, and LNRS.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Encourage enhancement and restoration of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 1% of the site is covered by typology in the 2025 Tameside Council Open Space Assessment.However, it is not located in an area identified in the open space study as being in an area of need. 1% of the site also contains TPOs (groups). It is also in close proximity to an SSSI, SBI, and LNRS.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.

11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green and blue infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green and blue infrastructure?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 1% of the site is covered by typology in the 2025 Tameside Council Open Space Assessment.However, it is not located in an area identified in the open space study as being in an area of need. 1% of the site also contains TPOs (groups).	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green and blue infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 1% of the site is covered by typology in the 2025 Tameside Council Open Space Assessment.However, it is not located in an area identified in the open space study as being in an area of need. 1% of the site also contains TPOs (groups).	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and safeguard local wider ecological networks including local wildlife-rich habitats?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 1% of the site is covered by typology in the 2025 Tameside Council Open Space Assessment.However, it is not located in an area identified in the open space study as being in an area of need. 1% of the site also contains TPOs (groups). It is also in close proximity to an SSSI, SBI, and LNRS.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems within Tameside are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 12% of the site is within Flood Zone 3 and 31% of the site is within Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of renewable and low carbon energy technology?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Encourage the restoration and enhancement of peatlands?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of nature-based solutions to climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not propose nature-based solutions, however, these are likely to be covered by thematic policies.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict development in areas of high flood risk or a greater risk of flooding?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 12% of the site is within Flood Zone 3 and 31% of the site is within Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing and residual flood risk from all sources?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 12% of the site is within Flood Zone 3 and 31% of the site is within Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk

13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 12% of the site is within Flood Zone 3 and 31% of the site is within Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 12% of the site is within Flood Zone 3 and 31% of the site is within Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Deliver sustainable drainage systems and promote flood defences that reduces Tameside's vulnerability to flooding?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 12% of the site is within Flood Zone 3 and 31% of the site is within Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Promote the use of nature-based solutions to manage flood risks?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 12% of the site is within Flood Zone 3 and 31% of the site is within Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Ensure that new water/waste water management infrastructure is delivered to support new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Minimise the risk of pollution arising from new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage water efficiency and promote the use of water recycling systems?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage energy demand reductions and energy efficiency in new development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage development that incorporates energy generation to achieve carbon emission reductions?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted within Tameside?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote the use of renewable and low carbon energy schemes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 1% of the site is covered by typology in the 2025 Tameside Council Open Space Assessment.However, it is not located in an area identified in the open space study as being in an area of need. 1% of the site also contains TPOs (groups).	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape quality.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape quality.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	-	The allocation has negative effects as there are several listed buildings within 200m of the site.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness including the setting of the Peak District National Park?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the Peak District National Park.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to high quality design.	This is covered in the thematic policies.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	This is covered in the thematic policies.

16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Protect or enhance the significance of designated and non-designated heritage assets?	-	The allocation has negative effects as there are several listed buildings within 200m of the site.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	++	The allocation has positive effects as it is located on vacant and semi-derelict land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not agricultural land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect soils of high environmental value in both a general and local context?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as there is no reference to high value soils.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	++	The allocation has positive effects as it is located on vacant and semi-derelict land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	++	The allocation has positive effects as it is located on vacant and semi-derelict land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the protection of peat?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Safeguard existing supply of natural resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods

18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Encourage recycling and composting of waste and avoid landfill?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with Land Registry parcels. It now excludes the existing converted Queens Mill and the electricity sub station	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1ST iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.

			HSP S2L Allocation 1: Bayley Street							
Ref	**Objective**	**Assessement Criteria**	**Score**	**Explanation from Proformas and Planning Assessment**	**Mitigation**	**Has the 2025 mitigation been addressed?**	**Commentary on changes made since 2025**	**Score**	**Summary of new scoring**	**Residual Impacts**
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for market housing?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for affordable housing?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of pitches/plots to meet Tameside's Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Show People's assessed needs?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land for Tameside?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.

2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 131m from a bus stop and 0m from the Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the diversification of Tameside's local economy?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site represents a key employment site and is noted as a local supply gap.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the enhancement of the vitality and viability of Tameside's town centres?	++	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is within 800m of each centre.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing supporting Tameside's town centres, if appropriate.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing supporting Tameside's town centres, if appropriate.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 131m from a bus stop and 0m from the Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 131m from a bus stop and 0m from the Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as utilities are not referenced, and there are no overhead lines in the area, however, these would need to be enhanced to support the scale of development.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the transition to active and sustainable modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 131m from a bus stop and 0m from the Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the delivery of a safe transport network which minimises the impact on the local environment and public health?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 131m from a bus stop and 0m from the Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.

3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support both the existing network and creation of new cycling and walking routes?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above and it is 0m from the Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for employment. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for employment. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference supporting relations between people, however, the allocation is focused on employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities/infrastructure for all?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as whilst it is considered a key employment site, there is no reference to equal opportunity.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it assumed to be too high level to affect protected characteristics.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will meet needs equally.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Create a sense of belonging, community cohesion and wellbeing for all members of the Tameside community?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference creating a sense of belonging, however, the allocation is focused on employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier, active lifestyles, proactive and preventative care and support improvements in determinants of health?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above and it is 0m from the Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within Tameside and with the rest of England?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within a COMAH zone.	Ensure that the new development considers the health and safety of employees and local residents.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the health and safety of employees and local residents.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Increase the quantity and quality of active environments, open spaces, green and blue infrastructure?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green and blue infrastructure, public open space, leisure and recreational facilities?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote community safety and design which discourages crime?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is no likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities and community well-being assets, regardless of socio-economic status?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is located 600m from a GP and 800m from a dentist.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children and working age adults?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.

7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education and skill levels of the population of working age the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 131m from a bus stop and 0m from the Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 131m from a bus stop and 0m from the Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station and 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 131m from a bus stop and 0m from the Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Tameside, specifically within designated sites, Greater Manchester Combined Authority Air Quality Management Area, and other biodiversity assets?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Minimise emissions and particulates from harmful pollutants when delivering new developments?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.

10	Improve air quality	Locates development not within existing areas of poor air quality?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located within an AQMA.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Protect, conserve and enhance new and existing European, nationally and locally designated wildlife and geological sites?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within close proximity to an SSI, SBI and LNRS.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Encourage enhancement and restoration of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of enhancement or restoration.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green and blue infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green and blue infrastructure?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green and blue infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and safeguard local wider ecological networks including local wildlife-rich habitats?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within close proximity to an SSI, SBI and LNRS.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems within Tameside are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 1% of the site is within Flood Zone 3 and 76% of the site is within Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of renewable and low carbon energy technology?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport

12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Encourage the restoration and enhancement of peatlands?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of nature-based solutions to climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not propose nature-based solutions, however, these are likely to be covered by thematic policies.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict development in areas of high flood risk or a greater risk of flooding?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 1% of the site is within Flood Zone 3 and 76% of the site is within Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing and residual flood risk from all sources?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 1% of the site is within Flood Zone 3 and 76% of the site is within Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 1% of the site is within Flood Zone 3 and 76% of the site is within Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 1% of the site is within Flood Zone 3 and 76% of the site is within Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Deliver sustainable drainage systems and promote flood defences that reduces Tameside's vulnerability to flooding?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 1% of the site is within Flood Zone 3 and 76% of the site is within Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Promote the use of nature-based solutions to manage flood risks?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 1% of the site is within Flood Zone 3 and 76% of the site is within Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk

14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Ensure that new water/waste water management infrastructure is delivered to support new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Minimise the risk of pollution arising from new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage water efficiency and promote the use of water recycling systems?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage energy demand reductions and energy efficiency in new development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage development that incorporates energy generation to achieve carbon emission reductions?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport

15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted within Tameside?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote the use of renewable and low carbon energy schemes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site does not have any landscape designations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	-	The allocation has negative effects as there are listed buildings within 200m of the site.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness including the setting of the Peak District National Park?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the Peak District National Park.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to high quality design.	This is covered in the thematic policies.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	This is covered in the thematic policies.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Protect or enhance the significance of designated and non-designated heritage assets?	-	The allocation has negative effects as there are listed buildings with 200m of the site.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site represents the largest brownfield employment site in the borough.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not agricultural land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect soils of high environmental value in both a general and local context?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as there is no reference to high value soils.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site represents the largest brownfield employment site in the borough.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site represents the largest brownfield employment site in the borough.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the protection of peat?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Safeguard existing supply of natural resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.

18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Encourage recycling and composting of waste and avoid landfill?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to include a small area to the north, in line with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.

			HSP S2L Allocation 2: Clarence Street							
Ref	**Objective**	**Assessement Criteria**	**Score**	**Explanation from Proformas and Planning Assessment**	**Mitigation**	**Has the 2025 mitigation been addressed?**	**Commentary on changes made since 2025**	**Score**	**Summary of new scoring**	**Residual Impacts**
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for market housing?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for affordable housing?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of pitches/plots to meet Tameside's Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Show People's assessed needs?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land for Tameside?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	++	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.

2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 62% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station, 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 70m from a bus stop and 6m from the Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the diversification of Tameside's local economy?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site represents a key employment site.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the enhancement of the vitality and viability of Tameside's town centres?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is within 800m of each centre.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing supporting Tameside's town centres, if appropriate.	N	Allocation has not changed.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing supporting Tameside's town centres, if appropriate.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 62% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station, 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 70m from a bus stop and 6m from the Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 62% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station, 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 70m from a bus stop and 6m from the Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as utilities are not referenced, and there are no overhead lines in the area, however, these would need to be enhanced to support the scale of development.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the transition to active and sustainable modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 62% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station, 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 70m from a bus stop and 6m from the Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the delivery of a safe transport network which minimises the impact on the local environment and public health?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 62% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station, 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 70m from a bus stop and 6m from the Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.

3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support both the existing network and creation of new cycling and walking routes?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above and 6m from the Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for employment. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for employment. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference supporting relations between people, however, the allocation is focused on employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities/infrastructure for all?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as whilst it is considered a key employment site, there is no reference to equal opportunity.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on ‘protected characteristics’, as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it assumed to be too high level to affect protected characteristics.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will meet needs equally.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.

5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Create a sense of belonging, community cohesion and wellbeing for all members of the Tameside community?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference creating a sense of belonging, however, the allocation is focused on employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier, active lifestyles, proactive and preventative care and support improvements in determinants of health?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above and 6m from the Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within Tameside and with the rest of England?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Increase the quantity and quality of active environments, open spaces, green and blue infrastructure?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green and blue infrastructure, public open space, leisure and recreational facilities?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote community safety and design which discourages crime?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is no likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities and community well-being assets, regardless of socio-economic status?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is located 700m from a GP and 740m from a dentist.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	Allocation has not changed.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children and working age adults?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.

7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education and skill levels of the population of working age the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 62% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station, 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 70m from a bus stop and 6m from the Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 62% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station, 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 70m from a bus stop and 6m from the Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 62% of the site is located within an 800m buffer of a railway station, 100% is covered by the GMAL index score of 5 and above. Whilst 0% of the site is located within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop, it is 70m from a bus stop and 6m from the Beeway Network.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Tameside, specifically within designated sites, Greater Manchester Combined Authority Air Quality Management Area, and other biodiversity assets?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Minimise emissions and particulates from harmful pollutants when delivering new developments?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.

10	Improve air quality	Locates development not within existing areas of poor air quality?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located within an AQMA.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Protect, conserve and enhance new and existing European, nationally and locally designated wildlife and geological sites?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within close proximity to an SSI, SBI and LNRS.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	Allocation has not changed.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Encourage enhancement and restoration of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of enhancement or restoration.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green and blue infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green and blue infrastructure?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site is in close proximity to a TPO group as well as 4 individual TPOs.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	Allocation has not changed.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green and blue infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and safeguard local wider ecological networks including local wildlife-rich habitats?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within close proximity to an SSI, SBI and LNRS.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	Allocation has not changed.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems within Tameside are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 22% of the site is within Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	Allocation has not changed.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of renewable and low carbon energy technology?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport

12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Encourage the restoration and enhancement of peatlands?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of nature-based solutions to climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not propose nature-based solutions, however, these are likely to be covered by thematic policies.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict development in areas of high flood risk or a greater risk of flooding?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 22% of the site is within Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	Allocation has not changed.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing and residual flood risk from all sources?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 22% of the site is within Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	Allocation has not changed.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 22% of the site is within Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	Allocation has not changed.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 22% of the site is within Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	Allocation has not changed.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Deliver sustainable drainage systems and promote flood defences that reduces Tameside's vulnerability to flooding?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 22% of the site is within Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	Allocation has not changed.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Promote the use of nature-based solutions to manage flood risks?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 22% of the site is within Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	Allocation has not changed.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk

14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Ensure that new water/waste water management infrastructure is delivered to support new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Minimise the risk of pollution arising from new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage water efficiency and promote the use of water recycling systems?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage energy demand reductions and energy efficiency in new development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage development that incorporates energy generation to achieve carbon emission reductions?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport

15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted within Tameside?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote the use of renewable and low carbon energy schemes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site is in close proximity to a TPO group as well as 4 individual TPOs.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	-	The allocation has negative effects as there is a listed building within 200m of the site.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.	N	Allocation has not changed.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness including the setting of the Peak District National Park?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the Peak District National Park.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to high quality design.	This is covered in the thematic policies.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	This is covered in the thematic policies.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Protect or enhance the significance of designated and non-designated heritage assets?	-	The allocation has negative effects as there is a listed building within 200m of the site.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.	N	Allocation has not changed.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is a cleared vacant employment site.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	++	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.

17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not agricultural land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect soils of high environmental value in both a general and local context?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as there is no reference to high value soils.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is a cleared vacant employment site.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	++	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is a cleared vacant employment site.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	++	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the protection of peat?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Safeguard existing supply of natural resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.

18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Encourage recycling and composting of waste and avoid landfill?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.

			HSP S2L Allocation 3: Copley Mill							
Ref	**Objective**	**Assessement Criteria**	**Score**	**Explanation from Proformas and Planning Assessment**	**Mitigation**	**Has the 2025 mitigation been addressed?**	**Commentary on changes made since 2025**	**Score**	**Summary of new scoring**	**Residual Impacts**
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for market housing?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for affordable housing?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of pitches/plots to meet Tameside's Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Show People's assessed needs?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land for Tameside?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.

2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is located with an 800m buffer of a railway station and a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop. However, a bus stop is 2m away, and the Beeway Network is 176m away.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the diversification of Tameside's local economy?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site represents an opportunity to deliver a range of medium to small sizes unts.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the enhancement of the vitality and viability of Tameside's town centres?	++	The allocation has positive effects as 98% of the site is within 800m of each centre.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing supporting Tameside's town centres, if appropriate.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing supporting Tameside's town centres, if appropriate.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is located with an 800m buffer of a railway station and a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop. However, a bus stop is 2m away, and the Beeway Network is 176m away.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is located with an 800m buffer of a railway station and a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop. However, a bus stop is 2m away, and the Beeway Network is 176m away.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as utilities are not referenced, and there are no overhead lines in the area, however, these would need to be enhanced to support the scale of development.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the transition to active and sustainable modes of transport?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is located with an 800m buffer of a railway station and a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop. However, a bus stop is 2m away, and the Beeway Network is 176m away.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the delivery of a safe transport network which minimises the impact on the local environment and public health?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is located with an 800m buffer of a railway station and a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop. However, a bus stop is 2m away, and the Beeway Network is 176m away.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support both the existing network and creation of new cycling and walking routes?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the Beeway Network is 176m away and a PROW is 0m away.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.

4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for employment. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site presents opportunities for employment. However, 0% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference supporting relations between people, however, the allocation is focused on employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities/infrastructure for all?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as whilst it is considered a key employment site, there is no reference to equal opportunity.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it assumed to be too high level to affect protected characteristics.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will meet needs equally.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Create a sense of belonging, community cohesion and wellbeing for all members of the Tameside community?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference creating a sense of belonging, however, the allocation is focused on employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier, active lifestyles, proactive and preventative care and support improvements in determinants of health?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the Beeway Network is 176m away and a PROW is 0m away.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within Tameside and with the rest of England?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Increase the quantity and quality of active environments, open spaces, green and blue infrastructure?	--	The allocation has ngeative effects as 52% of the site is covered by typology in the 2025 Tameside Council Open Space Assessment.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green and blue infrastructure, public open space, leisure and recreational facilities?	--	The allocation has ngeative effects as 52% of the site is covered by typology in the 2025 Tameside Council Open Space Assessment.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote community safety and design which discourages crime?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is no likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities and community well-being assets, regardless of socio-economic status?	+	The allocation has negative effects as the site is located 147m from a GP and 1038m from a dentist.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children and working age adults?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for employment.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.

8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education and skill levels of the population of working age the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it does not reference the provision of education and training on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing the provision of on-site education and training.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is located with an 800m buffer of a railway station and a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop. However, a bus stop is 2m away, and the Beeway Network is 176m away.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is located with an 800m buffer of a railway station and a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop. However, a bus stop is 2m away, and the Beeway Network is 176m away.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is located with an 800m buffer of a railway station and a 400m buffer of a Metrolink stop. However, a bus stop is 2m away, and the Beeway Network is 176m away.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new employment facility could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Tameside, specifically within designated sites, Greater Manchester Combined Authority Air Quality Management Area, and other biodiversity assets?	?	The site has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that the development will improve air quality.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Minimise emissions and particulates from harmful pollutants when delivering new developments?	?	The site has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that the development will improve air quality.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Locates development not within existing areas of poor air quality?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located within an AQMA.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Protect, conserve and enhance new and existing European, nationally and locally designated wildlife and geological sites?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within close proximity to an SSSI, SBI and LNRS. 52% of the site is also open space and 7% of the site contains woodland/group TPO.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Encourage enhancement and restoration of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.

11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green and blue infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green and blue infrastructure?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green and blue infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	--	The allocation has ngeative effects as 52% of the site is covered by typology in the 2025 Tameside Council Open Space Assessment.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and safeguard local wider ecological networks including local wildlife-rich habitats?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within close proximity to an SSSI, SBI and LNRS. 52% of the site is also open space and 7% of the site contains woodland/group TPO.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems within Tameside are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of renewable and low carbon energy technology?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Encourage the restoration and enhancement of peatlands?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of nature-based solutions to climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not propose nature-based solutions, however, these are likely to be covered by thematic policies.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict development in areas of high flood risk or a greater risk of flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing and residual flood risk from all sources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Deliver sustainable drainage systems and promote flood defences that reduces Tameside's vulnerability to flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Promote the use of nature-based solutions to manage flood risks?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Ensure that new water/waste water management infrastructure is delivered to support new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Minimise the risk of pollution arising from new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage water efficiency and promote the use of water recycling systems?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage energy demand reductions and energy efficiency in new development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage development that incorporates energy generation to achieve carbon emission reductions?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted within Tameside?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote the use of renewable and low carbon energy schemes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for employment, but it can't be certain if this will involve low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	--	The allocation has ngeative effects as 52% of the site is covered by typology in the 2025 Tameside Council Open Space Assessment.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing open space.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	--	The allocation has neutral effects as there are listed buildings within the site and within 200m of the site. 52% of the site is also within Copley Conservation Area.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness including the setting of the Peak District National Park?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the Peak District National Park.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to high quality design.	This is covered in the thematic policies.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	This is covered in the thematic policies.

16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Protect or enhance the significance of designated and non-designated heritage assets?	--	The allocation has neutral effects as there are listed buildings within the site and within 200m of the site. 52% of the site is also within Copley Conservation Area.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is located on vacant land and level open ground.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not agricultural land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect soils of high environmental value in both a general and local context?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as there is no reference to high value soils.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is located on vacant land and level open ground.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is located on vacant land and level open ground.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the protection of peat?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Safeguard existing supply of natural resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods

18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Encourage recycling and composting of waste and avoid landfill?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to the north east and south east to align with Land Registry parcels.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.

			HSP S2L Allocation 4: Old Street							
Ref	**Objective**	**Assessement Criteria**	**Score**	**Explanation from Proformas and Planning Assessment**	**Mitigation**	**Has the 2025 mitigation been addressed?**	**Commentary on changes made since 2025**	**Score**	**Summary of new scoring**	**Residual Impacts**
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for market housing?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is allocated for housing, with a total estimated capacity of 78 dwellings.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for affordable housing?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is reference to housing mix but no reference to affordable housing.	Ensure the development provides sufficient affordable housing in line with local needs.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure the development provides sufficient affordable housing in line with local needs.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to housing mix or meeting local needs.	Ensure the development provides sufficient housing mix in line with local needs.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure the development provides sufficient housing mix in line with local needs.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is within 800m of each centre and 517m from a primary school and secondary school.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities connecting to centres could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities connecting to centres could be considered.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the energy efficiency or resilience of the development.	Ensure that the new development considers energy efficiency / resilience of housing stock.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers energy efficiency / resilience of housing stock.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of pitches/plots to meet Tameside's Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Show People's assessed needs?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to pitches/plots.	Ensure that the new development considers the affects on pitches/plots quantity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the affects on pitches/plots quantity.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land for Tameside?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the diversification of Tameside's local economy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the enhancement of the vitality and viability of Tameside's town centres?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station, 100% of the site is covered by the MAL index score of 5 and above, 52m from a bus stop, 37m from the Beeway Network and 180m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects with regards to improving transport connectivity as there is reference to the site already being in a highly accessible location.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as utilities are not referenced, and there are no overhead lines in the area, however, these would need to be enhanced to support the scale of development.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the transition to active and sustainable modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station, 100% of the site is covered by the MAL index score of 5 and above, 52m from a bus stop, 37m from the Beeway Network and 180m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the delivery of a safe transport network which minimises the impact on the local environment and public health?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel - the increased uptake of which can minimise the impact on the local environment and public health.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support both the existing network and creation of new cycling and walking routes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no referencec to walking and cycling routes, although its proximity to the existing street network, including Busy Beeways, could support the existing network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.

4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site presents opportunities for housing and 100% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site presents opportunities for housing and 100% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference supporting relations between people, however, the allocation is focused on housing.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities/infrastructure for all?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to equal opportunity.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it assumed to be too high level to affect protected characteristics.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will meet needs equally.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Create a sense of belonging, community cohesion and wellbeing for all members of the Tameside community?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will support community cohesion or good relations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier, active lifestyles, proactive and preventative care and support improvements in determinants of health?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel - the increased uptake of which can minimise the impact on the local environment and public health.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.

6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within Tameside and with the rest of England?	?	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Increase the quantity and quality of active environments, open spaces, green and blue infrastructure?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green and blue infrastructure, public open space, leisure and recreational facilities?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote community safety and design which discourages crime?	-/?	The allocation has uncertain / negative effects as there is no reference to community safety and design, although its proximity to the transport network could create both social and transport safety issues.	Ensure that the new development recognises any additional needs for safety considerations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	-/?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development recognises any additional needs for safety considerations.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities and community well-being assets, regardless of socio-economic status?	+	The allocation has positive effects as it is located 184m from a GP and 492m from a dentist.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children and working age adults?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is located within 517m of a primary school and 735m from a secondary school.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to access to and provision of community social infrastructure.	Ensure any new development suitably considers the local capacity of and access to community social infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new development suitably considers the local capacity of and access to community social infrastructure.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is located within 517m of a primary school and 735m from a secondary school.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education and skill levels of the population of working age the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to improving education and skill levels of the working age population.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station, 100% of the site is covered by the MAL index score of 5 and above, 52m from a bus stop, 37m from the Beeway Network and 180m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 100% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station, 100% of the site is covered by the MAL index score of 5 and above, 52m from a bus stop, 37m from the Beeway Network and 180m from the Busy Beeway Network.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Tameside, specifically within designated sites, Greater Manchester Combined Authority Air Quality Management Area, and other biodiversity assets?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Minimise emissions and particulates from harmful pollutants when delivering new developments?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Locates development not within existing areas of poor air quality?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located in an AQMA.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Protect, conserve and enhance new and existing European, nationally and locally designated wildlife and geological sites?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within close proximity to an SSSI, SBI and LNRS. There are also individual TPOs within the site.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Encourage enhancement and restoration of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within close proximity to an SSSI, SBI and LNRS. There are also individual TPOs within the site.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green and blue infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green and blue infrastructure?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.

11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green and blue infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and safeguard local wider ecological networks including local wildlife-rich habitats?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within close proximity to an SSSI, SBI and LNRS. There are also individual TPOs within the site.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems within Tameside are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 11% of the site is in Flood Zone 3 and 50% of the site is in Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of renewable and low carbon energy technology?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Encourage the restoration and enhancement of peatlands?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of nature-based solutions to climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not propose nature-based solutions, however, these are likely to be covered by thematic policies.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict development in areas of high flood risk or a greater risk of flooding?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 11% of the site is in Flood Zone 3 and 50% of the site is in Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing and residual flood risk from all sources?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 11% of the site is in Flood Zone 3 and 50% of the site is in Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 11% of the site is in Flood Zone 3 and 50% of the site is in Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk

13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 11% of the site is in Flood Zone 3 and 50% of the site is in Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Deliver sustainable drainage systems and promote flood defences that reduces Tameside's vulnerability to flooding?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 11% of the site is in Flood Zone 3 and 50% of the site is in Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Promote the use of nature-based solutions to manage flood risks?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 11% of the site is in Flood Zone 3 and 50% of the site is in Flood Zone 2.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Appropriate flood risk mitigation should be implemented (in line with best practice) for all developments that are within or near to areas of flood risk
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Ensure that new water/waste water management infrastructure is delivered to support new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Minimise the risk of pollution arising from new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage water efficiency and promote the use of water recycling systems?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage energy demand reductions and energy efficiency in new development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage development that incorporates energy generation to achieve carbon emission reductions?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted within Tameside?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote the use of renewable and low carbon energy schemes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site contains several individual TPOs.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within 200m of several listed buildings. 100% of the site is also with Stalybridge Town Centre Conservation Area.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness including the setting of the Peak District National Park?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the Peak District National Park.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to high quality design.	This is covered in the thematic policies.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	This is covered in the thematic policies.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Protect or enhance the significance of designated and non-designated heritage assets?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within 200m of several listed buildings. 100% of the site is also with Stalybridge Town Centre Conservation Area.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.

17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is located on a vacant site.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not agricultural land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect soils of high environmental value in both a general and local context?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as there is no reference to high value soils.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is located on a vacant site.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	++	The allocation has positive effects as the site is located on a vacant site.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	++	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the protection of peat?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Safeguard existing supply of natural resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.

18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Encourage recycling and composting of waste and avoid landfill?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to align with approved planning application 23/00704/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.

			HSP S2L Allocation 5: Huddersfield Road								
Ref	**Objective**	**Assessement Criteria**	**Score**	**Explanation from Proformas and Planning Assessment**	**Mitigation**	**Has the 2025 mitigation been addressed?**	**Commentary on changes made since 2025**	**Score**	**Summary of new scoring**	**Residual Impacts**
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for market housing?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for housing, with a total estimated capacity of 23 dwellings, however, 20% of the site is green belt.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for affordable housing?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is reference to housing mix but no reference to affordable housing.	Ensure the development provides sufficient affordable housing in line with local needs.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure the development provides sufficient affordable housing in line with local needs.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to housing mix or meeting local needs.	Ensure the development provides sufficient housing mix in line with local needs.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure the development provides sufficient housing mix in line with local needs.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is within 800m of each centre and the nearest secondary school is 1071m away.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities connecting to centres could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities connecting to centres could be considered.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the energy efficiency or resilience of the development.	Ensure that the new development considers energy efficiency / resilience of housing stock.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers energy efficiency / resilience of housing stock.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of pitches/plots to meet Tameside's Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Show People's assessed needs?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to pitches/plots.	Ensure that the new development considers the affects on pitches/plots quantity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the affects on pitches/plots quantity.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land for Tameside?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the diversification of Tameside's local economy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the enhancement of the vitality and viability of Tameside's town centres?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station and 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 0% of the site is covered by the MAL index score of 5 and above.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station and 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 0% of the site is covered by the MAL index score of 5 and above.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as utilities are not referenced, and there are no overhead lines in the area, however, these would need to be enhanced to support the scale of development.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the transition to active and sustainable modes of transport?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station and 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 0% of the site is covered by the MAL index score of 5 and above.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the delivery of a safe transport network which minimises the impact on the local environment and public health?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station and 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 0% of the site is covered by the MAL index score of 5 and above.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support both the existing network and creation of new cycling and walking routes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no referencec to walking and cycling routes, although its proximity to the existing street network, including Busy Beeways, could support the existing network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site presents opportunities for housing and 100% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people

4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site presents opportunities for housing and 100% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference supporting relations between people, however, the allocation is focused on housing.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities/infrastructure for all?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to equal opportunity.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it assumed to be too high level to affect protected characteristics.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will meet needs equally.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Create a sense of belonging, community cohesion and wellbeing for all members of the Tameside community?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will support community cohesion or good relations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier, active lifestyles, proactive and preventative care and support improvements in determinants of health?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station and 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 0% of the site is covered by the MAL index score of 5 and above.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within Tameside and with the rest of England?	?	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Increase the quantity and quality of active environments, open spaces, green and blue infrastructure?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.

6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green and blue infrastructure, public open space, leisure and recreational facilities?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote community safety and design which discourages crime?	-/?	The allocation has uncertain / negative effects as there is no reference to community safety and design, although its proximity to the transport network could create both social and transport safety issues.	Ensure that the new development recognises any additional needs for safety considerations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	-/?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development recognises any additional needs for safety considerations.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities and community well-being assets, regardless of socio-economic status?	+/-	The allocation has positive/negative effects as it is located 389m from a GP and 2397m from a dentist.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children and working age adults?	+/-	The allocation has positive/negative effects as the site is located within 36m of a primary school and 1071m from a secondary school.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to access to and provision of community social infrastructure.	Ensure any new development suitably considers the local capacity of and access to community social infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new development suitably considers the local capacity of and access to community social infrastructure.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	+/-	The allocation has positive/negative effects as the site is located within 36m of a primary school and 1071m from a secondary school.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education and skill levels of the population of working age the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to improving education and skill levels of the working age population.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station and 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 0% of the site is covered by the MAL index score of 5 and above.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station and 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 0% of the site is covered by the MAL index score of 5 and above.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station and 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 0% of the site is covered by the MAL index score of 5 and above.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.

10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Tameside, specifically within designated sites, Greater Manchester Combined Authority Air Quality Management Area, and other biodiversity assets?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Minimise emissions and particulates from harmful pollutants when delivering new developments?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Locates development not within existing areas of poor air quality?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located in an AQMA.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Protect, conserve and enhance new and existing European, nationally and locally designated wildlife and geological sites?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within close proximity to the South Pennine Moors SAC/SPA, 8% of the site is peaty soils, and there is also TPOs (groups) within the site.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Encourage enhancement and restoration of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within close proximity to the South Pennine Moors SAC/SPA, 8% of the site is peaty soils, and there is also TPOs (groups) within the site.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green and blue infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green and blue infrastructure?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green and blue infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and safeguard local wider ecological networks including local wildlife-rich habitats?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within close proximity to the South Pennine Moors SAC/SPA, 8% of the site is peaty soils, and there is also TPOs (groups) within the site.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems within Tameside are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of renewable and low carbon energy technology?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Encourage the restoration and enhancement of peatlands?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of nature-based solutions to climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not propose nature-based solutions, however, these are likely to be covered by thematic policies.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict development in areas of high flood risk or a greater risk of flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing and residual flood risk from all sources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Deliver sustainable drainage systems and promote flood defences that reduces Tameside's vulnerability to flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Promote the use of nature-based solutions to manage flood risks?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Ensure that new water/waste water management infrastructure is delivered to support new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Minimise the risk of pollution arising from new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage water efficiency and promote the use of water recycling systems?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage energy demand reductions and energy efficiency in new development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage development that incorporates energy generation to achieve carbon emission reductions?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted within Tameside?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote the use of renewable and low carbon energy schemes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site contains several individual TPOs. 20% of the site is also Green Belt.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.

16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within 200m of several listed buildings. 99% of the site is also with Millbrook Conservation Area.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness including the setting of the Peak District National Park?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the Peak District National Park.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to high quality design.	This is covered in the thematic policies.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	This is covered in the thematic policies.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Protect or enhance the significance of designated and non-designated heritage assets?	--	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within 200m of several listed buildings. 99% of the site is also with Millbrook Conservation Area.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	--	The allcation as negative effects as 20% of the site is Green Belt.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not agricultural land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect soils of high environmental value in both a general and local context?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as there is no reference to high value soils.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	--	The allcation as negative effects as 20% of the site is Green Belt.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	--	The allcation as negative effects as 20% of the site is Green Belt.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the protection of peat?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Safeguard existing supply of natural resources?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 23% of the site is located in a minerals safeguard area for being. sandstone	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Encourage recycling and composting of waste and avoid landfill?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude three areas, one part that extended into Huddersfield Road, one on the north western side and one on the south western side, in line with Land Registry parcels and previously consented planning application 19/00873/FUL.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.

			HSP S2L Allocation 6: Cedar Avenue							
Ref	**Objective**	**Assessement Criteria**	**Score**	**Explanation from Proformas and Planning Assessment**	**Mitigation**	**Has the 2025 mitigation been addressed?**	**Commentary on changes made since 2025**	**Score**	**Summary of new scoring**	**Residual Impacts**
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for market housing?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is allocated for housing, with a total estimated capacity of 35 dwellings.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for affordable housing?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is reference to housing mix but no reference to affordable housing.	Ensure the development provides sufficient affordable housing in line with local needs.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure the development provides sufficient affordable housing in line with local needs.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to housing mix or meeting local needs.	Ensure the development provides sufficient housing mix in line with local needs.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure the development provides sufficient housing mix in line with local needs.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	+/-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is within 800m of each centre but the nearest primary school is 551m and the nearest secondary school is 252m away.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities connecting to centres could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+/-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities connecting to centres could be considered.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the energy efficiency or resilience of the development.	Ensure that the new development considers energy efficiency / resilience of housing stock.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers energy efficiency / resilience of housing stock.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of pitches/plots to meet Tameside's Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Show People's assessed needs?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to pitches/plots.	Ensure that the new development considers the affects on pitches/plots quantity.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the affects on pitches/plots quantity.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land for Tameside?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified

2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the diversification of Tameside's local economy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the enhancement of the vitality and viability of Tameside's town centres?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station and 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 0% of the site is covered by the MAL index score of 5 and above.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station and 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 0% of the site is covered by the MAL index score of 5 and above.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as utilities are not referenced, and there are no overhead lines in the area, however, these would need to be enhanced to support the scale of development.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the transition to active and sustainable modes of transport?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station and 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 0% of the site is covered by the MAL index score of 5 and above.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the delivery of a safe transport network which minimises the impact on the local environment and public health?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station and 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 0% of the site is covered by the MAL index score of 5 and above.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support both the existing network and creation of new cycling and walking routes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no referencec to walking and cycling routes, although its proximity to the existing street network, including Busy Beeways, could support the existing network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.

4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site presents opportunities for housing and 59% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site presents opportunities for housing and 59% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference supporting relations between people, however, the allocation is focused on housing.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities/infrastructure for all?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to equal opportunity.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it assumed to be too high level to affect protected characteristics.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will meet needs equally.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Create a sense of belonging, community cohesion and wellbeing for all members of the Tameside community?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will support community cohesion or good relations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier, active lifestyles, proactive and preventative care and support improvements in determinants of health?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station and 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 0% of the site is covered by the MAL index score of 5 and above.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.

6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within Tameside and with the rest of England?	?	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Increase the quantity and quality of active environments, open spaces, green and blue infrastructure?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 100% of the site is open space, however, it is not an area of need.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of open space.	N	Allocation has not changed.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of open space.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green and blue infrastructure, public open space, leisure and recreational facilities?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 100% of the site is open space, however, it is not an area of need.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of open space.	N	Allocation has not changed.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of open space.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote community safety and design which discourages crime?	-/?	The allocation has uncertain / negative effects as there is no reference to community safety and design, although its proximity to the transport network could create both social and transport safety issues.	Ensure that the new development recognises any additional needs for safety considerations.	N	Allocation has not changed.	-/?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development recognises any additional needs for safety considerations.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities and community well-being assets, regardless of socio-economic status?	+/-	The allocation has positive/negative effects as it is located 516m from a GP and 1493m from a dentist.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	Allocation has not changed.	+/-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children and working age adults?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is located within 551m of a primary school and 252m from a secondary school.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to access to and provision of community social infrastructure.	Ensure any new development suitably considers the local capacity of and access to community social infrastructure.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new development suitably considers the local capacity of and access to community social infrastructure.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is located within 551m of a primary school and 252m from a secondary school.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education and skill levels of the population of working age the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to improving education and skill levels of the working age population.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.

9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station and 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 0% of the site is covered by the MAL index score of 5 and above.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station and 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 0% of the site is covered by the MAL index score of 5 and above.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station and 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 0% of the site is covered by the MAL index score of 5 and above.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	Allocation has not changed.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Tameside, specifically within designated sites, Greater Manchester Combined Authority Air Quality Management Area, and other biodiversity assets?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Minimise emissions and particulates from harmful pollutants when delivering new developments?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	Allocation has not changed.	+	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Locates development not within existing areas of poor air quality?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located in an AQMA.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Protect, conserve and enhance new and existing European, nationally and locally designated wildlife and geological sites?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 1% of the site is located in an SBI and LNRS, and is in close proximity to an SSSI. 100% of the site is also open space.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	Allocation has not changed.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Encourage enhancement and restoration of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 1% of the site is located in an SBI and LNRS, and is in close proximity to an SSSI. 100% of the site is also open space.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	Allocation has not changed.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green and blue infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green and blue infrastructure?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.

11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green and blue infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and safeguard local wider ecological networks including local wildlife-rich habitats?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 1% of the site is located in an SBI and LNRS, and is in close proximity to an SSSI. 100% of the site is also open space.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	Allocation has not changed.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems within Tameside are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of renewable and low carbon energy technology?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Encourage the restoration and enhancement of peatlands?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of nature-based solutions to climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not propose nature-based solutions, however, these are likely to be covered by thematic policies.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict development in areas of high flood risk or a greater risk of flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing and residual flood risk from all sources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.

13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Deliver sustainable drainage systems and promote flood defences that reduces Tameside's vulnerability to flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Promote the use of nature-based solutions to manage flood risks?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Ensure that new water/waste water management infrastructure is delivered to support new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Minimise the risk of pollution arising from new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage water efficiency and promote the use of water recycling systems?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.

15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage energy demand reductions and energy efficiency in new development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage development that incorporates energy generation to achieve carbon emission reductions?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted within Tameside?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote the use of renewable and low carbon energy schemes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 100% of the site is open space, however, it is not an area of need.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.	N	Allocation has not changed.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site does not have any heritage designations.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.	N	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness including the setting of the Peak District National Park?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the Peak District National Park.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to high quality design.	This is covered in the thematic policies.	N	Allocation has not changed.	?	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	This is covered in the thematic policies.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Protect or enhance the significance of designated and non-designated heritage assets?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site does not have any heritage designations.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.	N	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.

17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 100% of the site is open space, however, it is not an area of need.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)	N	Allocation has not changed.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not agricultural land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect soils of high environmental value in both a general and local context?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as there is no reference to high value soils.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 100% of the site is open space, however, it is not an area of need.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)	N	Allocation has not changed.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 100% of the site is open space, however, it is not an area of need.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)	N	Allocation has not changed.	-	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the protection of peat?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Safeguard existing supply of natural resources?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 100% of the site is located in a minerals safeguard area for being sand and gravel.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	Allocation has not changed.	--	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.

18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Encourage recycling and composting of waste and avoid landfill?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	Allocation has not changed.	O	Scoring has not changed from the 1st iteration of assessment based on no amendments being made aginst this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.

			HSP S2L Allocation 7: Millbrook							
Ref	**Objective**	**Assessement Criteria**	**Score**	**Explanation from Proformas and Planning Assessment**	**Mitigation**	**Has the 2025 mitigation been addressed?**	**Commentary on changes made since 2025**	**Score**	**Summary of new scoring**	**Residual Impacts**
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for market housing?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is allocated for housing, with a total estimated capacity of 110 dwellings.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for affordable housing?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is reference to housing mix but no reference to affordable housing.	Ensure the development provides sufficient affordable housing in line with local needs.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure the development provides sufficient affordable housing in line with local needs.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to housing mix or meeting local needs.	Ensure the development provides sufficient housing mix in line with local needs.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure the development provides sufficient housing mix in line with local needs.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	+/-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is within 800m of each centre but the nearest primary school is 140m and the nearest secondary school is 797m away.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities connecting to centres could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities connecting to centres could be considered.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the energy efficiency or resilience of the development.	Ensure that the new development considers energy efficiency / resilience of housing stock.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers energy efficiency / resilience of housing stock.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of pitches/plots to meet Tameside's Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Show People's assessed needs?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to pitches/plots.	Ensure that the new development considers the affects on pitches/plots quantity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the affects on pitches/plots quantity.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land for Tameside?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the diversification of Tameside's local economy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the enhancement of the vitality and viability of Tameside's town centres?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station and 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 0% of the site is covered by the MAL index score of 5 and above.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station and 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 0% of the site is covered by the MAL index score of 5 and above.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as utilities are not referenced, and there are no overhead lines in the area, however, these would need to be enhanced to support the scale of development.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the transition to active and sustainable modes of transport?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station and 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 0% of the site is covered by the MAL index score of 5 and above.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the delivery of a safe transport network which minimises the impact on the local environment and public health?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station and 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 0% of the site is covered by the MAL index score of 5 and above.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support both the existing network and creation of new cycling and walking routes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no referencec to walking and cycling routes, although its proximity to the existing street network, including Busy Beeways, could support the existing network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site presents opportunities for housing and 31% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people

4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site presents opportunities for housing and 31% of the site is located within the IMD decile based on LSOA.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of new affordable housing, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to proximal and adequate provision of education, training, employment and healthcare facilities for local people
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference supporting relations between people, however, the allocation is focused on housing.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities/infrastructure for all?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to equal opportunity.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by encouraging equal opportunity.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it assumed to be too high level to affect protected characteristics.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will meet needs equally.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Create a sense of belonging, community cohesion and wellbeing for all members of the Tameside community?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will support community cohesion or good relations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier, active lifestyles, proactive and preventative care and support improvements in determinants of health?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station and 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 0% of the site is covered by the MAL index score of 5 and above.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within Tameside and with the rest of England?	?	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Increase the quantity and quality of active environments, open spaces, green and blue infrastructure?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 96% of the site is open space.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of open space.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of open space.

6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green and blue infrastructure, public open space, leisure and recreational facilities?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 96% of the site is open space.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of open space.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of open space.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote community safety and design which discourages crime?	-/?	The allocation has uncertain / negative effects as there is no reference to community safety and design, although its proximity to the transport network could create both social and transport safety issues.	Ensure that the new development recognises any additional needs for safety considerations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	-/?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development recognises any additional needs for safety considerations.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities and community well-being assets, regardless of socio-economic status?	+/-	The allocation has positive/negative effects as it is located 59m from a GP and 2157m from a dentist.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children and working age adults?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is located within 140m of a primary school and 797m from a secondary school.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to access to and provision of community social infrastructure.	Ensure any new development suitably considers the local capacity of and access to community social infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new development suitably considers the local capacity of and access to community social infrastructure.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is located within 140m of a primary school and 797m from a secondary school.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education and skill levels of the population of working age the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to improving education and skill levels of the working age population.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station and 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 0% of the site is covered by the MAL index score of 5 and above.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station and 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 0% of the site is covered by the MAL index score of 5 and above.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.

9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station and 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 0% of the site is covered by the MAL index score of 5 and above.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Tameside, specifically within designated sites, Greater Manchester Combined Authority Air Quality Management Area, and other biodiversity assets?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station and 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 0% of the site is covered by the MAL index score of 5 and above.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
10	Improve air quality	Minimise emissions and particulates from harmful pollutants when delivering new developments?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 0% of the site is within 800m buffer of a railway station and 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 0% of the site is covered by the MAL index score of 5 and above.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development without sufficient connectivity to centres could increase car dependency and therefore the additional provision of transport facilities could be considered.
10	Improve air quality	Locates development not within existing areas of poor air quality?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located in an AQMA.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Protect, conserve and enhance new and existing European, nationally and locally designated wildlife and geological sites?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 53% of the sit is defined as peaty soil, 96% is open space, 21% contains TPOs groups, and it is in close proximity to an SSSI, SBI, LNR and LNRS.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	Partially	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Encourage enhancement and restoration of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 53% of the sit is defined as peaty soil, 96% is open space, 21% contains TPOs groups, and it is in close proximity to an SSSI, SBI, LNR and LNRS.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	Partially	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green and blue infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green and blue infrastructure?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 96% is open space, and 21% contains TPOs groups.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green and blue infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 96% is open space, and 21% contains TPOs groups.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and safeguard local wider ecological networks including local wildlife-rich habitats?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 53% of the sit is defined as peaty soil, 96% is open space, 21% contains TPOs groups, and it is in close proximity to an SSSI, SBI, LNR and LNRS.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	Partially	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.

12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems within Tameside are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of renewable and low carbon energy technology?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Encourage the restoration and enhancement of peatlands?	-	The allocation hasnegative effects as 53% of the site is defined as peaty soil.	Any development needs to consider the potential presence of restorable peat on site and mitigate appropriately, for example, through drainage modifications, direct reinstatement, or restoration.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Any development needs to consider the potential presence of restorable peat on site and mitigate appropriately, for example, through drainage modifications, direct reinstatement, or restoration.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of nature-based solutions to climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not propose nature-based solutions, however, these are likely to be covered by thematic policies.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict development in areas of high flood risk or a greater risk of flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing and residual flood risk from all sources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Deliver sustainable drainage systems and promote flood defences that reduces Tameside's vulnerability to flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Promote the use of nature-based solutions to manage flood risks?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Ensure that new water/waste water management infrastructure is delivered to support new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Minimise the risk of pollution arising from new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage water efficiency and promote the use of water recycling systems?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage energy demand reductions and energy efficiency in new development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage development that incorporates energy generation to achieve carbon emission reductions?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport

15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted within Tameside?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote the use of renewable and low carbon energy schemes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 96% of the site is open space.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	+/-	The allocation has positive and negative effects as there is a listed building (Oakwood Mill) within the site, as well as several listed buildings within 200m and 76% of the site is within Millbrook Conservation Area. However, the allocation presents the opportunity to secure the future restoration and conversion of Oakwood Mill.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness including the setting of the Peak District National Park?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the Peak District National Park.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to high quality design.	This is covered in the thematic policies.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	This is covered in the thematic policies.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Protect or enhance the significance of designated and non-designated heritage assets?	+/-	The allocation has positive and negative effects as there is a listed building (Oakwood Mill) within the site, as well as several listed buildings within 200m and 76% of the site is within Millbrook Conservation Area. However, the allocation presents the opportunity to secure the future restoration and conversion of Oakwood Mill.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	+/-	The allocation has positive and negative effects as the site is mixed brownfield and greenfield.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not agricultural land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect soils of high environmental value in both a general and local context?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as there is no reference to high value soils.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	+/-	The allocation has positive and negative effects as the site is mixed brownfield and greenfield.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	+/-	The allocation has positive and negative effects as the site is mixed brownfield and greenfield.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the protection of peat?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 53% of the sit is defined as peaty soil.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of peat.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of peat.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Safeguard existing supply of natural resources?	--	The allocation has negative effects as 72% of the site is located in a minerals safeguard area for being sandstone	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	--	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Encourage recycling and composting of waste and avoid landfill?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended to exclude land identified as an LNRS opportunity area, to include part of the mill building that was previously omitted and exclude part of the residential property (No.35 Grenville Street).	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.

			HSP S2L Allocation 8: Buckley Street							
Ref	**Objective**	**Assessement Criteria**	**Score**	**Explanation from Proformas and Planning Assessment**	**Mitigation**	**Has the 2025 mitigation been addressed?**	**Commentary on changes made since 2025**	**Score**	**Summary of new scoring**	**Residual Impacts**
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for market housing?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is allocated for housing, with a total estimated capacity of 46 dwellings.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of housing land to meet Tameside's objectively assessed need for affordable housing?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to housing mix or affordability.	Ensure the development provides sufficient affordable housing in line with local needs.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure the development provides sufficient affordable housing in line with local needs.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate mix of types, tenures and sizes of properties in relation to the respective levels of local demand?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to housing mix or meeting local needs.	Ensure the development provides sufficient housing mix in line with local needs.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure the development provides sufficient housing mix in line with local needs.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure housing land is well-connected with employment land, centres and green space or co-located where appropriate?	+/-	The allocation has positive/negative effects as 22% is within 800m of each centre, a primary school is 167m away and secondary school is 674m away.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	+/-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Support improvements in the energy efficiency and resilience of the housing stock?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the energy efficiency or resilience of the development.	Ensure that the new development considers energy efficiency / resilience of housing stock.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers energy efficiency / resilience of housing stock.
1	Provide a sustainable supply of housing land including for an appropriate mix of sizes, types, tenures in locations to meet housing need, and to support economic growth	Ensure an appropriate quantity of pitches/plots to meet Tameside's Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Show People's assessed needs?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to pitches/plots.	Ensure that the new development considers the affects on pitches/plots quantity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the affects on pitches/plots quantity.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Meet current and future demand for employment land for Tameside?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support education and training to provide a suitable labour force for future growth?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Provide sufficient employment land in locations that are well-connected and well-served by infrastructure?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the diversification of Tameside's local economy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
2	Provide a sustainable supply of employment land to ensure sustainable economic growth and job creation	Support the enhancement of the vitality and viability of Tameside's town centres?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is allocated for residential use.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that the transport network can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	+	The allocation has positive effects as despite not being within an 800m buffer of a railway or within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 38m from a bus stop and 6m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Improve transport connectivity?	+	The allocation has positive effects as despite not being within an 800m buffer of a railway or within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 38m from a bus stop and 6m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Ensure that utilities / digital infrastructure can support and enable the anticipated scale and spatial distribution of development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as utilities are not referenced, and there are no overhead lines in the area, however, these would need to be enhanced to support the scale of development.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider feasibility study into requirements and ability of local network to support development
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the transition to active and sustainable modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as despite not being within an 800m buffer of a railway or within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 38m from a bus stop and 6m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support the delivery of a safe transport network which minimises the impact on the local environment and public health?	+	The allocation has positive effects as despite not being within an 800m buffer of a railway or within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 38m from a bus stop and 6m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
3	Ensure that there is sufficient coverage and capacity of transport and utilities to support growth and development	Support both the existing network and creation of new cycling and walking routes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to walking and cycling routes, although its proximity to the existing PRoW network and Busy Beeways could help support the existing network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.

4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Reduce the proportion of people living in deprivation?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 61% of the site is within the IMD decile based on LSOA and will provide housing opportunities.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.
4	Reduce levels of deprivation and disparity	Support reductions in poverty (including child and fuel poverty), deprivation and disparity across the domains of the Indices of Multiple Deprivation?	+	The allocation has positive effects as 61% of the site is within the IMD decile based on LSOA and will provide housing opportunities.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Benefits such as creation of construction and operational employment, or improved transport links or increases in the range of community facilities, should consider deprived areas. Where possible such benefits should be maximised to help bring about long term benefits for deprived areas. Allocation should make reference to education and training for local people as well as local employment.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Foster good relations between different people?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not reference supporting relations between people, however, the allocation is focused on housing.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure equality of opportunity and equal access to facilities/infrastructure for all?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to equal opportunity.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing that the mix of housing will help meet affordable needs.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by referencing that the mix of housing will help meet affordable needs.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure no discrimination based on 'protected characteristics', as defined in the Equality Act 2010?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it assumed to be too high level to affect protected characteristics.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Ensure that the needs of different areas, (namely urban, suburban, urban fringe and rural) are equally addressed?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will meet needs equally.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
5	Promote equality of opportunity and the elimination of discrimination	Create a sense of belonging, community cohesion and wellbeing for all members of the Tameside community?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as it cannot be certain that development will support community cohesion or good relations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Support healthier, active lifestyles, proactive and preventative care and support improvements in determinants of health?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is accessible for public transport and active travel - the increased uptake of which can minimise the impact on the local environment and public health.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Reduce health inequalities within Tameside and with the rest of England?	-	The allocation has negative effects as most of the site is identified as being located in an area of coal mining high risk, which could have an impact on health.	Ensure to mitigate any negative impacts through a Coal Mining Risk Assessment.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure to mitigate any negative impacts through a Coal Mining Risk Assessment.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Increase the quantity and quality of active environments, open spaces, green and blue infrastructure?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site consists of green space/wooded areas, however, they are not designated and there are no TPOs on site.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote access to green and blue infrastructure, public open space, leisure and recreational facilities?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site consists of green space/wooded areas, however, they are not designated and there are no TPOs on site.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development considers the provision of green infrastructure.
6	Support improved health and wellbeing of the population and reduce health inequalities	Promote community safety and design which discourages crime?	?	The allocation has neutral effects as this is a strategic aim which is not likely to be affected by the site's proposals.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure people are adequately served by key healthcare facilities and community well-being assets, regardless of socio-economic status?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is 603m from a GP and 817m from a dentist.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Ensure sufficient access to educational facilities for all children and working age adults?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is located within 167m of a primary and 674m of a secondary school.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages
7	Ensure access to and provision of appropriate social infrastructure	Promote access to and provision of appropriate community social infrastructure including playgrounds and sports facilities?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to access to and provision of community social infrastructure.	Ensure any new development suitably considers the local capacity of and access to community social infrastructure.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new development suitably considers the local capacity of and access to community social infrastructure.
8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education levels of children in the area, regardless of their background?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is located within 167m of a primary and 674m of a secondary school.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure any new provision is accessible to all and that local capacity is considered throughout future masterplanning stages

8	Support improved educational attainment and skill levels for all	Improve education and skill levels of the population of working age the area, regardless of their background?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to improving education and skill levels of the working age population.	None identified.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Reduce the need to travel and promote efficient patterns of movement?	+	The allocation has positive effects as despite not being within an 800m buffer of a railway or within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 38m from a bus stop and 6m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Promote a safe and sustainable public transport network that reduces reliance on private motor vehicles?	+	The allocation has positive effects as despite not being within an 800m buffer of a railway or within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 38m from a bus stop and 6m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
9	Promote sustainable modes of transport	Support the use of sustainable and active modes of transport?	+	The allocation has positive effects as despite not being within an 800m buffer of a railway or within a 400m buffer of a Metrolink, 100% is covered by the GMLA index score of 5 and above, it is 38m from a bus stop and 6m from the Beeway Network.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	A new housing development could increase pressure on transport facilities and therefore the improvement or additional provision of these could be considered.
10	Improve air quality	Improve air quality within Tameside, specifically within designated sites, Greater Manchester Combined Authority Air Quality Management Area, and other biodiversity assets?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Minimise emissions and particulates from harmful pollutants when delivering new developments?	+	The allocation has positive effects as the site is highly accessible for public transport and active travel, and should be positioned to reduce reliance on private motor vehicles.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	+	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
10	Improve air quality	Locates development not within existing areas of poor air quality?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located within an AQMA.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by seeking to minimise the number of trips made by private car to/from the site, and by considering the use of mitigation, suh as implementing green infrastructure and/or incentivising electric vehicles.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Protect, conserve and enhance new and existing European, nationally and locally designated wildlife and geological sites?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located within a designated site.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure that the new development maximises the ability to mitigate for the loss of the existing biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Encourage enhancement and restoration of designated wildlife sites, habitats and species and protected and unique geological features?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of enhancement or restoration.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.

11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and enhance existing multifunctional green and blue infrastructure and / or contribute towards the creation of new multifunctional green and blue infrastructure?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site consists of green space/wooded areas, however, they are not designated and there are no TPOs on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Ensure access to green and blue infrastructure providing opportunities for recreation, amenity and tranquillity?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site consists of green space/wooded areas, however, they are not designated and there are no TPOs on site.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
11	Conserve and enhance biodiversity, green and blue infrastructure and geodiversity assets	Support and safeguard local wider ecological networks including local wildlife-rich habitats?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no loss of infrasutructure, however, there is no provision of new infrastructure.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of biodiversity.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Ensure that communities, existing and new developments and infrastructure systems within Tameside are resilient to the predicted effects of climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of renewable and low carbon energy technology?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate low carbon energy technology.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Encourage the restoration and enhancement of peatlands?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
12	Ensure communities, developments and infrastructure are resilient to the effects of expected climate change	Support the delivery of nature-based solutions to climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it does not propose nature-based solutions, however, these are likely to be covered by thematic policies.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Restrict development in areas of high flood risk or a greater risk of flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure adequate measures are in place to manage existing and residual flood risk from all sources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure that development does not increase flood risk due to increased run-off rates?	-	The allocation has negative effects as 5% of the site has a high risk of surface water flooding and 7% has a medium risk.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Ensure development is appropriately future proof to accommodate future levels of flood risk including from climate change?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Deliver sustainable drainage systems and promote flood defences that reduces Tameside's vulnerability to flooding?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
13	Reduce the risk of flooding to people and property	Promote the use of nature-based solutions to manage flood risks?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the majority of the site is located within Flood Zone 1 (100%) and is likely to have a low climate change vulnerability.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage compliance with the Water Framework Directive?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Promote management practices that will protect water features from pollution?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Avoid consuming greater volumes of water resources than are available to maintain a healthy environment?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Ensure that new water/waste water management infrastructure is delivered to support new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Minimise the risk of pollution arising from new development?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.

14	Protect and improve the quality and availability of water resources	Encourage water efficiency and promote the use of water recycling systems?	O	All development is assumed to follow best pratice with regards to water management, flood risk and (potential) impacts on watercourses.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage energy demand reductions and energy efficiency in new development?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Encourage development that incorporates energy generation to achieve carbon emission reductions?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote a proactive reduction in direct and indirect greenhouse gas emissions emitted within Tameside?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
15	Increase energy efficiency, encourage low carbon energy generation (including heat) and reduce greenhouse gas emissions	Promote the use of renewable and low carbon energy schemes?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as the site is allocated for residential use, but it is unknown if this will integrate energy generation.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Encourage energy efficiency in new developments and ways that it can be increased, such as highlighting the benefits of sustainable modes of transport
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Improve landscape quality and the character of open spaces and the public realm?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site does not have any landscape designations.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Conserve and enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and their setting?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within 200m of a listed building.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Respect, maintain and strengthen local character and distinctiveness including the setting of the Peak District National Park?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to the Peak District National Park.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of landscape designations.
16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Promote high quality design in context with its urban and rural landscape?	?	The allocation has uncertain effects as there is no reference to high quality design.	This is covered in the thematic policies.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	?	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	This is covered in the thematic policies.

16	Conserve and/or enhance landscape, townscape, heritage assets and their setting and the character of Tameside?	Protect or enhance the significance of designated and non-designated heritage assets?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site is within 200m of a listed building.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	The allocation could be enhanced by ensuring that the new development avoids these areas and/or maximises the ability to mitigate any impacts or loss of heritage designations.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support the development of previously developed land and other sustainable locations?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site consists of undeveloped land and green space/wooded areas.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect the best and most versatile agricultural land / soil resources from inappropriate development?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not agricultural land.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Protect soils of high environmental value in both a general and local context?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as there is no reference to high value soils.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the redevelopment of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure, returning them to appropriate uses?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site consists of undeveloped land and green space/wooded areas.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Support reductions in land contamination through the remediation and reuse of previously developed land?	-	The allocation has negative effects as the site consists of undeveloped land and green space/wooded areas.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider how development of derelict land, properties, buildings and infrastructure could be encouraged as a result of greenfield development (e.g. by incentives or inclusion of adjacent PDL)
17	Ensure that land resources are allocated and used in an efficient and sustainable manner to meet the housing and employment needs of GM, whilst reducing land contamination	Encourage the protection of peat?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as the site is not located with an area defined as peaty soil by Natural England.	None identified.	No mitigation identified in 2025.	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	None identified.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Support the sustainable use of physical resources?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Promote sustainable construction methods	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Promote sustainable construction methods
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Safeguard existing supply of natural resources?	-	The allocation has negative effects as most of the site is identified as being located in an area of coal mining high risk.	Ensure to mitigate any negative impacts through a Coal Mining Risk Assessment.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	-	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Ensure to mitigate any negative impacts through a Coal Mining Risk Assessment.

18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote movement up the waste hierarchy?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Promote reduced waste generation rates?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.
18	Promote sustainable consumption of resources and support the implementation of the waste hierarchy	Encourage recycling and composting of waste and avoid landfill?	O	The allocation has neutral effects as it is not located within an allocation for waste management.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.	N	The allocation boundary has been amended in line with Land Registry parcels. It has been adjuted to the rear of 144-148 High Street and at the access point off Buckley Street to include the full width of the existing carridgeway.	O	Although the allocation boundary has been amended, it is considered that it would not impact scoring from the 1st iteration of assessment against this assessment criteria.	Consider waste and recycling facilities in design e.g. consider the location of waste/recycling facilities in design/layout of masterplans, and how waste facilities can be located to encourage recycling.