

Respondent ID

0061

Full Name

Claire Elliott

If you are responding on behalf of a business, charity or other organisation, please state who you are responding on behalf of.

Save Tameside Greenbelt

SPATIAL VISION

The plan sets out a spatial vision for Tameside that hopes to support in the vision and priorities within the Council's Corporate Plan. The proposed vision for the plan is set out below.

Tameside in 2042 will be:

- **Homes: A place where people are proud to live, with a range of attractive and affordable homes that meet local needs.**
- **Jobs: A place with a strong local economy where businesses choose to remain, invest and grow, and where residents can learn and earn.**
- **Centres: A place with a range of strong, vibrant and diverse centres which serve their local communities and where people want to spend time.**
- **Travel: A well-connected place, where active travel is the first and natural choice, with high quality public transport connections, where people can travel safely and conveniently to destinations both within and beyond the borough.**
- **Places: A place where our cultural and built heritage are cherished, and where good design creates sustainable, safe, inclusive and accessible places.**
- **Environment: A greener place with a thriving natural environment and clean air, where communities and infrastructure are sustainable and climate resilient.**
- **People: A place where children are given the best start in life, are able to exceed expectations and where everyone is able to live a healthy, happy and active life within thriving supportive communities, supported by the services they need.**

To what extent do you agree with the Homes, Spaces, Places Vision for Tameside?

Do not know

Please give a reason for your answer.

Whilst the above is a nice vision , i would struggle to see it being brought into fruition if the people who have lived here for generations are not listened too . For instance over 4000 were ignored about the housing development of godley green. A greener vision would be to reinstate our greenbelt and provide a brownfield first approach in terms of housing.

If you think there should be alternative wording for the Vision, please detail your proposed wording below.

Protect and value our greenbelt and listen and work with the people that actually live there. Not just write words that sound like they are listening and 'nice' ...but then do the complete opposite!

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

To what extent do you agree with the Homes, Spaces, Places strategic objectives?

Homes: To ensure that new housing provides a range of suitable and affordable homes to meet residents' needs, whilst making efficient use of land.

Neutral

Jobs: To enable all residents to share in the benefits of economic growth

Agree

Centres: To support the borough's centres to thrive, be vibrant and attractive and safe spaces where people choose to spend time.

Strongly agree

Travel: To enable everyone to travel safely, conveniently and reduce congestion.

Neutral

Places: To deliver development that makes a positive contribution to the character, heritage and identity of Tameside.

Neutral

Environment: To ensure that new development responds positively to climate change and ecologically sensitive locations.

Neutral

People: To reduce health inequalities, improve health outcomes and enable the provision of services and infrastructure to support new and existing communities.

Do not know

Please give a reason for the choices you provided above.

I would suggest that again housing.. if it is truly affordable or social should be encouraged on brownfield sites only so the 'character' of Tameside is preserved. The draw of Tameside is its setting in countryside and would suggest that building on greenbelt would be detrimental to the health of people, harmful to nature and lose the charm of the area. It mentions it would like to improve congestion , but building on our greenbelt i believe would have a negative impact on congestion and would make it alot worse as the proposed building at godley green and gee cross would artificialy increase the population of Tameside at a far quicker rate that the area could cope with. I would also suggest our hospitals and health services would struggle to cope.

Our infrastructure is not up to the amount of houses proposed and people will die because of it !

If you think there should be alternative strategic objectives to those suggested, please detail these below.

Ensure brownfield approach , protect greenbelt !

SPATIAL FRAMEWORK

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP S1: Overall Spatial Strategy?

Neutral

Please give a reason for your answer.

You fail to listen to the public on lots of issues. So that is a no from me, until we see a true consultation where we are listened to and not spoken down to , i dont believe the resident's of Tameside are consulted . We are just a tick box exercise.

If you think there are any reasonable alternatives to Policy approach HSP S1, please detail these below.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP S2: Neighbourhood Spatial Policies?

Neutral

Please give a reason for your answer.

As above

If you think there are any reasonable alternatives to Policy approach HSP S2, please detail these below.

If you have any further comments on the Spatial Framework, please provide them below.

CENTRES

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C1: Network, Hierarchy and Designation of Centres?

Neutral

Please give a reason for your answer.

I believe more could be done to improve all centre's. I believe a hierachy creates division in the public .. with towns lower down the list feeling abandoned and ignored.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C2: Primary Shopping Areas?

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C3: Local Shopping Areas and Parades?

Neutral

Please give a reason for your answer.

I believe a big focus should be improving our town centres by including affordable housing .. thus improving the likely hood of people using our town centres and businesses and contributing to a brownfield approach!

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C4: Development Involving Retail and Leisure Uses?

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C5: Markets?

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP C6: Healthier Food Environments

Please give a reason for your answer.

If you have any further comments on the Centres chapter of the plan, please provide them below.

ENVIRONMENT

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP E1: Renewable Energy Opportunities?

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP E2: Critical Drainage and Water Demand?

Neutral

Please give a reason for your answer.

Again using greenbelt would have a negative impact on demand for water and potential flooding if used

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP E3: Biodiversity?

Neutral

Please give a reason for your answer.

I would suggest biodiversity is a laughable tick box exercise if greenbelt is used. E.g lets put up a bat box but wipe out a nature rich area like greenbelt

If you have any further comments on the Environment chapter of the plan, please provide them below.

HOMES

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP H1: Housing Type, Mix, Tenure and Affordability?

Neutral

Please give a reason for your answer.

Housing needs should be for the people that need them which was identified as the over 60's . This would free up family houses if more bungalows were built . There is a real need for social and truly affordable houses .. not executive houses on greenbelt!

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP H2: Houses in Multiple Occupation (HMO)?

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP H3: Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Show-People?

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP H4: Back Land and Garden Development?

Please give a reason for your answer.

If you have any further comments on the Homes chapter of the plan, please provide them below.

JOBS

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP J1: Existing Employment Areas?

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP J2: New Employment Development?

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP J3: Assessing the Loss of Employment Land or Accommodation?

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP J4: The Visitor Economy?

Please give a reason for your answer.

If you have any further comments on the Jobs chapter of the plan, please provide them below.

PEOPLE

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE1: Development and Healthier Environments?

Neutral

Please give a reason for your answer.

Our greenbelt and greenspaces should be protected.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE2: Publicly Accessible Green Spaces?

Neutral

Please give a reason for your answer.

Parks are well used and protected , i dont think area's of greenbelt should necessarily be more accessible as people like the openness of greenbelt and understands nature lives there.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE3: Green Space Standards?

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE4: Strategic Recreation and Countryside Access?

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE5: Outdoor Sport and Recreational Facilities?

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PE6: Peak District National Park?

Please give a reason for your answer.

If you have any further comments on the People chapter of the plan, please provide them below.

TRAVEL

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T1: General Approach?

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T2: Trunk Road Developments?

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T3: Rail Infrastructure?

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T4: Rapid Transit?

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T5: Facilities for Buses?

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T6: Walking, Wheeling and Cycling?

Neutral

Please give a reason for your answer.

Whilst its a nice vision people will not abandon their cars .

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T7: Freight Movement and Deliveries?

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T8: Parking?

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP T9: EV Charging Infrastructure?

Please give a reason for your answer.

If you have any further comments on the Travel chapter of the plan, please provide them below.

PLACES

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL1: Archaeology and Scheduled Monuments?

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL2: Listed Buildings - Alternative Uses, Additions, Demolition and Development Within Their Setting?

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL3: Conservation Areas - Managing Development Within or Adjoining?

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL4: Historic Parks and Gardens - Managing Development?

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL5: Designated Heritage Assets - Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Generation?

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL6: Designated Heritage Assets and Enabling Development?

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL7: Non-Designated Heritage Assets?

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL8: Landscapes, Townscape and Urban Form?

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL9: Design and Amenity?

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL10: Design of All Types of New Residential Development?

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL11: Design of Residential Additions, Alterations and Extensions?

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL12: Design of Employment Sites?

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL13: Design within Centres, Retail and Leisure Developments?

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL14: Design of Commercial Frontages?

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL15: Outdoor Sports, Recreation and Play Space?

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL16: Design and Enhancement of the Waterside?

Please give a reason for your answer.

To what extent do you agree with Policy approach HSP PL17: Design of Public Realm and Urban Spaces?

Please give a reason for your answer. If there are any amendments or alternative policy approaches you would like to propose, please detail these in your response.

If you have any further comments on the Places chapter of the plan, please provide them below.

POLICIES MAP AND INTEGRATED ASSESSMENT

A policies map has been published which shows the areas of land that relate to specific policies in this plan at this stage in the process. Future versions of Homes, Spaces, Places will have specific allocations and further designations of land linked to policies. An updated policies map will be produced at that time to identify these.

If you have any comments on the Policies Map, please provide these below.

An Integrated Assessment Report has been produced to accompany this plan. The Integrated Assessment identifies the potential sustainability impacts of implementing the Homes, Spaces, Places Plan.

Do you consider that the Integrated Assessment has adequately assessed the potential environmental, social, and economic impacts of the proposed policy approaches and strategies within the Plan?

Are there any sections of the Integrated Assessment that require further explanation or clarification?